

केंद्रीय भूमि जल बोर्ड जल संसाधन, नदी विकास और गंगा संरक्षण विभाग, जल शक्ति मंत्रालय

भारत सरकार

Central Ground Water Board

Department of Water Resources, River Development and Ganga Rejuvenation, Ministry of Jal Shakti Government of India

AQUIFER MAPPING AND MANAGEMENT OF GROUND WATER RESOURCES Parts Of Nadia District (9 Blocks), West Bengal (Karimpur - I, Karimpur - II, Tehatta - I, Tehatta -II, Kaliganj, Nakashipara, Chapra, Krishnaganj & Hanskhali Blocks) (Phase - II)

> पूर्वी क्षेत्र, कोलकाता Eastern Region, Kolkata

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA MINISTRY OF JAL SHAKTI

REPORT ON AQUIFER MAPPING STUDIES IN PARTS OF NADIA DISTRICT (9 Blocks), WEST BENGAL

(Karimpur - I, Karimpur - II, Tehatta - I, Tehatta - II, Kaliganj, Nakashipara, Chapra, Krishnaganj & Hanskhali Blocks)

(PHASE - II)

(AAP 2016 - 2017)





Central Ground Water Board Eastern Region, Kolkata

SEPTEMBER 2019

REPORT ON AQUIFER MAPPING STUDIES IN PARTS OF NADIA DISTRICT (9 Blocks), WEST BENGAL

(Karimpur - I, Karimpur - II, Tehatta - I, Tehatta - II, Kaliganj, Nakashipara, Chapra, Krishnaganj & Hanskhali Blocks)

(PHASE - II)

(AAP 2016 - 2017)

CONTENTS

<u>Part I</u>

Aquifer Mapping Studies in parts of Nadia district (9 Blocks)CHAPTERPage No (s).Chapter - 1: INTRODUCTION01

| 1.1 | Objective | 01 |
|------|--|----------|
| 1.2 | Scope of Study | 01 |
| 1.3 | Approach and Methodology | 01 to 02 |
| 1.4 | Location, Extent and Accessibility of the study area | 02 |
| 1.5 | Administrative divisions and Population | 02 to 04 |
| 1.6 | Land use and cropping pattern | 04 to 05 |
| 1.7 | Urban areas | 05 |
| Cha | pter - 2: HYDROMETEOROLOGY | |
| 2.1 | Rainfall | 05 to 06 |
| 2.2 | Temperature | 06 |
| Cha | pter - 3: PHYSIOGRAPHY | |
| 3.1 | Geomorphology | 07 |
| 3.2 | Drainage | 07 |
| 3.3 | Soil Types | 07 |
| Cha | pter - 4: GEOLOGY & HYDROGEOLOGY | |
| 4.1 | General geology | 07 to 08 |
| 4.2: | Hydrogeology | 08 to 26 |

| 4.2.1: Sub-surface Hydrogeology | 08 to 15 | | | | | | |
|---|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 4.2.2: Aquifer characteristics | | | | | | | |
| 4.2.3: Ground water regime of aquifers | 16 to 21 | | | | | | |
| 4.2.4: Seasonal long term water level trend analysis | 21 to 23 | | | | | | |
| 4.2.5: Ground Water Resources, Draft, SOD & Category | 23 to 26 | | | | | | |
| Chapter - 5: HYDROCHEMISTRY | | | | | | | |
| 5.1: Quality of Shallow and Deeper Aquifer Water | 27 | | | | | | |
| 5.2: General range of chemical parameter | 27 to 31 | | | | | | |
| 5.3: Ground water pollution | 31 to 32 | | | | | | |
| Chapter - 6: AQUIFER MANAGEMENT PLAN | | | | | | | |
| 6.1: Ground Water Management Plan for Drinking Purposes | 33 to 36 | | | | | | |
| 6.2: Ground Water Management Plan for Irrigation Purposes | 36 to 38 | | | | | | |

| Chapter - 7: SCOPE OF ARTIFICIAL RECHARGE | 39 |
|---|----|
|---|----|

Part II

Block wise Aquifer Management Plan in parts of Nadia District (9 Blocks)

| 1. Karimpur I Block. | 40 to 49 |
|-----------------------|------------|
| 2. Karimpur II Block. | 50 to 60 |
| 3. Tehatta I Block. | 61 to 71 |
| 4. Tehatta II Block. | 72 to 82 |
| 5. Kaliganj Block. | 83 to 93 |
| 6. Nakashipara Block. | 94 to 102 |
| 7. Chapra Block. | 103 to 113 |
| 8. Krishnaganj Block. | 114 to 124 |
| 9. Hanskhali Block. | 125 to 138 |

Part III

Data Gap Analysis in parts of Nadia District (9 Blocks), West Bengal

139 to 153

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Under the National Aquifer Mapping & Management Programme (NAQUIM), taken up by CGWB under XIIth Plan, 9 blocks of Nadia district in West Bengal, covering an area of approximately 2262 sq. km. were taken up by CGWB, ER, Kolkata during 2015-16 (extended to 2016-17). This report envisages the salient features of aquifer geometry, characteristics, ground water occurrences, availability, resource vis-a-vis quality etc. in respect of present scenario.

The broad objective of the study is to establish the geometry of underlying aquifer systems in horizontal and vertical domain and characterize them, so as to work out the developmental potential and prepare aquifer-wise management plan.

During the present study, there have been four major activity components viz.: (i) Data collection from different sources and compilation, (ii) Data gap analysis to ascertain requirement for further data generation, (iii) Data generation in respect of hydrogeological, geophysical, geochemical aspects, and (vi) Preparation of aquifer maps and management plan to achieve the primary objective.

The study area falls in northern part of Nadia district comprising 9 blocks namely-Karimpur I, Karimpur II, Tehatta I, Tehatta II, Kaliganj, Nakashipara, Chapra, Krishnaganj and Hanskhali; these blocks are located on the eastern side of Bhagirathi river. The study area is a part of Lower Ganga Alluvial Plains in the inter-fluvial belt of Ganga basin. Bhagirathi, Jalangi, Churni, etc forms the major drainage in the study area. The area partly falls in the Survey of India Degree Sheet nos. 79A and 79B and extends between North latitudes 23.240° and 24.132° and East longitudes 88.070° and 88.870°.

As per 2011 census, the total population of the study area (i.e. in 9 Blocks) is 21,21,105 which is about 41 % of the total population of Nadia district. The average population growth from 2001 to 2011 is about 12.25 %. The average annual rainfall in Nadia district from 2012-2016 is 1214.24 mm. However, the normal annual rainfall (1980-2016) is slightly higher at 1376 mm.

Ground water based irrigation is done by DTWs, STWs & Dug Wells, and cultural command area is 1267.93 sq. km. The surface water based irrigation is done by RLI and SFI and it's cultural command area is 88.60 sq. km. Total cultivable area in the area is 1584.68 sq. km. and the Cropping Intensity is 243%.

Principal crops in the area, are Paddy (Aus, Aman & Boro), Wheat, Maize, Gram, Pulses, Oil seeds (Mustard, Linseed), Fibers (Jute), Sugar-cane, Vegetables (Potato), etc.

Geomorphology, mainly represented by younger flood plain, is almost flat topography covered with numerous small rivers/ streams, meander scars, cut off/ abandoned channels, point bars, natural levees. Elevation ranges from 28.84 m above MSL (Mean Sea Level), near Jairampur, Karimpur block, to 5.1 m above MSL, near Hanskhali block.

Geologically, the area is underlain by a huge thickness of Recent to Sub-Recent Alluvium of the Ganga basin. Thick Gangetic alluvium of Quaternary Era constitutes the sub - surface geology. These fluviatile sediments are composed of sand of various grades, silt, clay, gravel, kankar and their various admixtures deposited by the river Ganga and its tributaries. Sand is grey coloured and highly micaceous in nature and compositionally quartzo-feldspathic with some mafic minerals.

Hydrogeologically, 3 aquifer systems/ groups, separated by clay barriers of widely variable thickness, have been identified. The shallow aquifer system (say, Aquifer I Group) exists within a depth range of 5 m to 150 m, which extends up to 186 m at Betai in Tehatta I block; ground water in this aquifer system is mostly contaminated by arsenic in all the blocks. The next deeper aquifer system (Aquifer II Group) occurs broadly within 150 – 200 m in all the blocks; ground water in this aquifer system is found to be contaminated by arsenic by arsenic sporadically, particularly in Tehatta I, Tehatta II, Kaliganj & Nakashipara blocks. The deepest one (Aquifer III Group) exists approximately within the depth range from 215 m to 295 m, as observed in Karimpur I & Karimpur II, Tehatta II and Kaliganj blocks; ground water in this aquifer system is in general fresh.

. The tube wells tapping the zones at shallow depth (Aquifer I) yield (Q) to the tune within 36 - 173 m³/hr with Transmissivity (T) varying from 350 to 2700 m²/day. In the tube wells tapping the intermediate zones, Aquifer- II, Q generally ranges from 29 to191 m³/hr with T varying from 350 to 2700 m²/day. Abstraction structures in the deeper aquifer, i.e. Aquifer III, yield between 36 and 86 m³/hr. Pumping tests' data indicate that Storage co-efficient (S) ranges from 1.55 x 10⁻³ to 6.2 x 10⁻⁴ indicating confined condition for deeper aquifers. However, at many places the phreatic aquifers are under unconfined condition.

Monitoring data of hydrograph stations reveal that the pre-monsoon depth to water level (DTW) in shallow aquifer (Aquifer I) in this area ranges within 3 - 4 m bgl in a small patch of east-central part, covering parts of Karimpur II & Tehatta I blocks, and deepest within 7 - 8 m bgl in the west-central part, mainly in parts of Nakashipara block; and the same in deeper aquifer, Aquifer II is found to be shallow, ranging from 3 to 4 m bgl in the border area of Karimpur II & Tehatta I blocks, and deep, ranging from 9 to 10 m bgl in the west-central and south-western parts covering parts of Kaliganj & Hanskhali blocks.

Pre-monsoon water table map of Aquifer- I reveals ground water 'mounds' in the north-eastern & west-central parts of the area covering parts of Karimpur I, Karimpur II and Kaliganj blocks with a maximum elevation varying from 18 to 19 m above MSL and ground water 'troughs' occurring in the east-central & west-central parts covering parts of Chapra & Krishnaganj and Kaliganj blocks with maximum depression within 7 – 8 m above MSL. The regional direction of ground water flow is from north-west to south-east with variable gradients from 1:8000 to 1:1100 in different parts of the area.

Pre-monsoon water table map for deeper aquifer (Aquifer II) reveals the creation of ground water 'mounds' in the north-eastern & west-central parts of present area covering parts of Karimpur I, Tehatta- I, Nakashipara and Chapra blocks with a maximum elevation between 18 m and 19 m above MSL; and ground water 'troughs' occurring in the north-

western & south-western parts covering parts of Karimpur II and in the border of Krishnaganj & Hanskhali blocks with a maximum depression ranging between 7 m and 8 m above MSL. The local direction of ground water flow varies from place to place with variable gradients from 1:6000 to 1:1000.

Annual ground water flow has been estimated by Darcy's law using Q= TIL method. Block-wise flow of ground water in shallow unconfined aquifer and deeper semi-confined to confined aquifer systems have been presented in the Report.

Long term trend analysis reveals that there is a falling trend in some blocks (except a few) both during Pre-monsoon and Post-monsoon. Pre-monsoon falling trend of water level varies from 0.9 cm/year, in Hanskhali block to 16.4 cm/year, in Nakashipara block; Post-monsoon falling trend varies from 2.2 cm/year, in Nakashipara block) to 21.2 cm/year, in Tehatta I block.

Dynamic ground water resources of Aquifer I in the area under study have been estimated based on GEC (1997) methodology by CGWB and State Water Investigation Department (SWID) for the year as on 31.03.2013. The Net Ground Water Resource availability in the area comprising 9 blocks is computed to the tune of 1235.477 MCM and the Total Ground Water Draft for all uses is 1277.85 MCM. The average Stage of Development is 103.4 %. Based on the same estimation, out of nine blocks, two blocks – Nakashipara and Krishnaganj have been categorized as 'Safe' and other seven blocks are categorized as 'Semi-critical'.

Dynamic ground water resource of phreatic aquifer, Aquifer I, in the study area has also been estimated based on the Water Level Fluctuation Method for the year 2016 considering average Specific Yield of 20% and the same is found to be 422.237 MCM. The Dynamic ground water resources of deeper semi-confined to confined aquifer in the study area has also been estimated based on the average Storativity (considered as 1.55x 10⁻³) and average fluctuation of Water level (Pre monsoon minus post monsoon) of the present area and the same has been estimated to be 327.231 MCM.

Ground water occurring in shallow and deeper aquifers in the study area does not vary significantly, excepting sporadic contamination by arsenic. It is, in general, slightly alkaline and Ca-Mg- HCO3 type with electro-conductivity (EC) ranging between 280 and 1080 µS/cm. Chemical facies of shallow aquifer is generally MgHCO₃- CaHCO₃- NaHCO₃ Type, and that of deeper aquifer is in general MgHCO₃- NaHCO₃ Type. Sodium (Alkali) hazard of the ground water from both the shallow and deeper aquifers is very low and the Salinity hazard is 'Medium'.

Arsenic is the main contaminant in shallow and, at places, in intermediate Aquifer Groups in all 9 Blocks of present study area; sporadic arsenic in shallow aquifers beyond permissible limit (0.01 mg/l) has been encountered. A total population of 2121105 (Census 2011) in rural area, are within risk zone. Maximum concentration of arsenic in ground water has been observed to the tune of 1.18 mg/l at Mahisbathan in Karimpur II block.

In Part II, Aquifer Management Plan for Drinking and Irrigation has been dealt. Arsenic contamination in shallow aquifer is an important issue in present area. Arsenic free deeper aquifers, ranging from 200 to 300 m bgl, are potential with yield to the tune of 12.5 litre per second with drawdown of 6 m (approx), can cater to the need of rural water supply. Nos. of tube wells needed for supply of potable water in uncovered area have been estimated based on detail rational approach and cost estimate has been drawn for construction of those wells for implementation of PWSS in those parts. Tube wells should be constructed by tapping aquifers, separated from top arsenic contaminated aquifers by a persistent clay blanket above it and putting cement seal against clay layer in order to prevent the vertical percolation of arsenic contaminated water from the top contaminated aquifer. However, PHED, Government of West Bengal has been implementing different Short Term, Medium Term and Long Term measures to tackle the menace of ground water contamination by arsenic.

Paddy and Rabi vegetables are the important corps that are cultivated mainly by irrigation by ground water. There is regionally extensive unconfined upper aquifer system within depth of 150 m bgl in the area. This aquifer is highly potential and holds fresh water and can cater to the need of irrigation, agriculture and industries. There is urgent need for efficient management of the aquifer systems for sustenance of the tube wells due to huge declining of water level due to irrigation and also heavy withdrawal for drinking purpose in urban areas.

Based on the availability of ground water resources & its present status of development, block-wise availability of ground water for future Irrigation has been estimated; it reveals that only 4 blocks, eg. Hanskhali, Kaliganj, Nakashipara and Krishnaganj have nominal ground water available for future irrigation, whereas, no ground water is available in remaining 5 blocks.

Area suitable for artificial recharge is worked out based on post-monsoon depth to water level of more than 3m and showing long term falling trend of water level more than 0.20 m/year. Block-wise net surface water availability for recharge has been estimated after Dhruvanarayana, 1993, followed by source water allocation for suitable types of conservation & artificial recharge structures with feasible numbers and structure-wise cost estimate, have also been worked out for the study area. Considering the higher level of ground water development, categorization of block, suitable area for recharge, proposal for implementation of conservation and artificial recharge projects in the study area have been proposed. Percolation Tanks, Re-Excavation of Existing Tanks (REET) with Recharge Shafts, Injection Wells, Conservation Ponds in the rural area, and Roof-Top Rain Water Harvesting structures in urban areas are proposed structures in the present area.

To improve the ground water scenario in shallow aquifer, implementation of modern irrigation practices like drip water irrigation system, sprinklers can be implemented. Water columns suggested in consultation with BCKV are as follows: rice - 0.8m, wheat - 0.2 - 0.35m, mustard - 0.2m, pulse - 0.08 - 0.12m, vegetable 0.12 - 0.16m, following micro-irrigation system. By decreasing area of Boro cultivation in summer and implementing micro-irrigation

techniques, huge draft of ground water could be avoided.

In Part II of the Report, block wise management plan of 9 blocks of Nadia district have been dealt separately by giving salient information of the block concerned, tabulating facts and figures of the aquifer(s), their disposition by 2D and 3D images, tabulating seasonal depth to water levels, analyzing long term water level trends, individual aquifer characteristics, viz. Discharge, Transmissivity, Storativity etc., aquifer-wise availability of ground water resources, eg. (dynamic and static), annual flow of ground water through the aquifer, chemical quality of ground water especially arsenic contamination & risk population. Under resource enhancement & management plan, suitable interventions for tapping proper aquifer have been proposed. Judicious use of irrigation water, eg. phase wise lessening of area of cultivation of 'Boro' rice, change in cropping pattern, use of low water requiring crops and use of micro-irrigation techniques have been strongly suggested. Finally, artificial recharge and rain water harvesting is suggested for specific structures; for this quantum of rain water for harvesting, has been estimated for individual blocks by applying 'Dhruvaarayana (1993)' method. The proposed conservation and / or recharge structures along with cost estimates have also been tabulated for all the blocks separately.

Part I

Aquifer Mapping Studies in parts of Nadia District (9 Blocks),

West Bengal

(Karimpur - I, Karimpur - II, Tehatta - I, Tehatta - II, Kaliganj, Nakashipara, Chapra, Krishnaganj & Hanskhali Blocks)

1. INTRODUCTION

Groundwater is one of the prime sources of fresh water contributing significantly for the survival of mankind. However, overexploitation, surface runoff, subsurface groundwater discharge have depleted the fresh groundwater availability considerably. Assessing the groundwater potential zone is extremely important for the protection of water quantity & quality, and the management of groundwater system. In this context, the National Aquifer Mapping & Management Programme (NAQUIM) has been taken up by CGWB under XIIth Plan. As per the Action Plan under NAQUIM, ground water management studies in 9 blocks of Nadia district in West Bengal, covering an area of approximately 2262 sq. km. was taken up by CGWB, ER, Kolkata during 2015-16-17. This report envisages the salient features of aquifer geometry, characteristics; ground water occurrences, availability, resource vis-a-vis quality etc. in present scenario.

1.1 **Objective**

The broad objective of the study is to establish the geometry of the underlying aquifer systems in horizontal and vertical domain and characterize them, so as to work out the development potential and prepare aquifer-wise management plan using ground water simulation model.

1.2 Scope of Study

The scope of the present study is broadly within the framework of National Aquifer Mapping & Management Programme (NAQUIM) being implemented by CGWB. There are four major activity components viz.: (i) Data collection / compilation (ii) Data gap analysis (iii) Data generation and (vi) Preparation of aquifer maps and management plan to achieve the primary objective. Data compilation included collection, and wherever required procurement, of all maps from concerned Agencies, such as the Survey of India, Geological Survey of India, State Governments etc., computerization and analyses of all acquired data, and preparation of a knowledge base. Identification of Data Gap included ascertaining requirement for further data generation in respect of hydrogeological, geophysical, chemical, hydrological, hydro-meteorological studies, etc. Data generation included those of hydrometeorology, chemical quality of ground water, litho-logs and aquifer parameters. Generation of ground water chemical quality data was accomplished by collection of water samples and their laboratory analyses for all major parameters, and some of the heavy metals. Additional data pertaining to sub-surface lithology and aquifer parameters were obtained through drilling of additional exploratory wells and slim holes, pumping tests at the drilling sites.

1.3 Approach and Methodology

An approach and methodology adopted to achieve the major objective have been shown below step-wise.

- i) Compilation of existing data
- ii) Identification of data gaps
- iii) Data generation based on data gaps
- iv) Preparation of thematic maps on GIS platform
- v) Preparation of Rock-Works based 2D/3D maps
- vi) Compilation of Block-wise Aquifer Maps and Management Plan

1.4 Location, Extent and Accessibility of the study area

The study area (Plate 1) comprising 9 blocks of northern Nadia district which is located on the eastern bank of Bhagirathi river, a tributary of river Ganga bordering with Bardhaman district in the west, and flanked by the western boundary of Bangladesh in the east, south-eastern boundary of Murshidabad district in the north-west, and some blocks of southern Nadia district in the south. The area extends between North latitudes 23.240° and 24.132° and East longitudes 88.070° and 88.870°. The study area partly falls in the Survey of India Degree Sheet nos. 79A and 79B. The study area forms part of Lower Ganga Alluvial Plains in the inter-fluvial belt of Ganga basin.

1.5 Administrative divisions and population

The study area comprises of 9 Blocks falling in 3 Sub-Divisions (in whole/ parts) covering an area of about 2262 sq. km, i.e., about 57.8 % total area of Nadia district. Details of administrative divisions are summarized in **Table- I**.

| SI No. | Name of the Sub- | Name of the | No. of Gram | No. of | Geographic |
|--------|--------------------|---------------|-------------|----------|------------------|
| | Division | Block | Panchayats | inhabite | area (in sq.km.) |
| | | | _ | d | (GIS based) |
| | | | | Villages | |
| 1. | Tehatta | Karimpur - I | 8 | 65 | 212.27 |
| 2. | Tehatta | Karimpur – II | 10 | 65 | 241.18 |
| 3. | Tehatta | Tehatta - I | 11 | 55 | 258.30 |
| 4. | Tehatta | Tehatta – II | 7 | 32 | 174.44 |
| 5. | Krishnanagar Sadar | Kaliganj | 15 | 105 | 319.75 |
| 6. | Krishnanagar Sadar | Nakashipara | 15 | 101 | 354.24 |
| 7. | Krishnanagar Sadar | Chapra | 13 | 77 | 310.48 |
| 8. | Krishnanagar Sadar | Krishnaganj | 7 | 52 | 159.55 |
| 9. | Ranaghat | Hanskhali | 13 | 76 | 231.50 |
| | TOTAL | | 99 | 1628 | 2261.71 |

Table-I: Administrative divisions of the study area in parts of Nadia district



Plate-1

As per 2011 census, the total population of the study area (i.e. 9 Blocks) is 21,21,105 which is about 41 % of the total population of Nadia district. The average population growth from 2001 to 2011 is about 12.25 %. Block-wise male and female population in the study area is shown in **Table-II**.

| Block | Population (2011) | | | | | | |
|---------------|-------------------|--------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| | Male | Female | Total | | | | |
| Karimpur - I | 83014 | 77881 | 160895 | | | | |
| Karimpur – II | 111488 | 105648 | 217136 | | | | |
| Tehatta - I | 125875 | 118447 | 244322 | | | | |
| Tehatta – II | 77299 | 73932 | 151231 | | | | |
| Kaliganj | 157234 | 148963 | 306197 | | | | |

| Table-II: | Block-wise | Male and | Female | population |
|-----------|-------------------|----------|----------|------------|
| | DIOCK-WISC | maic and | I cinaic | population |

| Total | 10,91,624 | 10,29,481 | 21,21,105 |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 10.01.604 | 10.00.404 | |
| Hanskhali | 127576 | 118323 | 145899 |
| Krishnganj | 75573 | 71132 | 146705 |
| Chapra | 152575 | 143954 | 296529 |
| Nakashipara | 180990 | 171201 | 352191 |

Source: District Statistical Handbook, 2013

The Administrative Map of the study area is shown in Plate-1.

1.6 Land-use and Cropping pattern

Irrigation plays an important role for crop production and intensity of crops. The area is having cultivable area of about 61% of the total geographical area. The cultivable land in the study area, about 35% is rain fed, and in the rest area crop production is solely dependent of surface water and ground water irrigation systems. Ground water irrigation is created by deep tube well and shallow tube wells. Irrigation by surface water is done through River lift irrigation, whereas irrigation by water conservation structures (tanks etc.) is covering an area of about 12% of the total irrigated area.

The details of land use pattern in each blocks is shown in the following Table- III.

| S1. | Name of | Geograp | Cultiv | Area | Cultivab | Fores | Hom | Remarks |
|-----|------------|----------|--------|---------|----------|-------|-------|-------------|
| Ν | the Block | hical | able | Under | le Waste | t | e | |
| 0. | | Area | Area | Pasture | Land | Land | State | |
| | | (ha) | (ha) | and | (ha) | (ha) | Land | |
| | | (Agricul | | Orchar | | | (ha) | |
| | | ture- | | d(ha) | | | | |
| | | based) | | | | | | |
| 1. | Karimpur-I | 21580 | 12516 | 209 | | | 4631 | Some |
| | | | | | | | | Vested Land |
| 2. | Karimpur- | 22440 | 13015 | 217 | | | 4712 | Some |
| | II | | | | | | | Vested Land |
| 3. | Tehatta-I | 24960 | 15224 | 241 | | | 5241 | Some |
| | | | | | | | | Vested Land |
| 4. | Tehatta-II | 17250 | 10212 | 167 | | | 3622 | Some |
| | | | | | | | | Vested Land |
| 5. | Kaliganj | 32000 | 20480 | 357 | | | 6720 | Some |
| | | | | | | | | Vested Land |
| 6. | Nakashipar | 36090 | 23052 | 312 | Nil | 309 | 7525 | Some |
| | а | | | | | | | Vested Land |
| 7. | Chapra | 30600 | 20002 | 287 | | | 6426 | Some |
| | | | | | | | | Vested Land |
| 8. | Krishnagan | 15160 | 9612 | 146 | | 215 | 3183 | Some |
| | j | | | | | | | Vested Land |
| 9. | Hanskhali | 24630 | 13745 | 176 | | 181 | 5085 | Some |
| | | | | | | | | Vested Land |

Table-III: Block-wise details of Land-use pattern

The principal crops which are cultivated In this area, are :-

- a) Paddy- Aus, Aman & Boro; Wheat, Maize etc.
- b) Cereals- Gram and other Pulses
- c) Oil seeds- Mustard, Linseed etc.
- d) Fibers- Jute etc.
- e) Miscellaneous- Sugar-cane, Potato etc.

The ground water based irrigation is done by DTWs, STWs and Dug Wells and the cultural command area is 1267.93 sq. km. The surface water based irrigation is done by RLI and SFI and the cultural command area is 88.60 sq. km. Total cultivable area in the area is 1584.68 sq. km. and the Cropping Intensity is 243%.

1.7 Urban areas

Urban areas in the study area include some of the Census Towns, viz., Karimpur, Chapra, Bagula, Majdia etc.

2. HYROMETEOROLOGY

The climate of the area is characterized by hot and humid climate with adequate rainfall mainly derived from south-west monsoon, which starts from mid-June and continue up to September. Generally, 85 percent of the rainfall is received during the monsoon period. Pre-monsoon showers are occasionally received in the month of March, April and May.

2.1 Rainfall

The average annual rainfall in Nadia district from 2012-2016 is 1214.24 mm. Rainfall amount is measured from 10 Rain gauge stations in different blocks. Rainfall data for a period of 5 years (2012-2016) have been recorded month-wise (**Table- IV**).

However, the normal annual rainfall (1980-2016) is slightly higher at 1376 mm. It is obvious that there is slight decrease in rainfall during the last 5 years.

A critical analysis of Rainfall v/s cropping pattern is shown in Plate-2.

| Nadia | Year | Jan | Feb | Mar | April | May | Jun | July | Aug | Sept | Oct | Nov | Dec |
|------------------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|------|
| | | | | | | Nadia | | | | | | | |
| | 2012 | 19.7 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 27.2 | 74.3 | 95.6 | 206.8 | 162.7 | 161.8 | 71.6 | 37.8 | 3.7 |
| | 2013 | 6.3 | 9.1 | 1.5 | 39.3 | 149.7 | 188.1 | 181.1 | 327 | 160.7 | 224.8 | 0 | 0.2 |
| | 2014 | 0.8 | 50.2 | 17.1 | 0 | 92 | 221.4 | 203.1 | 236.7 | 218.8 | 56.7 | 0 | 0 |
| | 2015 | 5.5 | 14.5 | 18.5 | 99.2 | 56.5 | 327.5 | 516.3 | 139.1 | 178.6 | 48 | 0 | 4.4 |
| | 2016 | 0.8 | 38.7 | 16.2 | 3.2 | 181.3 | 152.1 | 334.5 | 429.1 | 176.2 | 67.5 | 16.9 | 0 |
| Average 5 yrs | | 6.62 | 22.58 | 10.74 | 33.78 | 110.76 | 196.94 | 288.36 | 258.92 | 179.22 | 93.72 | 10.94 | 1.66 |

5 years Rainfall in Nadia district

Normal RainfaH1443.8 mm Normal monsoon Rainfal955 mm

Plate- 2

Critical analysis of rainfall v/s cropping pattern



Ten Year Average Rainfall of Nadia District and cropping pattern in Study area

2.2 Temperature

The winter season sets in around middle of November when both maximum and minimum temperature begin to drop steadily and attain their respective lowest values in the month of January. The temperature starts rising in the month of February. May is the hottest month of the year.

3. PHYSIOGRAPHY

3.1 Geomorphology

The study area is an extensive alluvial plain possessing the characteristics of younger flood plain with almost flat topography covered with meander scars, cut off/ abandoned channels, point bars, natural levee type landforms. Elevation range from 28.84m above mean sea level (near Jairampur, Krimpur block) to 5.1m(near, Hanskhali block) above mean sea level. The slope of land is about 0.50 m per km from north to south. Numerous small rivers/ streams and abandoned channels cause interspersed throughout the area with a number of depressions meander scars, ox-bow lakes, point bars etc. Geomorphic unit is only Younger deltaic plain.

3.2 Drainage

The Ganga or the Padma along with its tributaries viz., Bhagirathi, Jalangi, Churni, Ichhamati etc forms the major drainage in the study area. All rivers and tributaries are perennial in nature. The Bhagirathi River flows along the western boundary of the study area from almost north-west to south-east direction. Jalangi river flows in almost central part of the area from NNE to SSW. The other tributaries are confined to the eastern part of the area flowing from north-east to south-west direction.

3.3 Soil Types

Entire area is covered by alluvial soil, and the parent material is Gangetic alluvium. Admixture of sand, silt and clay has given rise to three broad types of soil. Coarse Soil- formed by sand and loamy sand, Moderately Coarse Soil- formed by sandy and silty loams, and Moderately Fine Soil- formed by silt and clayee loams. Coarse Soil has got minimum distribution occurring mainly in Nakashipara block and other two types of soil have got more or less equal percentage of distribution in the area.

4. GEOLOGY AND HYDROGEOLOGY

4.1 General Geology

The area under study is covered by a huge thickness of Recent to Sub-Recent Alluvium of the Ganga Basin. Thick Gangetic alluvium of Quaternary age conceals the sub - surface geology. However, analysis of the tube wells reveals, fluviatile sediments in succession. The Recent fluviatile sediments are composed of sand of various grades, silt, clay, gravel and kankar and their various admixtures deposited by the river Ganga and its tributaries. Sand is grey coloured and highly micaceous in nature. Gravels are mainly composed of quartz, feldspar and mafic minerals.

The Geological/ Hydrogeological Map with drainage system of the area is shown in **Plate-3.**



Plate- 3

4.2 Hydrogeology4.2.1 Sub-surface Geology:

The entire district is covered by thick alluvial formation composed of sand of different grades, silt and clay. The aquifers consist mostly of sand of different grades (coarse to fine). Gravel that is in general, the most important constituent of the aquifers, is not playing important role in this area. As it is observed in most of the boreholes, gravel is absent and it is present in very insignificant quantity. From the exploratory wells constructed by CGWB and State departments, it is observed that, in general three aquifer systems have been identified. The shallow aquifer (say, Aquifer- I) exists within a depth range of 5m to 150m, which extends up to 186m at Betai in Tehatta- I block. The next aquifer system (say, Aquifer- II) exists within the depth range of 150 to 200m and the deepest one (say, Aquifer- III) exists

approximately within the depth range of 215m to 295m. These aquifer groups are separated by clay barriers of widely variable thickness. In the study area, Block-wise the aquifer disposition is classified broadly and tabulated in **Table-V**.

The map showing the locations of the Exploratory Wells by CGWB/ State Govt. wells is presented in **Plate-3**. The 2D/3D views of the aquifer system in the study area are shown below as: - (a) Multi-log Plot of the Exploratory Wells in **Plate-4 & 5**; (b) Stratigraphic Fence & Model in **Plate-6 & 7**; (c) NW-SE Cross Section Index Map & Lithological Cross Section in **Plate-8 & 9**; (d) NE-SW Cross Section Index Map & Lithological Cross Section in **Plate-10 & 11**; (e) N-S Cross Section Index Map & Lithological Cross Section in **Plate-10 & 11**; (e) N-S Cross Section Index Map & Lithological Cross Section in **Plate-12 & 13**; (f) Lithological Panel diagram of the study area in **Plate-14**.

| Sr. | District | Block | Depth | Quality | Depth range | Quality | Depth range | Quality | Remarks |
|-----|----------|------------|------------------|---------|--------------------|------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| No. | | | range of | | of 2 nd | | of 3rd | | |
| | | | 1st aquifer | | Aquifer | | Aquifer | | |
| | | | (mbgl) | | (mbgl) | | (mbgl) | | |
| 1. | Nadia | Chapra | 3-119 | Arsenic | 121-195 | Fresh | - | | |
| 2. | Nadia | Hanskhali | 3-27, 30- 128 | Arsenic | 106-156 | Fresh | - | | |
| 3. | Nadia | Kaliganj | 19-50 | Arsenic | 56-110 | Fresh/ | 254-270 | Fresh | |
| | | | | | | Arsenic | | | |
| | | | | | | (sporadic) | | | |
| 4. | Nadia | Karimpur I | 5-131 | Arsenic | 154-185 | Fresh | 282-294 | Fresh | |
| 5. | Nadia | Karimpur | 10-159 | Arsenic | 179-210 | Fresh | 215-224 | Fresh | |
| | | II | | | | | | | |
| 6. | Nadia | Tehatta I | 9-67, 2- | Arsenic | 70-177 | Fresh/ | | | |
| | | | 186 (at | | | Arsenic | - | | |
| | | | Betai) | | | (sporadic) | | | |
| 7. | Nadia | Tehatta II | 6-70 | Arsenic | 62-143 | Fresh/ | 216-232 | Fresh | |
| | | | | | | Arsenic | | | |
| | | | | | | (sporadic) | | | |
| 8. | Nadia | Nakashipar | 6-62 | Arsenic | 69-156 | Fresh/ | - | | |
| | | а | | | | Arsenic | | | |
| | | | | | | (sporadic) | | | |
| 9. | Nadia | Krishnagan | 12-155 | Arsenic | 213-225 | Fresh | - | | |
| | | j | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Table-V: Aquifer Disposition and its Quality in Study area in parts of Nadia district



Location of Tube wells

Lithological Strip log in Parts of Nadia District, West Bengal



Plate-5





Aquifer-II Clay-III Aquifer-III Clay-IV



Е

NW-SE Cross-section Index Map in Nadia District



Plate-8

NW-SE Cross-section in Nadia District



NW-SE CROSS SECTION OF NADIA DISTRICT

Plate-9

NE-SW Cross-section Index map of Nadia District



Plate-10

NE-SW Cross-section of Nadia District



Plate-11



Plate-12

SECTION ALONG A - B (NORTH - SOUTH) IN AQUIFER MAPPING AREA OF NADIA DISTRICT







Plate-14

4.2.2 Aquifer characteristics:

The tube wells tapping the zones at shallow depth (say Aquifer- I) are yielding discharge (Q) and it ranges from 36 to 173 m³/hr and the Transmissivity (T) varies from 350 to 2700 m²/d. The tube wells taping the intermediate zones (say Aquifer- II), Q generally ranges from 29 to191 m³/hr and T varies from 350 to 2700 m²/d. The deeper aquifer (say, Aquifer- III), yields ranging from 36 to 86 m³/hr. The pumping tests data indicate that the value of Storage co-efficient (S) ranges from 1.55x10⁻³ to $6.2x10^{-4}$ indicating confined conditions for deeper aquifers. However, at many places the phreatic aquifers are under unconfined condition.

4.2.3 Ground Water Regime of Aquifers:

During detailed survey 114 numbers of almost uniformly distributed key observation wells have been established in the study area and in addition, 33 NHS falling in this area were considered for water level monitoring and water sample collection. These are mostly tube-wells and a few dug wells tapping the zones in different aquifer system representing Aquifer- I & II.

The <u>pre-monsoon</u> depth to water level (DTWL) map for **shallow aquifer** (**Plate-15**) in this area reveals that the water level is the shallowest (3 to 4 m bgl) in a small patch of east-central part of the area covering parts of Karimpur-II & Tehatta- I blocks; and is the deepest (7 to 8m bgl) in the west-central part of the area mainly in parts of Nakashipara block; and the same during <u>post-monsoon</u> period is the shallowest (2 to 3 m bgl) in parts of Nakashipara & Tehatta- I blocks; and the deepest (6 to 7 m bgl) in the west-central part of the area covering parts of Nakashipara & Kaliganj blocks.

The <u>pre-monsoon</u> depth to water level map for **deeper aquifer** (**Plate-17**) in this area reveals that the water level is the shallowest (3 to 4 m bgl) in a small patch in the border area of Karimpur-II & Tehatta- I blocks; and is the deepest (9 to 10 m bgl) in the west-central and south-western parts of the area covering parts of Kaliganj & Hanskhali blocks.

The water level during <u>post-monsoon</u> period is the shallowest (within 1 m bgl) in parts of Chapra & Nakashipara blocks; and the deepest (8 to 9 m bgl) in the west-central and north-eastern parts of the area covering parts of Kaliganj & Karimpur- I blocks.

Considering the elevation of the ground level and depth to water level monitored from the monitoring wells, **Water Table** maps with contours of 1 m intervals, were prepared for both the shallow (Aquifer- I) and deeper (Aquifer- II) aquifers.

The pre-monsoon water table map for shallow aquifer (Plate-16) reveal that, there

are ground water <u>mounds</u> in the north-eastern & west-central parts of the area covering parts of Karimpur- I & II and Kaliganj blocks with a maximum elevation of 18m to 19m amsl; and ground water <u>troughs</u> occur in the east-central & west-central parts of the area covering parts of Chapra & Krishnaganj and Kaliganj blocks with a maximum depression of 7m to 8m amsl. The regional direction of ground water flow is from north-west to south-east with variable gradients from 1:8000 to 1:1100 in different parts of the area.

The <u>pre-monsoon</u> water table map for **deeper aquifer** (**Plate-18**) reveals that, there are ground water <u>mounds</u> in the north-eastern & west-central parts of the area covering parts of Karimpur- I, Tehatta- I, Nakashipara and Chapra blocks with a maximum elevation of 18m to 19m amsl; and ground water <u>troughs</u> occur in the north-western & south-western parts of the area covering parts of Karimpur- II and in the border of Krishnaganj & Hanskhali blocks with a maximum depression of 7m to 8m amsl. The local direction of ground water flow varies place to place with variable gradients from 1:6000 to 1:1000 in different parts of the area as shown in **Table-19**.

The <u>post-monsoon</u> water table maps for both the **shallow & deeper aquifers** (**Plate-16 & 18**) reveal almost of the same nature as those of pre-monsoon period for both the aquifers separately.

<u>Ground water flow</u> has been calculated by Darcy's law using Q= TIL where Q is quantity of ground water flowing through the area, T is Transmissivity of the Aquifer , I is Hydraulic Gradient and L is maximum length of flow path perpendicular to flow direction. In general ground water flow is from NW to SE within the area in shallow aquifer system; and at different directions in deeper aquifer system in this area, as deciphered by the Premonsoon Water Table maps. Block-wise the flow of ground water in the shallow unconfined aquifer system in approximation are shown in **Table-VI**, and that in deeper semi-confined to confined aquifer system is tabulated in **Table-VI**.



Depth to water level : Aquifer I

Water Table: Aquifer I





Depth to water level : Aquifer II







Deeper Aquifer Water Table Contour Lines : Pre-monsoon & Post-monsoon





| Table-VI: Block-wise approximation of Ground Water Flow in Unconfined aquifer system in the | |
|---|--|
| Study area | |

| Sr | District | Block | Flow direction | Average | Hydraulic | Average T | Quantity of |
|-----|----------|------------|-----------------------|----------------|--------------|------------|-----------------------|
| no. | | | | length of flow | Gradient (I) | of | Ground |
| | | | | path across | (approx) | unconfined | water Flow |
| | | | | flow direction | | aquifer | (approx) |
| | | | | (m) | | (approx) | (m ³ /day) |
| | | | | | | (m^2/d) | |
| 1 | Nadia | Chapra | Mostly Easterly | 14000 | 1:2000 | 4000 | 28000 |
| | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Nadia | Hanskhali | Mostly North-easterly | 13000 | 1:6000 | 4000 | 8700 |
| | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Nadia | Kaliganj | Mostly South- | 17000 | 1:3333 | 4000 | 20400 |
| | | | easterly/ South- | | | | |
| | | | westerly | | | | |
| 4 | Nadia | Karimpur I | Mostly North-easterly | 15000 | 1:1142 | 4000 | 52500 |
| | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Nadia | Karimpur | Mostly South-easterly | 15000 | 1:2333 | 4000 | 25700 |
| | | II | | | | | |
| 6 | Nadia | Tehatta I | Mostly Southerly/ | 11000 | 1:3000 | 4000 | 14700 |
| | | | South-eastrly | | | | |
| 7 | Nadia | Tehatta II | Mostly South-easterly | 13000 | 1:8000 | 4000 | 6500 |
| | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Nadia | Nakashipar | Mostly Easterly | 18000 | 1:2500 | 4000 | 28800 |
| | | a | | | | | |
| 9 | Nadia | Krishnagan | Mostly North-easterly | 13000 | 1:4000 | 4000 | 13000 |
| - | | i | | | | | |
| | | 1 3 | | | | <u> </u> | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | - | |
|-----|----------|-------------|--|----------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| Sr | District | Block | Flow direction | Average | Hydraulic | Average T | Quantity of |
| no. | | | | length of flow | Gradient (I) | of confined | Ground |
| | | | | path across | (approx) | aquifer | water Flow |
| | | | | flow direction | | (m^2/d) | (approx) |
| | | | | (m) | | | (m^3/day) |
| 1 | Nadia | Chapra | Mostly North/ North- easterly | 18000 | 1:1500 | 3100 | 37200 |
| 2 | Nadia | Hanskhali | Mostly North/ North- westerly | 23000 | 1:1250 | 3300 | 60720 |
| 3 | Nadia | Kaliganj | Mostly Westerly | 20000 | 1:1750 | 2800 | 32000 |
| 4 | Nadia | Karimpur I | Radial- outward (as centrally Gr. W. mound) Mostly Westerly/ South- westerly | 18000 | 1:1666 | 2700 | 29160 |
| 5 | Nadia | Karimpur II | Mostly Westerly | 15000 | 1:2000 | 2800 | 21000 |
| 6 | Nadia | Tehatta I | Radial- outward (as centrally Gr. W. mound) Mostly Westerly | 13000 | 1:1166 | 2800 | 31200 |
| 7 | Nadia | Tehatta II | Radial- inward (as centrally Gr. W. trough) | 22000 | 1:6000 | 2950 | 10800 |
| 8 | Nadia | Nakashipara | Radial- outward (as centrally Gr. W. mound) Mostly Southerly | 17000 | 1:2500 | 2900 | 19720 |
| 9 | Nadia | Krishnaganj | Radial- inward (as centrally Gr. W. trough) Mostly Westerly | 15000 | 1:1000 | 3200 | 48000 |
| | | | | | | | |

 Table-VII: Block-wise approximation of Ground Water Flow in Semi-confined to Confined

 aquifer system in the study area

4.2.4 Pre-monsoon & Post-monsoon long term trend analysis:

The long term trend analysis reveals that there is a falling trend in almost all the Blocks both during Pre-monsoon and Post-monsoon periods. The Pre-monsoon falling trend of water level varies from 0.9 cm/year (in Hanskhali block) to 16.4 cm/year (in Nakashipara block). The same during Post-monsoon varies from 2.2 cm/year (in Nakashipara block) to 21.2 cm/year (in Tehatta- I block). However, a few monitoring wells show slight rising trends during pre-monsoon period in Tehatta- I, Nakashipara and Krishnaganj blocks and during post-monsoon period in Krishnaganj block. Details of pre-monsoon and post-monsoon water level trend (from 1995 to 2011) in cm/year for individual Block is given in **Table-VIII**.

The map showing the Post-monsoon Water Level Trends (2006-2016) of the Aquifer-I in the study area (**Plate-20**) indicates slight rising trend of water level in the west-central part of the area.

Table-VIII:Block-wisePre-monsoon andPost-monsoon average long termwater level trends (2000 to 2016)

| | | | Premonsoon | | | | Pos | tmonsoor | ı |
|-------|--------------|--------------------|------------|-----------|-----|-----------|-----|-----------|-------------|
| S.No. | Block | Location | Ris | e | Fal | I | Ris | e | Fall |
| | | | (me | eter/yr.) | (me | eter/yr.) | (me | eter/yr.) | (meter/yr.) |
| 1 | Karimpur-I | Karimpur | | 0.009 | - | | - | | 0.088 |
| | | Murutia Pz | - | | | 0.13 | - | | 0.069 |
| | | Utr Kechuadanga | - | | | 0.032 | - | | 0.122 |
| 2 | Karimpur-II | Gopalpur | - | | | 0.016 | - | | 0.149 |
| | | Mahisabathan | - | | | 0.053 | | 0.029 | - |
| | | Mahisbathan | | 0.084 | - | | - | | 0.156 |
| | | Narayanpur | - | | | 0.015 | - | | 0.104 |
| | | Thanapara | - | | | 0.006 | - | | 0.15 |
| 3 | Tehatta-I | Betai Pz | - | | | 0.079 | - | | 0.212 |
| | | Karuigachhi | | 0.198 | - | | | 0.25 | - |
| | | Palassey Para | - | | | 0.028 | - | | 0.21 |
| | | Shyamnagar | | 0.074 | - | | - | | 0.217 |
| | | Tehatta Pz | | 0.07 | - | | - | | 0.238 |
| 4 | Tehatta-II | Barnia | - | | | 0.03 | - | | 0.128 |
| | | Hanspukuria | - | | | 0.03 | - | | 0.064 |
| | | Kulgachhi | - | | | 0.051 | | 0.073 | - |
| 5 | Kaliganj | Debagram pz | - | | | 0.045 | - | | 0.138 |
| | 0, | Juranpur | - | | | 0.056 | - | | 0.154 |
| 6 | Nakshipara | Birpur Pz | | 0.034 | - | | - | | 0.127 |
| | · | Mayapur | - | | | 0.007 | - | | 0.047 |
| | | Muragacha | | 0.07 | - | | - | | 0.098 |
| | | Muragacha | | 0.165 | - | | - | | 0.022 |
| | | Nakasipara Pz | - | | | 0.164 | - | | 0.14 |
| 7 | Chapra | Chapra Pz | - | | | 0.087 | - | | 0.065 |
| | | Fulkulmi Pz | - | | | 0.063 | - | | 0.109 |
| | | Hridaypurpz | - | | | 0.045 | - | | 0.144 |
| 8 | Krishnaagani | Banpur | | 0.019 | - | | | 0.13 | - |
| - | | Banpur Pz | - | | | 0.08 | - | | 0.131 |
| | | Bhajan Ghat | - | | | 0.065 | | 0.043 | - |
| | | Gobindapur | | 0.027 | - | | - | | 0.344 |
| 9 | Hanskhali | Badkulla | - | | | 0.009 | - | | 0.049 |
| - | | Gajna | | 0.1 | - | | - | | 0.056 |
| | | Hanskhali Pz | - | | | 0.013 | - | | 0.099 |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Plate-20



4.2.5 Ground Water Resources, Draft, SOD & Category:

The dynamic ground water resources of Aquifer –I in the area under study have been calculated on the basis of GEC (1997) methodology by CGWB and State Water Investigation Department (SWID) for the year as on 31.03.2013. The block wise computed data of dynamic ground water resources, as on 31^{st} March 2013 is shown below in **Table-IX**.

The availability of GW resources for future uses with long term trends of ground water levels & its present status in study area are mentioned in **Table-X**.

Table-IX: Block wise dynamic ground water resources as on 31st March'11

| SI. No. | Block | Net ground water availability (MCM) | Gross ground water draft (MCM) | Stage of development (%) | Category | Net ground water availability for future irrigation development (MCM) | Provision for domestic and industrial requirement supply upto next 25 years(MCM) |
|------------|---------------|---|--|--------------------------------|-------------------|---|--|
| 1. | Karimpur - I | 119.2327 | 138.9490 | 116.54 | Semi- critical | (-) 20.6156 | 3.8383 |
| 2. | Karimpur – II | 133.2005 | 164.4156 | 123.43 | Semi- critical | (-) 32.2506 | 4.4190 |
| J. | Tehatta - I | 138.3390 | 153.0598 | 110.64 | Semi- critical | (-) 15.8940 | 5.0070 |
| 4. | Tehatta – II | 98.6830 | 109.7564 | 111.22 | Semi- critical | (-) 11.7969 | 3.0879 |
| 5. | Kaliganj | 157.1135 | 145.7449 | 92.76 | Semi- critical | 9.7991 | 6.6984 |
| 6. | Nakashipara | 185.5714 | 157.2051 | 84.71 | Safe | 26.5593 | 7.7121 |
| 7. | Chapra | 182.2997 | 199.0758 | 109.20 | Semi- critical | (-) 18.2449 | 6.2686 |
| 8. | Krishnganj | 79.7440 | 69.9808 | 87.76 | Safe | 9.0438 | 3.0702 |
| 9. | Hanskhali | 141.2931 | 139.6603 | 98.84 | Semi- critical | 0.2251 | 6.0080 |

Table-X: Availability of GW resources & its Present Status in Study area

| Sr. | District | Block | Net GW | Gross | SOD in | Long terr | m Water | Category | GW |
|-----------------------|----------|-------------|------------|----------|--------|----------------|------------|---------------|-----------|
| No. | | | availabili | GW draft | % | Level trend in | | | available |
| | | | ty in ham | in Ham | | Cm/Yr (R | Lising - & | | for |
| | | | | | | Fallin | ng +) | | Future |
| | | | | | | Pre | Post | | GW use |
| | | | | | | monsoon | monsoo | | in ham |
| | | | | | | | n | | |
| 1 | Nadia | Chapra | 18229.97 | 19907.58 | 109.20 | 4.56 | 11.47 | Semi Critical | - |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Nadia | Hanskhali | 14129.31 | 13966.03 | 98.84 | 1.79 | 10.82 | Semi Critical | 22.51 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Nadia | Kaliganj | 15711.35 | 14574.49 | 92.76 | 3.64 | 10.39 | Semi Critical | 979.91 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Nadia | Karimpur I | 11923.27 | 13894.90 | 116.54 | 6.22 | 10.57 | Semi Critical | - |
| 5 | Nadia | Karimpur II | 13320.05 | 16441.56 | 123.43 | 3.00 | 9.09 | Semi Critical | - |
| 6 | Nadia | Tehatta I | 13833.90 | 15305.98 | 110.64 | 1.67 | 8.32 | Semi Critical | - |
| 7 | Nadia | Tehatta II | 9868.30 | 10975.64 | 111.22 | -2.35 | -3.06 | Semi Critical | - |
| 8 | Nadia | Nakashipara | 18557.14 | 15720.51 | 84.71 | 1.07 | 8.81 | Safe | 2655.93 |
| 9 | Nadia | Krishnaganj | 7974.40 | 6998.08 | 87.76 | 2.92 | 10.92 | Safe | 904.38 |
| Study Area B in Total | | 123547.7 | 127784.8 | 103.42 | 2.50 | 8.59 | 0 | 4562.73 | |

On the basis of ground water resource calculation (2013) and pre-monsoon & postmonsoon water level trends, out of nine blocks, two blocks – Nakashipara and Krishnaganj are 'Safe' and other seven blocks are categorized as 'Semi-critical'. The map showing the Category of Blocks is shown in **Plate-21**.





The Static (In-storage) ground water resources of phreatic aquifer in the study area is estimated based on the average Specific Yield (considered as 20%) and the pre-monsoon saturated thickness of the phreatic aquifer. Block-wise the In-storage ground water resources are calculated and tabulated which is shown in **Table-XI**.

The Dynamic ground water resources of semi-confined to confined aquifer in the study area is estimated based on the average Storativity (considered as 1.55x 10⁻³) and Average Fluctuation of Water level (Pre to post) in the area. Block-wise the Dynamic ground water resources are calculated and tabulated in **Table-XII**.

Table-XI: Block-wise in-storage ground water resources in Aquifer- I

| Block | Block Area (sq km) | Average pre- monsoon depth to water level | Average Specific Yield | Average thickness of granular zones | Thickness of granular zone below pre- monsoon depth to water level | Volume of in- storage ground water resource (MCM) |
|-----------------|--------------------------|--|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| Chapra | 310.48 | 5.35 | 0.2 | 90 | 74.65 | 5256.4264 |
| Hanskhali | 231.5 | 5.58 | 0.2 | 55 | 74.42 | 2288.146 |
| Kaliganj | 319.75 | 6.7 | 0.2 | 80 | 73.3 | 4687.535 |
| Karimpur I | 212.27 | 5.81 | 0.2 | 60 | 74.19 | 2300.58226 |
| Karimpur II | 241.18 | 4.82 | 0.2 | 65 | 75.18 | 2902.84248 |
| Krishnaga nj | 258.3 | 6.32 | 0.2 | 80 | 73.68 | 3806.3088 |
| Nakashipa ra | 174.44 | 5.64 | 0.2 | 70 | 74.36 | 2245.39168 |
| Tehatta I | 354.24 | 6.94 | 0.2 | 80 | 73.06 | 5176.15488 |
| Tehatta II | 159.55 | 5.29 | 0.2 | 80 | 74.71 | 2383.9961 |
| Total | | | | | | 31047.3836 |

Blockwise In-storage ground water resources in Aquifer I (phreatic)

| Table-XII: Char | nge in storage | in semi-con | fined to conf | fined aquifer i | n study area | (Pre to |
|-----------------|----------------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------|---------|
| | | | | | | |

Post-monsoon, 2016)

| Sr | District | Block | Area in | Average | Average Storativity of | Change in |
|------|----------|-----------------|---------|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| no. | | | ha | Fluctuation of | confined aquifer | Storage in ham |
| | | | | Water level (Pre | | |
| | | | | to post) in metre | | |
| 1 | Nadia | Chapra | 31048 | 1.21 | 1.55 x 10 ⁻³ | 58.230 |
| 2 | Nadia | Hanskhali | 23150 | 1.11 | 1.55 x 10 ⁻³ | 39.829 |
| 3 | Nadia | Kaliganj | 31975 | 0.59 | 1.55 x 10 ⁻³ | 29.241 |
| 4 | Nadia | Karimpur I | 21227 | 0.84 | 1.55 x 10 ⁻³ | 27.637 |
| 5 | Nadia | Karimpur II | 24118 | 0.77 | $1.55 \ge 10^{-3}$ | 28.785 |
| 6 | Nadia | Tehatta I | 25830 | 0.88 | 1.55 x 10 ⁻³ | 35.232 |
| 7 | Nadia | Tehatta II | 17444 | 0.65 | 1.55 x 10 ⁻³ | 17.575 |
| 8 | Nadia | Nakashipar a | 35424 | 1.17 | 1.55 x 10 ⁻³ | 64.241 |
| 9 | Nadia | Krishnagan j | 15955 | 1.07 | 1.55 x 10 ⁻³ | 26.461 |
| Tota | l | | | | | 327.231 |
5. HYDROCHEMISTRY

5.1 Quality of Shallow and Deeper Aquifer Water

Ground water samples were collected during pre-monsoon period from the National Hydrograph Stations falling in the study area and those have been analysed in the departmental Chemical Laboratory. Chemical quality of ground water occurring in shallow and deeper aquifers does not vary significantly, except arsenic concentration. The water, in general, is slightly alkaline. Water is Ca-Mg- HCO3 type.

5.2 General range of chemical parameter

The samples from the monitoring wells in the study area, were analysed in the CGWB Laboratory. From the analytical results, it is found that, pH of water, in general, varies between 8.31 and 8.50 indicating slightly Basic in nature, and EC ranges between 282.9 and 1077 μ S/cm. The EC contour map (**Plate-22**) shows that, the ground water is mainly having less than 500 μ S/cm in most of the part including the central portion. EC is higher than 500 μ S/cm in parts of Karimpur- II, Tehatta- I & II, Krishnaganj and Hanskhali blocks. Concentrations of Na ranges from 10.8 to 100.4 mg/1. Cl is mostly in the range of 7.5 - 110 mg/1. Fluoride ranges from BDL – 1.08 mg/1, whereas Nitrate concentration ranges from 0.1 – 27.7 mg/1. Total hardness as CaC03 ranges from 105 - 275 mg/1. Block-wise Ranges of Chemical Parameters in Shallow aquifer is shown in **Table-XIII**.

The Chemical Facies in the Piper-Trilinear Diagram (**Plate-23**) shows that the ground water in shallow aquifers is in general MgHCO³- CaHCO³- NaHCO³ Type, and that of deeper aquifer is in general MgHCO³- NaHCO³ Type.

The Wilcox Plot (**Plate-24**) indicates that the Sodium (Alkali) hazard of the ground water from both the shallow and deeper aquifers is very low and the Salinity hazard is in the 'Medium' category.

| Block | Aquifer | As | pН | EC | Na | Cl | F | NO ³ | Total |
|-------------|---------|---------|-------|---------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|----------------------|
| | Туре | (mg/l) | | (Us/Cm) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | Hardness |
| | | | | | | | | | as CaCo ³ |
| | | | | | | | | | (mg/l) |
| Karimpur-I | Ι | 0.003- | 8.37- | 282.9- | 10.8- | 20.0- | BDL- | 0.1- | 150-225 |
| _ | | 0.332 | 8.44 | 759.3 | 84.2 | 72.5 | 1.5 | 27.0 | |
| Karimpur-II | Ι | 0.002- | 8.33- | 398.8- | 17.7- | 12.5- | BDL- | 1.2- | 130-275 |
| _ | | 0.008 | 8.50 | 1077 | 100.4 | 110 | 0.51 | 27.7 | |
| Tehatta-I | Ι | 0.0001- | 8.45- | 382.2- | 10.9- | 12.5- | BDL- | BDL- | 115-180 |
| | | 0.044 | 8.49 | 1032.0 | 90.4 | 47.5 | 0.42 | 1.7 | |
| Tehatta-II | Ι | 0.0002- | 8.37- | 328.6- | 15.9- | 12.5- | BDL- | BDL- | 130-160 |
| | | 0.076 | 8.46 | 701.4 | 48.1 | 25.0 | 0.39 | 0.7 | |
| Kaliganj | Ι | 0.002- | 8.35- | 347.2- | 17.0- | 20.0- | 0.04- | BDL- | 125-195 |
| | | 0.082 | 8.46 | 550.4 | 89.0 | 37.5 | 0.70 | 0.9 | |
| Nakashipara | Ι | 0.0001- | 8.31- | 312.0- | 14.7- | 7.5- | 0.28- | BDL- | 120-155 |
| - | | 0.119 | 8.49 | 437.7 | 41.2 | 15.0 | 1.11 | 5.4 | |
| Chapra | Ι | 0.0002- | 8.32- | 292.8- | 17.7- | 10.0- | BDL- | BDL- | 130-180 |
| - | | 0.034 | 8.50 | 507.6 | 37.4 | 50.0 | 1.08 | 2.8 | |
| Krishnaganj | Ι | 0.0003- | 8.32- | 295.9- | 16.0- | 10.0- | BDL- | BDL- | 105-160 |
| | | 0.019 | 8.48 | 464.5 | 49.2 | 22.5 | 0.82 | 0.5 | |
| Hanskhali | Ι | 0.0001- | 8.32- | 283- | 13.5- | 10- | BDL- | BDL- | 125-180 |
| | | 0.05 | 8.49 | 415.7 | 29.2 | 32.5 | 0.97 | 7.2 | |

Table-XIII: Block-wise Ranges of Chemical Parameters in Shallow aquifer

(Samples from Monitoring Wells)



Plate- 22

Chemical Facies of Ground Water in Nadia District

Piper Plot of NAQUIM Study Area of Nadia District 2017



Plate-23



5.3 Ground water pollution:

Arsenic is the main pollutant in the shallow and intermediate (at places) aquifer system in all the 9 Blocks in the study area. Sporadic occurrence of arsenic in shallow aquifers beyond permissible limit has been established in all the blocks of the district. The spot value of concentration of Arsenic in ground water in all the blocks in the study area is plotted and shown in **Plate-25**. A total population of 2121105 (as per 2011 Census) in rural area of the study area, are at risk zone. The maximum concentration of arsenic in ground water has been observed as 1.18 mg/l at Mahisbathan in Karimpur- II block. PHED has tested so far 15796 nos. of public hand pump tube wells in the area in their laboratories. From the chemical analysis results of the tube wells, it has been observed that 37% tube wells contain arsenic content in ground water in the range of 0.01 to 0.05 mg/l & 24% tube wells are having arsenic content >0.05 mg/l. The details are shown in **Table-XIV**.

| Sl. | Name of arsenic | No. of | | | Arsenic | Concentra | tion (in mg/ | l) | |
|-----|-----------------|-----------|-------|-------|---------|----------------|--------------|-------|----------|
| No. | affected block | Tube well | < & = | =0.01 | >0.01 & | >0.01 & <=0.05 | | >0.05 | |
| | | analysed | % | No. | % | No. | % | No. | ntration |
| 1 | Karimpur- I | 1177 | 29.57 | 348 | 28.04 | 330 | 42.40 | 499 | 1.08 |
| 2 | Karimpur- II | 1697 | 26.34 | 447 | 27.64 | 469 | 45.96 | 780 | 0.93 |
| 3 | Tehatta - I | 1368 | 69.23 | 947 | 20.10 | 275 | 10.67 | 146 | 0.54 |
| 4 | Tehatta -II | 755 | 60.53 | 457 | 33.11 | 250 | 6.36 | 48 | 0.34 |
| 5 | Kaliganj | 2111 | 27.00 | 570 | 38.09 | 804 | 34.86 | 736 | 1.00 |
| 6 | Nakashipara | 2459 | 45.51 | 1119 | 40.42 | 994 | 14.07 | 346 | 0.56 |
| 7 | Chapra | 2230 | 34.80 | 776 | 52.83 | 1178 | 12.38 | 276 | 0.51 |
| 8 | Krishnaganj | 1737 | 39.21 | 681 | 37.94 | 659 | 22.86 | 397 | 0.77 |
| 9 | Hanskhali | 2262 | 34.92 | 790 | 39.48 | 893 | 25.46 | 576 | 0.53 |
| | TOTAL | 15796 | 40.79 | 6135 | 35.29 | 5852 | 23.89 | 3804 | |

Table-XIV: Status of Arsenic concentration in ground water in parts of Nadia district

Water Quality (Arsenic content in ground water)



Plate- 25

6. AQUIFER MANAGEMENT PLAN

6.1 Ground Water Management Plan for Drinking Purposes

The drinking water in all the 9 blocks of Nadia district (in study area B) is being supplied by PHED through surface water & ground water. The **Table-XV** showing below the block-wise status of Arsenic concentration in ground water and risk population in the area, reveals the Sporadic occurrence of arsenic above permissible limit are reported in all the 9 blocks.

| Sr No. | District | Block (As affected) | No. of habitations in the risk zone where (Arsenic conc.>0.05 mg/l | No. of habitations in the risk zone (Arsenic conc. 0.01 to 0.05 mg/l) | Risk Population (2011) where Arsenic conc. >0.05 mg/l |
|-----------|-------------|---------------------|---|---|---|
| 1 | Nadia | CHAPRA | 63 | 40 | 296529 |
| 2 | | HANSKHALI | 135 | 53 | 245899 |
| 3 | | KALIGANJ | 192 | 96 | 306197 |
| 4 | | KARIMPUR - I | 158 | 46 | 160895 |
| 5 | | KARIMPUR - II | 138 | 19 | 217136 |
| 6 | | KRISHNAGANJ | 136 | 69 | 146705 |
| 7 | | NAKASHIPARA | 91 | 61 | 352191 |
| 8 | | TEHATTA - I | 45 | 68 | 244322 |
| 9 | | TEHATTA - II | 26 | 69 | 151231 |
| | Nadia Total | | 984 | 521 | 2121105 |

Table-XV: Arsenic affected Blocks and Risk Population

A total of 21,21,105 people (as per 2011 Census) of the blocks are at risk zone in the area.

Sporadic occurrence of arsenic in shallow aquifers has been established in all the blocks of the district. A total of 2121105 of people (as per 2011 Census) in rural area of the study area are at risk zone. The maximum concentration of arsenic in ground water has been observed as1.08 mg/l. PHED has tested so far 15796 nos. of public hand pump tube wells in this area in their laboratories. From the chemical analysis results of the tube wells, it has been observed that 37% tube wells contain arsenic content in ground water in the range of 0.01 to 0.05 mg/l & 24% tube wells are having arsenic content >0.05 mg/l.

As per the data of PHED, Govt. of West Bengal, about 85% of the rural risk population has been covered by water supply schemes. Only 15% rural population in the

study area in Karimpur I & II, Tehatta I & II, Hanskhali, Krishnaganj, Chapra blocks are to be covered by arsenic free water supply. Kaliganj and Nakashipara blocks are fully covered by State Authority.

6.1.1 Requirement of Wells:

As evidenced from the exploration arsenic free deeper aquifers ranging from 200 to 300 mbgl) are potential with a capacity to yield to the tune of 12.5 litre per second with drawdown of 6 m (approx) and can cater to the need of rural water supply. Considering human drinking and domestic demand of water @70 lpcd & projected population upto 2021 (considering decadal growth rate @ 21.09% following PHED guidelines and District Census 2011), the demand of water for human population as on 2021 has been calculated. Cattle population as on 2021 is estimated considering 0.19 per capita human population (district cattle population/ district human population), village population & 0.36 annual growth rate. Considering the cattle consumption @20 lpcd, water requirement for cattle has been calculated.

As per the ground Water Act, 2005 for arsenic affected areas, the extraction has been restricted to $45 \text{ m}^3/\text{hr}$ (+/- 5%). Considering above guideline number of tube wells sizing of 250 mm X 150 mm with depth 300 mbgl has been calculated. Four observation wells, one for each block, are suggested for the blocks to monitor the impact of withdrawal of water from the tube wells on the water level. The tube wells should be constructed by tapping the aquifers which is separated from top arsenic contaminated aquifers by a persistent clay blanket. Provision for sealing the top arsenic contaminated aquifers with proper cement sealing against clay layer should be kept in order to prevent the vertical percolation of arsenic contaminated water from the top contaminated aquifer.

6.1.2 Mitigation Measures:

To supply safe Drinking Water to the affected blocks of the State a comprehensive Arsenic Master Plan was taken for implementation through various Short, Medium and Long Term measures by the State Government with assistance of Government of India. To combat the arsenic contamination in ground water, mitigation measures have been taken up by State Govt. by involving different NGOs, institutions etc., to provide arsenic free drinking water in the arsenic affected areas. Over the years, State Government has undertaken number of steps to tackle the problem of arsenic contamination for ensuring safe drinking water to local habitants. Surface water supply scheme along with ground water based supply schemes are implemented in West Bengal by PHED, Govt. of West Bengal. A number of arsenic removal plants are also installed in the arsenic infested areas to remove arsenic from arsenic rich ground water as a short term measures. Sludge disposal from arsenic removal equipment is another problem for arsenic infested areas. But due to number of reasons, regarding operation, maintenance, social adaptability, lack of awareness among local people these efforts were not that much successful.

The technologies which are relevant to the ground water of West Bengal only have been classified as short, medium or long term remedial measures based on their nature. Since 1995 onwards PHED of Government of West Bengal has been implementing all possible mitigation measures by Engineering interventions.

Short Term : New Hand Pump Tube well tapping deeper Aquifer, Ring Well etc. Medium Term : ATU with existing Hand Tube Well, ARP for existing PWSS, New

large bore Tube Wells tapping deeper Aquifer, New Ground Water based PWSS.

Long Term : Surface Water based PWSS

Groundwater with arsenic contamination has been found mainly in the shallow aquifers. Deep aquifers, separated by thick clay layer of appropriate composition (which acts as an impervious layer between aquifer groups) may yield arsenic free fresh ground water. It was inferred from the isotopic studies carried out in West Bengal that in alluvial formations, there is no hydraulic connection between shallow and deep aquifers, when separated by an appropriate impervious layer. Central Ground Water Board, while carrying out extensive work on this aspect, has deciphered and delineated deep arsenic free aquifers in some parts of arsenic infested areas of West Bengal.

Exploration in the areas also reveals that the wells, constructed by tapping multiple aquifer system (tapping shallow and deep both aquifers), allows contamination from adjacent contaminated aquifers through annular space packed with gravel. At places the confining clays layers, silty in nature, act as semi-pervious, but under stressed condition (over pumping) arsenic contaminated water gets passage through these semi-confining layers and may contaminate safe aquifer water. In order to prevent such vertical percolation of contaminated

water, **CGWB** has adopted cement sealing technique to separate deeper arsenic free aquifer from shallow arsenic rich aquifer. Central Ground Water Board has already constructed tube wells in arsenic infested areas of West Bengal adopting cement sealing techniques and handed over to PHED, Govt. of West Bengal for supply of arsenic free water. Therefore construction of suitably designed tube well tapping arsenic free deeper aquifer in arsenic infested area is most suitable structure for supplying arsenic free water.

Based on the exploration data and need for water supply schemes, district wise feasibility of arsenic free abstraction structures is discussed below.

6.2 Ground Water Management Plan for Irrigation Purposes

The study area is basically under intensive irrigated agriculture by groundwater and also partly by surface water. Paddy and Rabi vegetables are the important corps cultivated by farmers in the region. In major part of the area farmers depend only on groundwater for cultivation of these crops during all seasons. Any reduction in the yield of the tube wells due to decline in groundwater shall adversely impact the production of the food grain. The study area has multilayer aquifer system where ground water occurs as regionally extensive unconfined conditions in upper aquifer system (say Aquifer- I & II) within depth of 150 mbgl (with local variation). This aquifer system is highly potential in nature, holds fresh water and caters to the need of irrigation, agriculture and industries. But in most of the places arsenic contamination in ground water occurs (>0.01mg/l) in a sporadic manner in Aquifer -I and Aquifer -II (at places), therefore it is not suitable for drinking purposes. The Aquifer System-III within depth of 280 mbgl (with slight variation in regional/ local level) occurs below the Aquifer System-I & II separated by clay bed with variable thickness. The ground water occurs under semi-confined to confined conditions and is in general arsenic free. As revealed by the Exploration, the Aquifer System-III within depth of 300 mbgl occurs below the Aquifer System-II separated by thick clay bed with variable thickness in northern Blocks (except Tehatta-I, where further exploration is required) of the study area, and is arsenic free. The ground water occurs under confined conditions in this aquifer system. The CGWB has constructed number of arsenic free wells tapping the Aquifer II & III groups using cement sealing techniques and handed over to PHED/ State Government departments for supply of arsenic free water. It is observed that ground water level is declining slowly in most of the area under irrigation and also in some of the wells in the urban areas. Therefore there is need for efficient management of these aquifer systems for sustenance of the tube wells tapping

Aquifer Systems– I, II as well as III.

Block-wise the availability of Ground Water Resources & its present status of Development of the aquifer system and, block-wise availability of land **for** future Irrigation in the study area are shown in the following **Tables-XVI & XVII**.

| Sr. | District | Block | Net GW | Gross | SOD in | Long terr | n Water | Category | GW |
|-----|-----------|-------------|------------|----------|--------|------------|------------|---------------|-----------|
| No. | | | availabili | GW draft | % | Level ti | rend in | 6. | available |
| | | | ty in ham | in Ham | | Cm/Yr (R | Lising - & | | for |
| | | | - | | | Falling +) | | | Future |
| | | | | | | Pre | Post | | GW use |
| | | | | | | monsoon | monsoo | | in ham |
| | | | | | | | n | | |
| 1 | Nadia | Chapra | 18229.97 | 19907.58 | 109.20 | 4.56 | 11.47 | Semi Critical | - |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Nadia | Hanskhali | 14129.31 | 13966.03 | 98.84 | 1.79 | 10.82 | Semi Critical | 22.51 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Nadia | Kaliganj | 15711.35 | 14574.49 | 92.76 | 3.64 | 10.39 | Semi Critical | 979.91 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Nadia | Karimpur I | 11923.27 | 13894.90 | 116.54 | 6.22 | 10.57 | Semi Critical | - |
| 5 | Nadia | Karimpur II | 13320.05 | 16441.56 | 123.43 | 3.00 | 9.09 | Semi Critical | - |
| 6 | Nadia | Tehatta I | 13833.90 | 15305.98 | 110.64 | 1.67 | 8.32 | Semi Critical | - |
| 7 | Nadia | Tehatta II | 9868.30 | 10975.64 | 111.22 | -2.35 | -3.06 | Semi Critical | - |
| 8 | Nadia | Nakashipara | 18557.14 | 15720.51 | 84.71 | 1.07 | 8.81 | Safe | 2655.93 |
| 9 | Nadia | Krishnaganj | 7974.40 | 6998.08 | 87.76 | 2.92 | 10.92 | Safe | 904.38 |
| S | tudy Area | B in Total | 123547.7 | 127784.8 | 103.42 | 2.50 | 8.59 | 0 | 4562.73 |

Table-XVI: Availability of GW resources & its present Status in the Study area

 Table-XVII: Availability of Land for Future Irrigation

| Sr. No. | Distri ct | Block | Geogra phical area in ha | Cultiva ble area in ha | Net irrigated Comman d area (GW) in ha | Net irrigate d Comma nd area (SW) in ha | Net irrigated Comman d area (GW +SW) in ha | Net area availabl e for Irrigatio n | Demand i.e Water required for Irrigatio | GW availab le for Irrigati on | Remar ks |
|------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|---|-------------|
| 1 | Nadia | Chapra | 31048 | 21372 | 12149.15 | 1406.4 | 13555.55 | 7816.45 | | - | |
| 2 | Nadia | Hanskh ali | 23150 | 17580 | 27815.26 | 1371 | 29186.26 | -11606.3 | Nil | 22.51 | |
| 3 | Nadia | Kaligan j | 31975 | 19169 | 12373.95 | 921.08 | 13295.03 | 5873.97 | | 979.91 | |
| 4 | Nadia | Karimp ur I | 21227 | 15217 | 13377.43 | 727.22 | 14104.65 | 1112.35 | | - | |
| 5 | Nadia | Karimp ur II | 24118 | 17168 | 18821.11 | 682.5 | 19503.61 | -2335.61 | Nil | - | |
| 6 | Nadia | Tehatta I | 25830 | 19750 | 12236.54 | 949.29 | 13185.83 | 6564.17 | | - | |
| 7 | Nadia | Tehatta | 17444 | 15250 | 9955.83 | 1042.6 | 10998.43 | 4251.57 | | - | |

| | | II | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|-----------|----------|---------|--|
| 8 | Nadia | Nakashi | 35424 | 23082 | 15441.4 | 731.53 | 16172.93 | 6909.07 | 2655.93 | |
| | | para | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Nadia | Krishna | 15955 | 9880 | 4622.06 | 1028.63 | 5650.69 | 4229.31 | 904.38 | |
| | | ganj | | | | | | | | |
| | Study | v area in | 226171 | 158468 | 126793 | 8860.25 | 135652.98 | 22815.02 | 4562.73 | |
| | Nadia | a district | | | | | | | | |

6.2.1 Desirable Management Interventions:

To formulate the proper Aquifer Management Plan, it is required to understand the ground water resources, its quality and proper scientific development. The study area is basically under intensive irrigated agriculture by groundwater and also partly by surface water. Paddy and Rabi vegetables are the important corps cultivated by farmers in the region. In major part of the area farmers depend only on groundwater for cultivation of these crops during all seasons. Any reduction in the yield of the tube wells due to decline in groundwater shall adversely impact the production of the food grain. Though the study area has multilayer aquifer system in which Aquifer-I & II (within depth of 150 m bgl) is highly potential in nature, holds suitable water and caters to the need of irrigation in agriculture and industries. Aquifer III (within depth of 160 to 300) occurs below the Aquifer II separated by clay bed. Aquifer II for their requirement. It is observed that ground water level is declining slowly in most of the area under irrigation and also in some of the wells in the urban areas. Therefore, there is need for efficient management of the aquifer systems for sustenance of the tube wells tapping Aquifer I as Well as Aquifer II.

7. SCOPE OF ARTIFICIAL RECHARGE

Considering the administrative units (blocks or municipalities), average post monsoon water level and long term trend of ground water level, the area suitable for artificial recharge has been identified. The area suitable for recharge is arrived considering area having the post-monsoon depth to water level more than 3m and showing long term falling trend of water level more than 2cm/year.

a) Water levels more than 9m bgl with or without the falling trend with first priority.

b) Water levels between 6m and 9m bgl and with declining trend with second priority.

c) Area showing water levels between 6m and 9m bgl with no declining trend with third priority.

d) Areas showing water levels between 3m and 6m bgl and with declining trend with fourth priority.

However, area with 3m to 6m bgl post monsoon water level with no long term falling trend and area with 0 to 3m bgl of post monsoon water level has not been considered as feasible area for recharge.

Block-wise net surface water availability for recharge; source-water allocation for suitable types of artificial recharge structures; feasible numbers of various structures and structure-wise cost estimates were worked out for the study area, based on soil characteristic, land-slope, Runoff co efficient, rainfall data and long term trend, recommended by Dhruvanarayana, 1993.

Considering the higher ground water development, categorization of the block as per the Ground Water Resource Assessment, 2013 and block/municipal level suitable area for recharge, priority may be assessed for implementation of artificial recharge projects in the study area. Percolation Tanks, Re-Excavation of Existing Tanks (REET) with Recharge Shafts, Injection Wells, Conservation Ponds in the rural area, and Roof-Top Rain Water Harvesting structures in the urban areas may be constructed as per the feasibility study.

N. B. - The Reports on "Data Gap Analysis", "Geophysical Studies" and "Block-wise Ground Water Management Plan" of the concerned area are presented separately.

Part II

Block wise Aquifer Management Plan in parts of Nadia District (9 Blocks), West Bengal

(Karimpur - I, Karimpur - II, Tehatta - I, Tehatta - II, Kaliganj, Nakashipara, Chapra, Krishnaganj & Hanskhali Blocks)



Disposition of Aquifers in Study Area, Parts of Nadia district, West Bengal

1. KARIMPUR - I BLOCK

1.0 Salient Information

Block Name: Karimpur - I

Area (in sq km): 212.27

District: Nadia

State: West Bengal

Population (as on 2011): 160895

Table 1: Details of Population

| Male | Female | Total |
|-------|--------|--------|
| 83014 | 77881 | 160895 |

1. Rainfall

Average annual rainfall (Nadia district) for the period 2012-2016 (in mm): 1214.24

Table 2: Details of Annual Rainfall since last five years (mm)

| Plack | Normal | Actual (Annual) | | | | | | | |
|------------|--------|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--|--|--|
| BIOCK | | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | | | |
| Karimpur-I | 1444 | 862.0 | 1287.8 | 1096.8 | 1408.1 | 1416.5 | | | |

2. Agriculture & Irrigation

Total area in ha: 21227

Table 3: Details of Land use pattern

| SI. No | Name of the Block | Geographic Area (ha) | Cultivable Area (ha) | Area under pasture & orchard (ha) | Cultivable Waste Land(ha) | Forest Land (ha) | Home Stead Land (ha) |
|-----------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---|---------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| 1. | Karimpur-I | 21227 | 15217 | 209 | (Negligible) | (Negligible) | 4631 |

3. Aquifer Wise Ground Water Resource Availability & Extraction

Table 4: Details of aquifer wise resource availability and draft (in MCM)

| Resource Availability | Aquifer I | Aquifer II | Aquifer III | Extraction (for Aquifer I) |
|--------------------------|-----------|------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| Dynamic Resource | 119.23 | - | - | 138.95 |
| Static Resource | 2300.58 | - | - | - |

4. Disposition of Principal Aquifer System

In Karimpur - I Block, three aquifer systems exist.

 The range of 1staquifer is on an average from 5m to 131m but this is containing Arsenic.

- The range of 2ndaquifer is on an average from 154m to 185m, which is fresh and Arsenic free.
- The range of 3rdaquifer is 282 m to 294 m, which is also fresh and Arsenic free.

Table 5: Details of aquifer disposition depth range

| | 1st Aquifer | 2nd aquifer | 3rd aquifer |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Karimpur- I | 5-131 m | 154-185 m | 282-294 m |





Fig.1: Aquifer disposition in Karimpur - I Block





Fig.2: N- S Cross section of Karimpur - I & II (combined) Blocks

Table 6: Details of Aquifer Wise Water Level Ranges & Pre-monsoon and Post-monsoon long term water level trends (2006 to 2017)

| SI. | Block | Aquifer | Pre-mons | oon Trend | Post-monsoon Trend | | |
|-----|--------------|---------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--|
| No. | | | Rise (cm/year) | Fall (cm/year) | Rise (cm/year) | Fall (cm/year) | |
| 1. | Karimpur - I | I | - | 6.22 | - | 10.57 | |
| | | II | - | - | - | - | |
| | | | - | - | - | - | |

Table 7: Aquifer wise (Maximum) thickness

| Block | Area (sq km) | Thickness of the Granular Zone in 1st aquifer (m) | Thickness of the Granular Zone in 2nd aquifer (m) | Thickness of the Granular Zone in 3rd aquifer (m) |
|--------------|-----------------|---|---|---|
| Karimpur - I | 212.27 | 126 | 35 | 15 |

Table 8: Aquifer-wise depth range and parameters (On the basis of CGWB

exploration data)

| Name of Block | | 1 st Aquife | ər | 2 nd Aquifer | | | | 3 rd Aquifer | | | |
|---------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|
| | Depth Range (mbgl) | Discha rge (m³/hr) | T (m²/d ay) | S | Depth Range (mbgl) | Dischar ge (m³/hr) | T (m² /da y) | S | Depth Range (mbgl) | Disch arge (m³/hr) | T (m²/da y) |
| Karimpur - I | 5 - 131 | 36 | 2700 | - | 150 - 185 | 29 | - | - | 280 - 295 | - | - |

Ground Water Resource, Extraction, Contamination & Other Issues Resource Availability & Extraction: Dynamic ground water resources as on 31st March '13

| Block | Net ground Water availability (MCM) | Gross ground Water draft (MCM) | Stage of Development (%) | Category | Provision for domestic and industrial requirement supply upto 2035 years(MCM) |
|--------------|--|---|--------------------------------|---------------|---|
| Karimpur - I | 119.23 | 138.94 | 116.54 | Semi-critical | 3.84 |

Table 9: Availability of Ground Water resource

6. Chemical Quality of Ground Water & Contamination

Average data of chemical parameters in the block based on the analysis from 8 to 10 monitoring wells are given below. For Arsenic data, 3 to 4 monitoring wells are considered, as analyzed by CGWB.

Table 10: Range of chemical parameter (based on CGWB Monitoring Wells data)

| Block | Aquifer | As | рН | EC | Na | CI | F | NO ³ | Total Hardness as |
|--------------|---------|--------|-------|---------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| | Туре | (mg/l) | - | (Us/Cm) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | CaCo ³ (mg/l) |
| Karimpur - I | Ι | 0.003- | 8.37- | 282.9- | 10.8- | 20.0- | BDL- | 0.1- | 150-225 |
| - | | 0.332 | 8.44 | 759.3 | 84.2 | 72.5 | 1.5 | 27.0 | |

| Name of arsenic | No. of | | | Arseni | c Concent | ration (in m | ng/l) | | |
|--------------------------|----------|----------|-----|---------------|-----------|--------------|-------|---------------|--|
| affected block Tube well | | <& =0.01 | | >0.01 &<=0.05 | | >0.05 | | Max. | |
| | analysed | % | No. | % | No. | % | No. | concentration | |
| Karimpur - I | 1177 | 29.57 | 348 | 28.04 | 330 | 42.40 | 499 | 1.08 | |



Fig. 3: Spot values of As in Karimpur – I Block, Nadia district

7. Ground Water Resource Enhancement & Management Plan

8.1 Ground Water Management Plan for drinking purposes

- As per the ground water policy, first priority of water is for drinking purpose.
- The Block is declared by the State Arsenic Task Force, as Arsenic affected.
- As per the Status of Rural Water Supply Schemes published by WBPHED a population of 5244 in 4 villages is under risk zone where no water supply scheme exists.
- On the basis of data available, 2 tube wells are required for catering four uncovered villages. Details of calculation are given below.
- The arsenic free aquifers in the depth span of 154m to 185m & 282m to 294m (comparatively less potential) may be exploited for the purpose.
- Arsenic free aquifer should be tapped with proper cement sealing. Arsenic removal plant may be installed before supply.
- Regular Field monitoring is necessary for Arsenic concentration in tube wells.

Table 12: Number and cost for construction of Tube wells in the uncovered villagescalculated on the basis of projected population of Human and Cattle

| Block | Projecte d populati on upto 2021 (consid ering growth 21.09% per decade as per Census 2011 | Water required for drinking & domestic purposes @ 70 lpcd (in lpd) | Cattle Populatio n (Consider ing 0.19 per capita human populatio n) as on 2011 | Cattle Population (Consideri ng 0.36 annual growth rate) as on 2021 | Water required for drinking & domestic purposes @ 20 lpcd (in lpd) | Total Water Required (in Ipd) | Numb er of T. Ws | Cost of the tube well of 300 m depth (approx) & 10"x6" dia @ Rs. 25 lakhs (In lakh) as per EFC |
|----------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|------------------------|---|
| Karimpur- I | 6350 | 444500 | 7556 | 10276 | 205520 | 650020 | 2 | 50 |

8.2 Management Plan for Irrigation

Table 13: Availability of Land for Future Irrigation

| Sr. No. | District | Block | Geographical area in ha | Cultivable area in ha | Net irrigated Command area (GW) in ha | Net irrigated Command area (SW) in ha | Net irrigated Command area (GW +SW) in ha | Net area available for Irrigation in ha | Demand i.e. Water required for irrigation in ham | GW available for future Irrigation in ham |
|---------|----------|------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|---|
| 1 | Nadia | Karimpur I | 21227 | 15217 | 13377.43 | 727.22 | 14104.65 | 1112.35 | - | - |

- On the basis of the Ground Water Resource Assessment, the block is under Semicritical condition and the Stage of Ground Water Development (SOD) is 116.54 %. Hence, irrigation by exploiting the unconfined aquifer is not advisable.
- As indicated in the above mentioned Table, ground water is not available for future irrigation in this block. Surface water bodies like streams, canals, ponds may be used for irrigation purposes for the available land.
- Irrigation by modern techniques like Sprinkler, Drip irrigation may be utilised. Crops consuming low amount of water should be cultivated.
- Conjunctive use of ground water and surface water may be applied for irrigation
- Artificial recharge is must in Arsenic affected zone for dilution of Arsenic concentration in unconfined aquifer for irrigation purpose also, so that there is no Arsenic contamination in food chain system.
- Regular monitoring of Arsenic concentration in crop is also necessary.
- R & D study is necessary in arsenic affected area so we can get new solutions in

future.

Table 14: Ground Water Management Plan for Irrigation in consultation with experts of

| Block | Ground water availabilit y(Ham,) | Qualit y | Major crops/veg etables/ fruits/flow ers currently in practice | Water column depth(m) | Crops suggested for better management(con sidering ground water quality & quantity) | Water column depth(m) recommende d | Remarks e.g. Irrigatio n techniqu es etc |
|----------------|---|-------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| Karimpur- I | - | As | wheat, rice, mustard, cabbage, cauliflower, brinjal, okra, lentil | wheat(0.3- 0.35),rice(1. 2- 1.4),Vegeta ble(0.15- 0.2),pulse(0 .1-0.12) | wheat, mustard, lentil, flowers, vegetables | wheat(0.2- 0.25),mustard (0.2),pulse(0. 08- 0.12),flowers(0.12-0.16) | Conjuncti ve use of fresh and contamin ated water: 1:1 ratio/drip for vegetabl e, flowers |

Bidhan Chandra Krishi Vidyalaya (BCKV)

8.3 Management Plan for Industrial Purpose

The block is under Semi-critical condition and is mainly agriculture based rural area. There is a less chance for growing up of small scale industries. However, in near future, if any industry is coming up, the following steps should be considered.

- All industries proposing to draw ground water through energized means, need to obtain NOC for ground water withdrawal from the State Ground Water Authority (SGWA)
- All industries abstracting ground water > 500 m³/day in the semi-critical assessment unit, have to implement mandatorily, artificial recharge measures, as per the norms.
- All the industries need to recharge 90 % of the quantum of ground water withdrawal.
- The Authority is to issue NOC for various uses and monitor its compliance. The NOC should be vested with the District Magistrate/ Deputy Commissioner/ State Ground Water Authority/ State Nodal Agency/ Central Ground Water Authority, as per details given in the guidelines of CGWA.

8. Special interventions for monitoring of Ground water situations in Semi-Critical Block

As per the GEC Norms for Semi-critical block, the following precautions should be taken before GW extraction.

- It is necessary to increase the density of observation wells in that unit for regular water level monitoring and thereby-
- The rainfall recharge during monsoon season by the water table fluctuation method can be estimated with greater accuracy.
- The trend of water table during pre- monsoon and post-monsoon intervals can be evaluated with greater accuracy.
- The trend of water table during pre- monsoon and post- monsoon intervals consequent to further groundwater development can be more effectively monitored.

Net Pre Post irrig Area Average Geog Averag Cultiva monsoo monsoo raphi to be SO e Pre Post ate Name of n WL n WL ble Remarks for GW irrigat D in monso monsoon cal d Block Trend Trend Management Plan area in WL in area are ed in % on WL 2016 in 2016 in ha in ha a in ha in mbgl mbgl cm/yr cm/yr ha 2122 15217 141 1112. Block is under semi critical condition so 7 04. 35 65 Regular Monitoring of 116. 6.22 10.57 GW Regime should be Karimpur- I 5.81 4.97 54 made from time to time, Boro cultivation should be restricted

9. Proposed Artificial Recharge Structures in the Study area:

Table 15: Space Available For Recharge and Proposed Interventions:

Table 16: Area suitable for recharge in the study area:

| | | | • |
|----------|------------|----------------|--------------------------------|
| District | Block Name | Block Area (in | Area suitable for |
| | | ha) | recharge(Considering area |
| | | | having DTW more than 3m in |
| | | | post-monsoon and showing |
| | | | 2cm/y falling trend)(in ha) |
| Nadia | Karimpur-I | 21227 | 21227 (considering the average |
| | | | criteria) |

Run off coefficie nt from Dhruv anara yana, 1993(Total Norm al Land volum mons e of slope, surfac oon type rainfal of е l in land runoff m(50 Annual and availa yrs total soil ble 50% of volume V (Non 60% of data type) Annua from Are of rain 'C' lly 'Vt' committ Vnc(consi fall in land Major type of (RnXA 75% of dering edata.g a(H ed)= flow)= Vf soil available 'Vt' = V ov.in) a) Ham=(R slope XC) Vnc 'A' 0-5% Block 'Rn' n X A) in that block Ham Ham Ham Ham Deep, poorly Karimp 212 20271.7 10135. 7601.9 3800.9 2280.575 0.955 0.5 drained ur I 27 85 8925 19 59688 813 loamy soil

Table 17: Calculation of Surface runoff on the basis of Runoff co efficient fromDhruvanarayana, 1993 (Based on land slope, type of land and soil)

Table 18: Details of Recharge structure in block calculated on the basis of soil characteristic, Slope, Rain fall data and Long term

trend

| Block | Amount | | Sourc | | Sourc | | | | | | | | | | Tot |
|-------|------------|----------|--------|----------|---------|-----------|----------|-----------|---------|---------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-----|
| (3) | of water | Source | е | | е | | | | | | | | | | al |
| | for | water | water | | water | | | | | | | | | | Co |
| | artificial | allocati | alloca | | alloca | | | | | | Nos. | | | | st |
| | recharg | on for | tion | | tion | | | | | | of | Cost | | | (in |
| | e and / | Percol | for | Source | for | | | | Nos. of | | REE | of | | | Lak |
| | or | ation | REET | water | Injecti | | | | Percol | | Т | REET | | | h) |
| | conserv | Tank | with | allocati | on | | | | ation | Cost of | with | with | Nos. | Cost | |
| | ation | and | Rech | on for | Well | Source | | | tank | Percol | recha | Rech | of | of | |
| | (Ham) | REET | arge | Percol | (8) | water | | Cost of | sugges | ation | rge | arge | inject | inject | |
| | (4) | with | Shaft | ation | | allocatio | Nos. of | conserv | ted @ | tank@ | shaft | Shaft | ion | ion | |
| | | Rechar | (Ham) | Tank | | n for | Conserv | ation | 50 | Rs 8 | @ 10 | @ Rs | Well | Well | |
| | | ge | : 50 % | (Ham): | | Conserv | ation | pond | Ham | lakh | Ham | 8 lakh | @ 30 | @ | |
| | | Shaft | of | 50 % | | ation | Pond @ | @ Rs 8 | per | per | per | per | Ham | Rs | |
| | | in Ham | Col. 5 | of Col. | | Pond in | 10 Ham | lakh per | unit | unit | unit | unit | per | 25 | |
| | | (5) | (6) | 5 (7) | | Ham (9) | per unit | unit (12) | (11) | (13) | (11) | (12) | unit | lakh | |
| | | | | | 25 % | | | | | | | | | | 15 |
| | | | | | of | | | | | | | | | | 87 |
| Karim | | 35% of | | | Col. 4 | 40 % of | | | | | | | | | |
| nanm | | Col. 4 | | | i.e. | Col. 4 | | | | | | | | | |
| puri | | i.e. | | | 570.1 | i.e. | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2280.57 | 798.20 | | | 4 | 912.23 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 6 | Ham | 399.1 | 399.1 | Ham | Ham | 91 | 728 | 8 | 64 | 40 | 320 | 19 | 475 | |

2. KARIMPUR II BLOCK

1.0 Salient Information

Block Name: Karimpur II Area (in sq km): 241.18 District: Nadia State: West Bengal Population (as on 2011): 217136

Table 1.1 - Details of Population

| Male | Female | Total |
|--------|--------|--------|
| 111488 | 105648 | 217136 |

Rainfall: Average annual rainfall (Nadia district) for the period 2012-2016 (in mm): 1214.24

| Block | District Normal | Actual (Annual) | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| | | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | | | | |
| Karimpur II | 1444 | 862.0 | 1287.8 | 1096.8 | 1408.1 | 1416.5 | | | | |

Agriculture & Irrigation

Total area in ha: 24118

Table 1.3 - Details of Land use pattern of block

| SI. No | Name of the Block | Geographic Area (ha) | Cultivable Area (ha) | Area under pasture & orchard (ha) | Cultivable Waste Land(ha) | Forest Land (ha) | Home Stead Land (ha) |
|-----------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---|---------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| 1. | Karimpur-II | 24118 | 17168 | 217 | (Negligible) | (Negligible) | 4712 |

Aquifer Wise Ground Water Resource Availability & Extraction:

| Resource Availability | Aquifer I | Aquifer II | Aquifer III | Extraction (for Aquifer I) |
|--------------------------|-----------|------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| Dynamic Resource | 133.20 | - | - | 164.41 |
| Static Resource | 2902.84 | - | - | - |

Table 1.4 - Details of aquifer wise resource availability and draft (in MCM) in Block

2.0 Disposition of Principal Aquifer System:

In Karimpur II Block, three aquifer systems exist.

- The average depth range of 1staquifer is from 10m to 159m, but ground water in this contains Arsenic.
- The average depth range of 2ndaquifer is from 179m to 210m which is fresh and Arsenic free.
- The average depth range of 3rdaquifer is 215 m to 224 m, which is also fresh and Arsenic free.

Table 2.1 - Details of aquifer disposition depth range in the block

| Karimpur-II | 1st Aquifer | 2nd aquifer | 3rd aquifer | |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| | 10-159 m | 179-210 m | 215-224 m | |



Fig 2.1 - Aquifer disposition in Karimpur II Block



Fig 2.2 – Cross section index line in Karimpur II Block



Fig 2.3 - Cross section of Karimpur II & nearby area

Table 2.2 - Aquifer Wise Water Level Ranges & Seasonal long term trends (2006 -17)

| SI. | Aquifer | Pre | e-monsoon | | Post-monsoon | | | |
|-----|-------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|
| NO. | | Depth to | Tre | nd | Depth to | Trend | | |
| | water level | | Rise (cm/year) | Fall (cm/year) | water level | Rise (cm/year) | Fall (cm/year) | |
| 1. | I | 3.84 - 4.87 | - | 3.00 | 3.37 – 4.24 | - | 9.09 | |
| 2. | II | 4.33 – 5.90 | - | - | 3.26 – 5.09 | - | - | |

Table 2.3 - Aquifer wise (Maximum) thickness

| Block | Area (sq km) | Thickness of the Granular Zone in 1st aquifer (m) | Thickness of the Granular Zone in 2nd aquifer (m) | Thickness of the Granular Zone in 3rd aquifer (m) |
|-------------|-----------------|---|---|---|
| Karimpur-II | 241.18 | 149 | 31 | 9 |

Table 2.4 - Aquifer-wise depth range and parameters

| Name of Block | 1 st Aquifer | | | | 2 nd | | 3 rd Aquifer | | | | |
|---------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|---|--------------------------|---|-------------------|
| | Depth Range (mbgl) | Discharg e (m³/hr) | T (m²/da y) | S | Depth Range (mbgl) | Discharge (m³/hr) | T (m²/ day) | S | Depth Range (mbgl) | Disc harg e (m ³ / hr) | T (m²/d ay) |
| Karimpur-II | 10 - 159 | - | - | - | 179 - 210 | - | - | - | 215 - 224 | - | - |

3.0 Ground Water Resource, Extraction, Contamination & Other Issues:

Resource Availability & Extraction: Dynamic ground water resources as on 31st March'13

| Block | Net ground Water availability (MCM) | Gross ground Water draft (MCM) | Stage of Development (%) | Category | Provision for domestic and industrial requirement supply up to 2035 years (MCM) |
|-------------|--|---|--------------------------------|---------------|---|
| Karimpur II | 133.20 | 164.41 | 123.43 | Semi-critical | 4.42 |

Table 3.1 - Availability of Ground Water resource in Block

Chemical Quality of Ground Water & Contamination:

Average data of chemical parameters in the block based on the analysis from 8 to 10 monitoring wells are given below. For Arsenic data, 3 to 4 monitoring wells are considered.

 Table 3.2 - Range of chemical parameter in the block

| Block | Aquifer | As | рН | EC | Na | Cl | F | NO ₃ | Total Hardness as |
|-------------|---------|--------|-------|---------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| | Туре | (mg/l) | | (µs/Cm) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | CaCO ₃ (mg/l) |
| Karimpur II | 1 | 0.002- | 8.33- | 398.8- | 17.7- | 12.5- | BDL- | 1.2- | 130- 275 |
| | | 0.008 | 8.50 | 1077 | 100.4 | 110 | 0.51 | 27.7 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Table 3.3 - Arsenic Concentration in ground water in detail

| Name of arsenic | No. of | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|-----------|----------|-----|---------|---------------|-------|-----|---------------|--|
| affected block | Tube well | <& =0.01 | | >0.01 & | >0.01 &<=0.05 | | 05 | Max. | |
| | analysed | % | No. | % | No. | % | No. | concentration | |
| Karimpur II | 1697 | 26.34 | 447 | 27.64 | 469 | 45.96 | 780 | 0.93 | |

(Source – PHED, Govt. of West Bengal)



Fig. 3.1 – Spot values of Arsenic in ground water

4.0 Ground Water Resource Enhancement & Management Plan:

Ground Water Management Plan for Drinking purposes-

- As per the ground water policy, first priority of water is for drinking purpose.
- The Block is declared by the State Arsenic Task Force, as Arsenic affected.
- As per the Status of Rural Water Supply Schemes published by WBPHED a population of 63224 in 12 villages is under risk zone where no water supply scheme exists.
- On the basis of data available, 22 tube wells are required for catering twelve uncovered villages. Details of calculation are given below.
- The arsenic free aquifers in the depth span of 179m to 210m & 215m to 224m (comparatively less potential) may be exploited for the purpose.
- Arsenic free aquifer should be tapped with proper cement sealing. Arsenic removal plant may be installed before supply.
- Regular Field monitoring is necessary for Arsenic concentration in tube wells.

Table 4.1 – Nos & cost of Tube wells in the uncovered (projected) population of Human and Cattle

| Block | Projected population upto 2021 (considering growth 21.09% per decade as per Census 2011 | Water required for drinking & domestic purposes @ 70 lpcd (in lpd) | Cattle Population (Considering 0.19 per capita human population) as on 2011 | Cattle Population (Considering 0.36 annual growth rate) as on 2021 | Water required for drinking & domestic purposes @ 20 lpcd (in lpd) | Total Water Required (in lpd) | Number of T.Ws | Cost of the tube well of 300 m depth (approx) & 10"x6" dia @ Rs. 25 lakhs (In lakh) as per EFC |
|------------|--|--|---|---|--|--|-------------------|--|
| Karimpurll | 76564 | 5359480 | 91111 | 123911 | 2478220 | 7837700 | 22 | 550 |

Management Plan for Irrigation

Table 4.2 - Availability of Land for Future Irrigation

| Sr. | Distri | Block | Geogra | Cultivab | Net | Net | Net | Net area | Demand | GW | Remar |
|-----|--------|--------|---------|----------|-----------|-----------|---------------|-----------|-----------|----------|-------|
| No. | ct | | phical | le area | irrigated | irrigated | irrigated | availabl | i.e. | availab | ks |
| | | | area in | in ha | Comman | Comma | Comman | e for | Water | le for | |
| | | | ha | | d area | nd area | d area (| Irrigatio | required | future | |
| | | | | | (GW) in | (SW) in | GW | n in ha | for | Irrigati | |
| | | | | | па | па | +3w)III ha | | Irrigatio | on in | |
| | | | | | | | IIa | | n in ham | ham | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. | Nadia | Karimp | 24118 | 17168 | 18821.11 | 682.5 | 19503.61 | -2335.61 | Nil | - | |
| | | ur- II | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

- On the basis of the Ground Water Resource Assessment, the block is under Semicritical condition and the Stage of Ground Water Development (SOD) is 123.43 %. Hence, irrigation by exploiting the unconfined aquifer is not advisable.
- As indicated in the above mentioned Table, the net area for irrigation is not at all available, even over-irrigated. Hence, over-irrigation may be stopped and no further irrigation is suggested with ground water.
- Irrigation by modern techniques like Sprinkler, Drip irrigation may be utilised.
 Crops consuming low amount of water should be cultivated.
- Conjunctive use of ground water and surface water may be applied for irrigation
- Artificial recharge is advisable in Arsenic affected zone for dilution of Arsenic concentration in unconfined aquifer for irrigation purpose also, so that there is no Arsenic contamination in food chain system.
- Regular monitoring of Arsenic concentration in crop is also necessary.

 R & D study is necessary in arsenic affected area so we can get new solutions in future.

| Block | Ground water availabili ty(Ham,) | Qua lity | Major crops/vegetables/ fruits/flowers currently in practice | Water column depth(m) | Crops suggested for better management(consideri ng ground water quality & quantity) | Water column depth(m) recommended | Remarks e.g. Irrigation techniques etc |
|-------------|---|-------------|--|--|---|---|---|
| Karimpur-II | - | As | wheat, rice, mustard, cabbage, cauliflower, brinjal, okra, lentil | Wheat (0.3- 0.35), rice (1.2-1.4), Vegetable (0.15-0.2), pulse (0.1- 0.12) | wheat, mustard, lentil, flowers, vegetables | Wheat (0.2-0.25), mustard (0.2), pulse (0.08-0.12), lowers (0.12-0.16) | Conjunctive use of fresh and contaminat ed water: 1:1 ratio/drip for vegetables, flowers |

Table 4.3 - Ground Water Management Plan for Irrigation in consultation with experts of BidhanChandra Krishi Vidyalaya (BCKV)

Table 4.4 – Important points for Irrigation

| Name of Block | Geogra phical area in ha | Cultivabl e area in ha | Net irriga ted area in ha | Area to be irrigate d in ha | SOD in % | Pre monsoon WL Trend 2016 in cm/yr | Post monsoon WL Trend 2016 in cm/yr | Average Pre monsoon WL in mbgl | Average Post monsoon WL in mbgl | Remarks for GW Management Plan |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|--|---|--|---------------------------------------|---|
| Karimpur-II | 24118 | 17168 | 1950 3.61 | 2335.61 | 123.4 3 | 3.00 | 9.09 | 4.82 | 4.00 | Block is under semi critical condition so Regular Monitoring of GW Regime should be made from time to time, Boro cultivation should be restricted |

Management Plan for Industrial Purpose:

The block is under Semi-critical condition and is mainly agriculture based rural area. There is a less chance for growing up of small scale industries. However, in near future, if any industry is coming up, the following steps should be considered.

- All industries proposing to draw ground water through energized means, need to obtain NOC for ground water withdrawal from the State Ground Water Authority (SGWA)
- All industries abstracting ground water > 500 m³/day in the semi-critical assessment unit, have to implement mandatorily, artificial recharge measures, as per the norms.
- All the industries need to recharge 90 % of the quantum of ground water withdrawal.

 The Authority is to issue NOC for various uses and monitor its compliance. The NOC should be vested with the District Magistrate/ Deputy Commissioner/ State Ground Water Authority/ State Nodal Agency/ Central Ground Water Authority, as per details given in the guidelines of CGWA.

Special interventions for monitoring of Ground water situations in Semi-Critical Block

As per the GEC Norms for Semi-critical block, the following precautions should be taken before GW extraction.

- It is necessary to increase the density of observation wells in that unit for regular water level monitoring and thereby-
- The rainfall recharge during monsoon season by the water table fluctuation method can be estimated with greater accuracy.
- The trend of water table during pre- monsoon and post-monsoon intervals can be evaluated with greater accuracy.
- The trend of water table during pre- monsoon and post- monsoon intervals consequent to further groundwater development can be more effectively monitored.

Artificial Recharge:

Table 4.5 - Area suitable for recharge in the study area:

| District | Block Name | Block Area (in ha) | Area suitable for recharge (Considering area having DTW more than 3m in post- monsoon and showing 20 cm/yr falling trend)(in ha) |
|----------|-------------|--------------------|---|
| Nadia | Karimpur-II | 24118 | 24118 (considering the average criteria) |

Table 4.6 – Estimation of Surface runoff by Dhruvanarayana method, 1993 (Based on land slope, type of land and soil)

| Block | Normal | Area(Ha | Annual total | Run off co- | Major type of soil | Total | 75% of 'Vt' = | 50% of V | 60% of |
|-------------|-------------|---------|----------------|-------------|-------------------------|-----------|---------------|-------------|-----------------|
| | monsoon |) 'A' | volume of rain | efficient | available in that block | volume of | V Ham | (Non | Vnc(considering |
| | rainfall in | | fall in | from | | surface | | committed)= | e-flow)= Vf Ham |
| | m(50 yrs | | Ham=(Rn X A) | Dhruvanar | | runoff | | Vnc Ham | |
| | data from | | | ayana,199 | | available | | | |
| | data.gov.in | | | 3(Land | | Annually | | | |
| |) 'Rn' | | | slope, type | | 'Vt' | | | |
| | | | | of land and | | (RnXAXC) | | | |
| | | | | soil type) | | Ham | | | |
| | | | | 'C' land | | | | | |
| | | | | slope 0-5% | | | | | |
| Karimpur II | 1.053 | 24118 | 25396.254 | 0.5 | Deep, poorly drained | 12698.127 | 9523.5953 | 4761.7976 | 2857.078575 |
| | | | | | loamy soil | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Table 4.7 – Possible Recharge & conservation structures using harvested run off in block based on soil characteristic, Slope, Rain fall data and Long term trend & cost estimate

| Block (1) | Amount of | Source | Source | Source water | Source water allocation | Source | Nos. of | Cost of | Nos. of | Cost of | Nos. of | Cost of | Nos. of | Cost of | Total |
|-------------|--------------|--------------|------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------|----------|-----------|----------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| | water for | water | water | allocation for | for Injection Well (6) | water | Farm | Farm | Irrigation Cum | Irrigation | REET | REET | injection | injection | Cost (16) |
| | artificial | allocation | allocation | Irrigation Cum | | allocation | Pond @ | pond @ | Recharge Tank | Cum | with | with | Well @ | Well @ | |
| | recharge | for | for REET | Recharge Tank | | for Farm | 10 Ham | Rs 8 lakh | suggested @ 50 | Recharge | recharge | Recharge | 30 Ham | Rs 25 | |
| | and / or | Irrigation | with | (Ham): 50 % of Col. 3 | | Pond in | per unit | per unit | Ham per unit | Tank @ | shaft @ | Shaft @ | per unit | lakh (15) | |
| | conservation | Cum | Recharge | (5) | | Ham (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | Rs 8 lakh | 10 Ham | Rs 8 lakh | (14) | | |
| | (Ham) (2) | Recharge | Shaft | | | | | | | per unit | per unit | per unit | | | |
| | | Tank and | (Ham): | | | | | | | (11) | (12) | (13) | | | |
| | | REET with | 50 % of | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Recharge | Col. 3 (4) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Shaft in | | | | | | | | | | | | | (in Lakh) |
| | | Ham (3) | | | | | | | | | | | | | 、 <i>,</i> |
| Karimpur II | 2857.0786 | 70 % of Col. | 999.98 | 999.98 | 20 % of Col. 2 i.e. | 10 % of | 29 | 232 | 20 | 160 | 100 | 800 | 19 | 475 | 1667 |
| | | 2 i.e. | | | 571.42 Ham | Col. 2 i.e. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1999.96 | | | | 285.71 Ham | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Ham | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

REET with Recharge Shaft

Re-excavation of existing tank with Recharge Shaft

3. TEHATTA I BLOCK

1.0 Salient Information

Block Name: Tehatta-I Area (in sq km): 258.30 District: Nadia State: West Bengal Population (as on 2011): 244322

Table 1.1 - Details of Population

| Male | Female | Total |
|--------|--------|--------|
| 125875 | 118447 | 244322 |

Rainfall: Average annual rainfall (Nadia district) for the period 2012-2016 (in mm): 1214.24

| Block | District Normal | | | Actual (Annual) | | |
|------------|--------------------|-------|--------|-----------------|--------|--------|
| | | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Tehatta- I | 1444 | 862.0 | 1287.8 | 1096.8 | 1408.1 | 1416.5 |

Agriculture & Irrigation

Total area in ha: 25830

Table 1.3 - -Details of Land use pattern of block

| SI. No | Name of the Block | Geographic Area (ha) | Cultivable Area (ha) | Area under pasture & orchard (ha) | Cultivable Waste Land(ha) | Forest Land (ha) | Home Stead Land (ha) |
|-----------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---|---------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| 1. | Tehatta- I | 25830 | 19750 | 241 | (Negligible) | (Negligible) | 5241 |
Aquifer Wise Ground Water Resource Availability & Extraction:

| Resource Availability | Aquifer I | Aquifer II | Aquifer III | Extraction (for Aquifer I) |
|--------------------------|-----------|------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| Dynamic Resource | 138.33 | - | - | 153.06 |
| Static Resource | 5176.15 | - | - | - |

Table 1.4 - Aquifer wise resource availability and draft (in MCM) in Block

2.0 Disposition of Principal Aquifer System:

In Tehatta- I Block, two aquifer systems exist.

- The average depth range of 1staquifer is from 9m to 67m, but this contains ground water which contains Arsenic.
- The average depth range of 2ndaquifer is from 70m to 177m which is fresh and Arsenic free.

Table 2.1 - Details of aquifer disposition depth range in the block

| Tehatta-I | 1st Aquifer | 2nd aquifer | 3rd aquifer |
|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 9-67 m | 70-177 m | - |









Fig- 2.2 - N- S Cross section of Tehatta I & Tehatta II (combined) Blocks

Table 2.2 - Aquifer Wise Water Level Ranges & Seasonal long term water level trends (2006 -2017)

| SI. | Aquifer | | Pre-monsoon | | Post-monsoon | | | |
|-----|---------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|
| No. | | Depth to | Trend | | Depth to | Trend | | |
| | | water level | Rise (cm/year) | Fall (cm/year) | water level | Rise (cm/year) | Fall (cm/year) | |
| 1. | I | 3.30 – 6.37 | - | 1.67 | 2.56 – 5.57 | - | 8.32 | |
| 2. | 11 | 3.92 – 7.27 | - | - | 3.11 - 6.23 | - | - | |

Table 2.3 - Aquifer wise (Maximum) thickness

| Block | Area (sq km) | Thickness of the Granular Zone in 1st aquifer (m) | Thickness of the Granular Zone in 2nd aquifer (m) |
|-----------|-----------------|---|---|
| Tehatta-I | 258.30 | 58 | 107 |

Table 2.4 - Aquifer-wise depth range and parameters

| Name of Block | | 1 st Aquife | r | | 2 nd Aquifer | | | | |
|---------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|---|--|
| | Depth Range (m bgl) | Dischar ge (m³/hr) | T (m²/da y) | S | Depth Range (m bgl) | Discharge (m ³ /hr) | T (m²/ day) | S | |
| Tehatta-I | 9 – 67; 2 - 186 | - | - | - | 70 - 177 | - | - | - | |

3.0 Ground Water Resource, Extraction, Contamination & Other Issues:

Resource Availability & Extraction: Dynamic ground water resources as on 31st March 2013

| Table 3.1 | Availability of | Ground Water | resource in Block |
|-----------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------|
|-----------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------|

| Block | Net ground Water availability (MCM) | Gross ground Water draft (MCM) | Stage of Development (%) | Category | Provision for domestic and industrial requirement supply up to 2035 years(MCM) |
|-----------|--|---|--------------------------------|---------------|--|
| Tehatta-I | 138.34 | 153.06 | 110.64 | Semi-critical | 5.01 |

Chemical Quality of Ground Water & Contamination:

Average data of chemical parameters in the block based on the analysis from 8 to 10 monitoring wells are given below. For Arsenic data, 3 to 4 monitoring wells are considered

| Block | Aquifer | As | рН | EC | Na | Cl | F | NO ₃ | Total Hardness |
|-----------|---------|---------|-------|---------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|----------------|
| | Туре | (mg/l) | | (µs/Cm) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | as CaCO₃(mg/l) |
| Tehatta I | I | 0.0001- | 8.45- | 382.2- | 10.9- | 12.5- | BDL- | BDL- 1.7 | 115- 180 |
| | | 0.044 | 8.49 | 1032.0 | 90.4 | 47.5 | 0.42 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Table 3.3 - Arsenic concentration (based on PHED hand pump data)

| Name of arsenic | No. of | | | Arseni | c Concent | ration (in m | ng/l) | |
|-----------------|-----------|-------|----------|--------|---------------|--------------|-------|---------------|
| affected block | Tube well | <& = | <& =0.01 | | >0.01 &<=0.05 | | 05 | Max. |
| | analysed | % | No. | % | No. | % | No. | concentration |
| Tehatta I | 1368 | 69.23 | 947 | 20.10 | 275 | 10.67 | 146 | 0.54 |



Fig. 3.1 – Spot values of Arsenic (mg/l) in block

4.0 Ground Water Resource Enhancement& Management Plan:

Ground Water Management Plan for Drinking purposes-

- As per the ground water policy, first priority of water is for drinking purpose.
- The Block is declared by the State Arsenic Task Force, as Arsenic affected.
- As per the Status of Rural Water Supply Schemes published by WBPHED a population of 95511 in 23 villages is under risk zone where no water supply scheme exists.
- On the basis of data available, 24 tube wells are required for catering twenty-three uncovered villages. Details of calculation are given below.
- The arsenic free aquifers in the depth span of 70m to 177m (comparatively less potential) may be exploited for the purpose.
- Arsenic free aquifer should be tapped with proper cement sealing. Arsenic removal plant may be installed before supply.
- Regular Field monitoring is necessary for Arsenic concentration in tube wells.

Table 4.1 – Nos. & cost of Tube wells in the uncovered (projected) population of Human and Cattle

| Block | Projected population upto 2021 (considering growth 21.09% per decade as per Census 2011 | Water required for drinking & domestic purposes @ 70 lpcd (in lpd) | Cattle Population (Considering 0.19 per capita human population) as on 2011 | Cattle Population (Considering 0.36 annual growth rate) as on 2021 | Water required for drinking & domestic purposes @ 20 lpcd (in lpd) | Total Water Required (in lpd) | Number of T. Ws | Cost of the tube well of 300 m depth (approx) & 10"x6" dia @ Rs. 25 lakhs (In lakh) as per EFC |
|-----------|--|--|---|---|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---|
| Tehatta I | 115664 | 8096480 | 19583 | 20300 | 406001 | 8502481 | 24 | 600 |

Management Plan for Irrigation:

- On the basis of the Ground Water Resource Assessment, the block is under Semicritical condition and the Stage of Ground Water Development (SOD) is 110.64 %. Hence, irrigation by exploiting the unconfined aquifer is not advisable.
- As indicated in the above mentioned Table, ground water is not available for future irrigation in this block. Surface water bodies like streams, canals, ponds may be used for irrigation purposes for the available land.
- Irrigation by modern techniques like Sprinkler, Drip irrigation may be utilised.
 Crops consuming low amount of water should be cultivated.
- Conjunctive use of ground water and surface water may be applied for irrigation
- Artificial recharge is advisable in Arsenic affected zone for dilution of Arsenic concentration in unconfined aquifer for irrigation purpose also, so that there is no Arsenic contamination in food chain system.
- Regular monitoring of Arsenic concentration in crop is also necessary.
- R & D study is necessary in arsenic affected area so we can get new solutions in future.

| Sr. | Distri | Block | Geogra | Cultiva | Net | Net | Net | Net area | Demand | GW | Remar |
|-----|--------|---------|---------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-------|
| No. | ct | | phical | ble area | irrigated | irrigate | irrigated | availabl | i.e. | availab | ks |
| | | | area in | in ha | Comman | d | Comman | e for | Water | le for | |
| | | | ha | | d area | Comma | d area (| Irrigatio | required | future | |
| | | | | | (GW) 1n | nd area | GW | n in ha | for | Irrigati | |
| | | | | | ha | (SW) in | +SW) in | | Irrigatio | on in | |
| | | | | | | па | па | | n in | ham | |
| | | | | | | | | | ham | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. | Nadia | Tehatta | 25830 | 19750 | 12236.54 | 949.29 | 13185.83 | 6564.17 | - | - | - |
| | | Ι | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 4.2 - Availability of Land for Future Irrigation

Table 4.3 - Ground Water Management Plan for Irrigation in consultation with experts of Bidhan Chandra Krishi Vidyalaya (BCKV)

| Block | Ground water availabili ty(Ham,) | Qua lity | Major crops/vegetables/ fruits/flowers currently in practice | Water column depth(m) | Crops suggested for better management(consideri ng ground water quality & quantity) | Water column depth(m) recommended | Remarks e.g. Irrigation techniques etc |
|-----------|---|-------------|--|--|---|--|---|
| Tehatta-I | - | As | wheat, rice, mustard, cabbage, cauliflower, brinjal, okra, lentil | Wheat (0.3- 0.35), rice (1.2-1.4), Vegetable (0.15-0.2), pulse (0.1- 0.12) | wheat, mustard, lentil, flowers, vegetables | Wheat (0.2-0.25), mustard (0.2), pulse (0.08-0.12), flowers (0.12-0.16) | Conjunctive use of fresh and contaminat ed water: 1:1 ratio/drip for vegetables, flowers |

Table 4.4 – Important points for Future Irrigation

| Name of Block | Geogra phical area in ha | Cultivabl e area in ha | Net irriga ted area in ha | Area to be irrigate d in ha | SOD in % | Pre monsoon WL Trend 2016 in cm/yr | Post monsoon WL Trend 2016 in cm/yr | Average Pre monsoon WL in m bgl | Average Post monsoon WL in mbgl | Remarks for GW Management Plan |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|--|---|---|---------------------------------------|---|
| Tehatta-I | 25830 | 19750 | 1318 5.83 | 6564.17 | 110.6 4 | 1.67 | 8.32 | 5.94 | 5.00 | Block is under semi critical condition so Regular Monitoring of GW Regime should be made from time to time, Boro cultivation should be restricted |

Management Plan for Industrial Purpose:

The block is under Semi-critical condition and is mainly agriculture based rural area. There is a less chance for growing up of small scale industries. However, in near future, if any industry is coming up, the following steps should be considered.

- All industries proposing to draw ground water through energized means, need to obtain NOC for ground water withdrawal from the State Ground Water Authority (SGWA)
- All industries abstracting ground water > 500 m³/day in the semi-critical assessment unit, have to implement mandatorily, artificial recharge measures, as per the norms.
- All the industries need to recharge 90 % of the quantum of ground water withdrawal.

 The Authority is to issue NOC for various uses and monitor its compliance. The NOC should be vested with the District Magistrate/ Deputy Commissioner/ State Ground Water Authority/ State Nodal Agency/ Central Ground Water Authority, as per details given in the guidelines of CGWA.

Special interventions for monitoring of Ground water situations in Semi-Critical Block

As per the GEC Norms for Semi-critical block, the following precautions should be taken before GW extraction.

- It is necessary to increase the density of observation wells in that unit for regular water level monitoring and thereby-
- The rainfall recharge during monsoon season by the water table fluctuation method can be estimated with greater accuracy.
- The trend of water table during pre- monsoon and post-monsoon intervals can be evaluated with greater accuracy.
- The trend of water table during pre- monsoon and post- monsoon intervals consequent to further groundwater development can be more effectively monitored.

Artificial Recharge

Table 4.5 - Area suitable for recharge in the study area:

| District | Block Name | Block Area (in ha) | Area suitable for recharge(Considering area having DTW more than 3m in post- monsoon and showing 20 cm/y falling trend)(in ha) |
|----------|------------|--------------------|---|
| Nadia | Tehatta I | 25830 | 15830 (considering the average criteria) |

| Block | Normal | Area(Ha | Annual total | Run off co- | Major type of soil | Total | 75% of 'Vt' = | 50% of V | 60% of |
|------------|-------------|---------|----------------|-------------|-------------------------|------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------|
| | monsoon |) 'A' | volume of rain | efficient | available in that block | volume of | V Ham | (Non | Vnc(considering |
| | rainfall in | | fall in | from | | surface | | committed)= | e-flow)= Vf Ham |
| | m(50 yrs | | Ham=(Rn X A) | Dhruvanara | | runoff | | Vnc Ham | |
| | data from | | | yana,1993(| | available | | | |
| | data.gov.in | | | Land slope, | | Annually | | | |
| |) 'Rn' | | | type of | | 'Vt' | | | |
| | | | | land and | | (RnXAXC) | | | |
| | | | | soil type) | | Ham | | | |
| | | | | 'C' land | | | | | |
| | | | | slope 0-5% | | | | | |
| Tehatta- I | 1.053 | 25830 | 27198.99 | 0.42 | 25 % Deep, poorly | 11423.5758 | 8567.6819 | 4283.8409 | 2570.304555 |
| | | | | | drained loamy soil, 25 | | | | |
| | | | | | % imperfectly drained | | | | |
| | | | | | fine & 50% moderately | | | | |
| | | | | | drained sandy soil | | | | |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | | 1 |

Table 4.6 – Estimation of Surface runoff by Dhruvanarayana method, 1993 (Based on land slope, type of land and soil)

Table 4.7 - Possible Recharge & conservation structures using harvested run off in block based on soil characteristic, Slope, Rain fall data and Long term trend & cost estimate

| Block (1) | Amount of water for artificial recharge and / or conservation (Ham) (2) | Source water allocation for Irrigation Cum Recharge Tank and | Source water allocation for REET with Recharge Shaft (Ham): | Source water allocation for Irrigation Cum Recharge Tank (Ham): 50 % of Col. 3 (5) | Source water allocation for Injection Well (6) | Source water allocation for Farm Pond in Ham (7) | Nos. of Farm Pond @ 10 Ham per unit | Cost of Farm pond @ Rs 8 lakh per unit | Nos. of Irrigation Cum Recharge Tank suggested @ 50 Ham per unit | Cost of Irrigation Cum Recharge Tank @ Rs 8 lakh per unit (11) | Nos. of REET with recharge shaft @ 10 Ham per unit (12) | Cost of REET with Recharge Shaft @ Rs 8 lakh per unit (13) | Nos. of injection Well @ 30 Ham per unit (14) | Cost of injection Well @ Rs 25 lakh (15) | Total Cost (16) |
|----------------|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|-----------------------|
| Tehatta - i | 2570.3046 | REET with Recharge Shaft in Ham (3) 70 % of Col. 2 i.e. 1799.21 | 50 % of Col. 3 (4) 899.61 | 899.61 | 20 % of Col. 2 i.e. 514.06 Ham | 10 % of Col. 2 i.e. 257.04 | (8) 26 | (9) 208 | (10) | 144 | 90 | 270 | 17 | 425 | (in Lakh) 1047 |
| | | Ham | | | | Ham | | | | | | | | | |

REET with Recharge Shaft

Re-excavation of existing tank with Recharge Shaft

4. TEHATTA II BLOCK

1.0 Salient Information

Block Name: Tehatta- II Area (in sq km): 174.44 District: Nadia

State: West Bengal

Population (as on 2011): 151231

Table 1.1 - Details of Population

| Male | Female | Total |
|-------|--------|--------|
| 77299 | 73932 | 151231 |

Rainfall: Average annual rainfall (Nadia district) for the period 2012-2016 (in mm): 1214.24

Table 1.2 - Details of Annual Rainfall since last five years (mm)

| Block | District Normal | Actual (Annual) | | | | | | | |
|------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--|--|--|
| | | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | | | |
| Tehatta-II | 1444 | 862.0 | 1287.8 | 1096.8 | 1408.1 | 1416.5 | | | |

Agriculture & Irrigation

Total area in ha: 17444

Table 1.3 - Details of Land use pattern of block

| SI. | Name | Geographic | Cultivable | Area under | Cultivable | Forest | Home Stead |
|-----|------------|------------|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| No | of the | Area (ha) | Area (ha) | pasture & | Waste | Land (ha) | Land (ha) |
| | Block | | | orchard (ha) | Land(ha) | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 1. | Tehatta-II | 17444 | 15250 | 167 | (Negligible) | (Negligible) | 3622 |
| | | | | | | | |

Aquifer Wise Ground Water Resource Availability & Extraction:

| Resource Availability | Aquifer I | Aquifer II | Aquifer III | Extraction (for Aquifer I) |
|--------------------------|-----------|------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| Dynamic Resource | 98.68 | - | - | 109.76 |
| Static Resource | 2383.99 | - | - | - |

Table 1.4 - Details of aquifer wise resource availability and draft (in MCM) in Block

2.0 Disposition of Principal Aquifer System:

In Tehatta-II Block, three aquifer systems exist.

- The average depth range of 1staquifer is from 6m to 70m but ground water in it is Arsenic bearing.
- The average depth range of 2ndaquifer is from 62m to 143m which is fresh and Arsenic free.
- The average depth range of 3rdaquifer is from 216m to 232 m, which is also fresh and Arsenic free.

Table 2.1 - Details of aquifer disposition depth range in the block

| Tehatta-II | 1st Aquifer | 2nd aquifer | 3rd aquifer |
|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 6-70 m | 62-143 m | 216-232 m |



Fig 2.1 - Aquifer disposition in Tehatta II Block



Fig 2.2 - N- S Cross section of Tehatta-I & II (combined) Blocks

Table 2.3 - Aquifer Wise Water Level Ranges & Seasonal long term water level trends (2006 to2017)

| SI. | Aquifer | | Pre-monsoon Trend | | | Post-monsoon Trend | | | |
|-----|---------|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| NO. | | Depth to Rise Fal water (cm/year) (cm/y level | | Fall (cm/year) | Depth to water level | Rise (cm/year) | Fall (cm/year) | | |
| 1. | I | 4.03 – 4.55 | - 2.35 | | 3.21 – 3.75 | -3.06 | | | |
| 2. | II | 4.11 - | - | - | 3.84 – 5.69 | - | - | | |

Table 2.4 - Aquifer wise (Maximum) thickness

| Block | Area (sq km) | Thickness of the Granular Zone in 1st aquifer (m) | Thickness of the Granular Zone in 2nd aquifer (m) | Thickness of the Granular Zone in 3rd aquifer (m) | |
|------------|-----------------|---|---|---|--|
| Tehatta-II | 174.44 | 64 | 81 | 16 | |

Table 2.5 - Aquifer-wise depth range and parameters

| Name of Block | | 1 st Aquife | r | | 2 nd Aquifer | | | | 3 rd Aquifer | | |
|---------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------------|---|-------------------|
| | Depth Range (mbgl) | Discharg e (m³/hr) | T (m²/da y) | S | Depth Range (mbgl) | Discharge (m³/hr) | T (m²/ day) | S | Depth Range (mbgl) | Disc harg e (m ³ / hr) | T (m²/d ay) |
| Tehatta-II | 6 - 70 | 36 | - | - | 62 - 143 | 43 | 3000 | - | 216 - 232 | - | - |

3.0 Ground Water Resource, Extraction, Contamination & Other Issues:

Resource Availability & Extraction: Dynamic ground water resources as on 31st March 2013

Table 3.1 - Availability of Ground Water resource in Block

| Block | Net ground Water availability (MCM) | Gross ground Water draft (MCM) | Stage of Development (%) | Category | Provision for domestic and industrial requirement supply up to 2035 years(MCM) |
|------------|--|---|--------------------------------|---------------|--|
| Tehatta-II | 98.68 | 109.76 | 111.22 | Semi-critical | 3.09 |

Chemical Quality of Ground Water & Contamination:

Average data of chemical parameters in the block based on the analysis from 8 to 10 monitoring wells are given below. For Arsenic data, 3 to 4 monitoring wells are considered.

| Block | Aquifer Type | As (mg/l) | рН | EC (μs/Cm) | Na (mg/l) | Cl (mg/l) | F (mg/l) | NO₃ (mg/l) | Total Hardness as CaCO₃(mg/I) |
|------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------------------|
| Tehatta-II | 1 | 0.0002- 0.076 | 8.37- 8.46 | 328.6- 701.4 | 15.9- 48.1 | 12.5- 25.0 | BDL- 0.39 | BDL- 0.7 | 130- 160 |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Table 3.2 - Range of chemical parameter in the block

| Table 3.3 - Arsenic Concentration | (mg/l) in ground water |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|

| Name of arsenic | No. of | | Arsenic Concentration (in mg/l) | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|-----------|-------|---------------------------------|---------|---------------|------|-----|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| affected block | Tube well | <& = | 0.01 | >0.01 & | >0.01 &<=0.05 | | 05 | Max. | | | | | |
| | analysed | % | No. | % | No. | % | No. | concentration | | | | | |
| Tehatta-II | 755 | 60.53 | 457 | 33.11 | 250 | 6.36 | 48 | 0.34 | | | | | |

(Source - PHED, Govt. of West Bengal)



Fig. 3.1 – Spot values in ground water of shallow aquifer

4. Ground Water Resource Enhancement & Management Plan:

Ground Water Management Plan for Drinking purposes-

- As per the ground water policy, first priority of water is for drinking purposes.
- The Block is declared by the State Arsenic Task Force, as Arsenic affected.
- As per the Status of Rural Water Supply Schemes published by WBPHED a population of 25415 in 9 villages is under risk zone where no water supply scheme exists.
- On the basis of data available, 7 tube wells are required for catering nine uncovered villages. Details of calculation are given below.
- The arsenic free aquifers in the depth span of 62m to 143m (at places) & 216m to 232m (comparatively less potential) may be exploited for the purpose.
- Arsenic free aquifer should be tapped with proper cement sealing. Arsenic removal plant may be installed before supply.
- Regular Field monitoring is necessary for Arsenic concentration in tube wells.

Management Plan for Irrigation:

- On the basis of the Ground Water Resource Assessment, the block is under Semicritical condition and the Stage of Ground Water Development (SOD) is 111.22 %. Hence, irrigation by exploiting the unconfined aquifer is not advisable.
- As indicated in the above mentioned Table, ground water is not available for future irrigation in this block. Surface water bodies like streams, canals, ponds may be used for irrigation purposes for the available land.
- Irrigation by modern techniques like Sprinkler, Drip irrigation may be utilised.
 Crops consuming low amount of water should be cultivated.
- Conjunctive use of ground water and surface water may be applied for irrigation
- Artificial recharge is advisable in Arsenic affected zone for dilution of Arsenic concentration in unconfined aquifer for irrigation purpose also, so that there is no Arsenic contamination in food chain system.
- .Regular monitoring of Arsenic concentration in crop is also necessary.
- R & D study is necessary in arsenic affected area so we can get new solutions in future.

| Table 4.1 – Nos. & cost of Tube wells in uncovere | d (projected population) of Human and Cattle |
|---|--|
|---|--|

| Block | Projected population upto 2021 (consideri ng growth 21.09% per decade as per Census 2011 | Water required for drinking & domestic purposes @ 7 0 lpcd (in lpd) | Cattle Population (Considering 0.19 per capita human population) as on 2011 | Cattle Population (Considering 0.36 annual growth rate) as on 2021 | Water required for drinking & domestic purposes @ 20 lpcd (in lpd) | Total Water Required (in lpd) | Number of T. Ws | Cost of the tube well of 300 m depth (approx) & 10"x6" dia @ Rs. 25 lakhs (In lakh) as per EFC |
|------------|---|--|---|---|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---|
| Tehatta II | 30778 | 2154460 | 5848 | 7953 | 159060 | 2313520 | 7 | 175 |

Table 4.2 - Availability of Land for Future Irrigation

| Sr. No. | Distri ct | Block | Geogra phical area in ha | Cultiva ble area in ha | Net irrigated Comman d area (GW) in ha | Net irrigate d Comma nd area (SW) in ha | Net irrigated Comman d area (GW +SW) in ha | Net area availabl e for Irrigatio n in ha | Demand i.e. Water required for Irrigatio n in ham | GW availab le for future Irrigati on in ham | Remar ks |
|------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|---|-------------|
| 1 | Nadia | Tehatta II | 17444 | 15250 | 9955.83 | 1042.6 | 10998.43 | 4251.57 | - | - | - |

Table 4.3 - Ground Water Management Plan for Irrigation in consultation with experts of BidhanChandra Krishi Vidyalaya (BCKV)

| Block | Ground water availabili ty(Ham,) | Qua lity | Major crops/vegetables/ fruits/flowers currently in practice | Water column depth(m) | Crops suggested for better management(consideri ng ground water quality & quantity) | Water column depth(m) recommended | Remarks e.g. Irrigation techniques etc |
|------------|---|-------------|--|--|---|--|---|
| Tehatta-II | - | As | wheat, rice, mustard, cabbage, cauliflower, brinjal, okra, lentil | Wheat (0.3- 0.35), rice (1.2-1.4), Vegetable (0.15-0.2), pulse (0.1- 0.12) | wheat, mustard, lentil, flowers, vegetables | Wheat (0.2-0.25), mustard (0.2), pulse (0.08-0.12), flowers (0.12-0.16) | Conjunctive use of fresh and contaminat ed water: 1:1 ratio/drip for vegetables, flowers |

 Table 4.4 – Important points for future irrigation

| Name of Block | Geogra phical area in ha | Cultivabl e area in ha | Net irriga ted area in ha | Area to be irrigate d in ha | SOD in % | Pre monsoon WL Trend 2016 in cm/yr | Post monsoon WL Trend 2016 in cm/yr | Average Pre monsoon WL in mbgl | Average Post monsoon WL in mbgl | Remarks for GW Management Plan |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|--|---|--|---------------------------------------|---|
| Tehatta-II | 17444 | 15250 | 1099 8.43 | 4251.57 | 111.2 2 | -2.35 | -3.06 | 5.29 | 4.72 | Block is under semi critical condition so Regular Monitoring of GW Regime should be made from time to time, Boro cultivation should be restricted |

Management Plan for Industrial Purpose:

The block is under Semi-critical condition and is mainly agriculture based rural area. There is a less chance for growing up of small scale industries. However, in near future, if any industry is coming up, the following steps should be considered.

- All industries proposing to draw ground water through energized means, need to obtain NOC for ground water withdrawal from the State Ground Water Authority (SGWA)
- All industries abstracting ground water > 500 m³/day in the semi-critical assessment unit, have to implement mandatorily, artificial recharge measures, as per the norms.
- All the industries need to recharge 90 % of the quantum of ground water withdrawal.
- The Authority is to issue NOC for various uses and monitor its compliance. The NOC should be vested with the District Magistrate/ Deputy Commissioner/ State Ground Water Authority/ State Nodal Agency/ Central Ground Water Authority, as per details given in the guidelines of CGWA.

Special interventions for monitoring of Ground water situations in Semi-Critical Block

As per the GEC Norms for Semi-critical block, the following precautions should be taken before GW extraction.

- It is necessary to increase the density of observation wells in that unit for regular water level monitoring and thereby-
- The rainfall recharge during monsoon season by the water table fluctuation method can be estimated with greater accuracy.
- The trend of water table during pre- monsoon and post-monsoon intervals can be evaluated with greater accuracy.

 The trend of water table during pre- monsoon and post- monsoon intervals consequent to further groundwater development can be more effectively monitored.

Artificial Recharge:

Table 4.5 - Area suitable for recharge in the study area:

| District | Block Name | Block Area (in ha) | Area suitable for recharge(Considering |
|----------|------------|--------------------|---|
| | | | area having DTW more than 3m in post- monsoon and showing 2cm/y falling trend)(in ha) |
| Nadia | Tehatta-II | 17444 | Part (site specific) (considering the average criteria- as Rising WL Trend) |

Table 4.6 – Estimation of Surface runoff by Dhruvanarayana, 1993 method (Based on land slope, type of land and soil)

| Block | Normal | Area(Ha | Annual total | Run off co- | Major type of soil | Total | 75% of 'Vt' = | 50% of V | 60% of |
|-------------|-------------|---------|----------------|-------------|-------------------------|-----------|---------------|-------------|-----------------|
| | monsoon |) 'A' | volume of rain | efficient | available in that block | volume of | V Ham | (Non | Vnc(considering |
| | rainfall in | | fall in | from | | surface | | committed)= | e-flow)= Vf Ham |
| | m(50 yrs | | Ham=(Rn X A) | Dhruvanar | | runoff | | Vnc Ham | |
| | data from | | | ayana,199 | | available | | | |
| | data.gov.in | | | 3(Land | | Annually | | | |
| |) 'Rn' | | | slope, type | | 'Vt' | | | |
| | | | | of land and | | (RnXAXC) | | | |
| | | | | soil type) | | Ham | | | |
| | | | | 'C' land | | | | | |
| | | | | slope 0-5% | | | | | |
| Tehatta -ii | 1.053 | 17444 | 18368.532 | 0.45 | 50 % imperfectly | 8265.8394 | 6199.3796 | 3099.6898 | 1859.813865 |
| | | | | | drained fine & 50% | | | | |
| | | | | | moderately drained | | | | |
| | | | | | sandy soil | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Table 4.7 – Possible Recharge & conservation structures using harvested run off in block based on soil characteristic, Slope, Rain fall data and Long term trend & cost estimate

| Block (1) | Amount of water for artificial recharge | Source water allocation for | Source water allocation for REET with | Source water allocation for Irrigation Cum Recharge Tank (Ham): 50 % of | Source water allocation for Injection Well (6) | Source water allocation for Farm Pond in | Nos. of Farm Pond @ 10 | Cost of Farm pond | Nos. of Irrigation Cum Recharge | Cost of Irrigation Cum Recharge | Nos. of REET with recharge | Cost of REET with Recharge | Nos. of injection Well @ 30 Ham | Cost of injection Well @ Rs 25 | Total Cost (16) |
|-----------------|--|---|--|---|--|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|-----------------------|
| | conservation (Ham) (2) | Cum Recharge Tank and REET with | Recharge Shaft (Ham): 50 % of Col. 3 (4) | Col. 3 (5) | | Ham (7) | Ham per unit (8) | 8 lakh per unit (9) | suggested @ 50 Ham per unit (10) | Rs 8 lakh per unit (11) | 10 Ham per unit (12) | Rs 8 lakh per unit (13) | (14) | iakii (13) | (:- |
| | | Shaft in Ham (3) | | | | | | | | | | | | | (iii Lakh) |
| Tehatta - ii | 1859.8139 | 70 % of Col. 2 i.e. 1301.87 Ham | 650.94 | 650.94 | 20 % of Col. 2 i.e. 371.96 Ham | 10 % of Col. 2 i.e. 185.98 Ham | 19 | 152 | 13 | 104 | 65 | 520 | 12 | 300 | 1076 |

REET with Recharge Shaft

Re-excavation of existing tank with Recharge Shaft

5. KALIGANJ BLOCK

1.0 Salient Information

Block Name: Kaliganj

Area (in sq km): 319.75

District: Nadia

State: West Bengal

Population (as on 2011): 306197

Table 1.1 - Details of Population

| Male | Female | Total |
|--------|--------|--------|
| 157234 | 148963 | 306197 |

Rainfall: Average annual rainfall (Nadia district) for the period 2012-2016 (in mm): 1214.24

Table 1.2 - Details of Annual Rainfall since last five years (mm)

| Block | District Normal | | | Actual (Annual) | | | | | |
|----------|--------------------|-------|--------|-----------------|--------|--------|--|--|--|
| | | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | | | |
| Kaliganj | 1444 | 862.0 | 1287.8 | 1096.8 | 1408.1 | 1416.5 | | | |

Agriculture & Irrigation

Total area in ha: 31975

Table 1.3 - Details of Land use pattern of block

| SI. | Name | Geographic | Cultivable | Area under | Cultivable | Forest | Home Stead |
|-----|----------|------------|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| No | of the | Area (ha) | Area (ha) | pasture & | Waste | Land (ha) | Land (ha) |
| | Block | | | orchard (ha) | Land(ha) | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 1. | Kaliganj | 31975 | 19169 | 357 | (Negligible) | (Negligible) | 6720 |
| | | | | | | | |

Aquifer Wise Ground Water Resource Availability & Extraction:

| Resource Availability | Aquifer I | Aquifer II | Aquifer III | Extraction (for Aquifer I) |
|--------------------------|-----------|------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| Dynamic Resource | 157.11 | - | - | 145.74 |
| Static Resource | 4687.53 | - | - | - |

Table 1.4 - Aquifer wise resource availability and draft (in MCM) in Block

2.0 Disposition of Principal Aquifer System:

In Kaliganj Block, three aquifer systems exist.

- The average depth range of 1staquifer is from 19m to 50m, but sporadic occurrence of arsenic has been encountered in ground water of this aquifer.
- The average depth range of 2ndaquifer is from 56m to 110m which is fresh and Arsenic free (at places).
- The average depth range of 3rdaquifer is 254 m to 270 m, which is also fresh and Arsenic free.

Table 2.1 - Details of aquifer disposition depth range in the block

| Kaliganj | 1st Aquifer | 2nd aquifer | 3rd aquifer |
|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 19-50 m | 56-110 m | 254-270 m |



KALIGANJ

Fig 2.1 - Aquifer disposition in Kaliganj Block





Fig 2.1 - N- S Cross section of Kaliganj, Nakashipara & Chapra (combined) Blocks

Table 2.2 - Aquifer Wise Water Level Ranges & Seasonal long term water level trends (2006 to2017)

| SI. | Block | Aquifer | Pre-monsoon | | | Post-monsoon | | | |
|-----|----------|---------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|
| NO. | | | Depth to | Trend [| | Depth to water | Trend | | |
| | | | | Rise (cm/year) | Fall (cm/year) | level | Rise (cm/year) | Fall (cm/year) | |
| 1. | Kaliganj | I | 3.76 - 6.76 | - | 3.64 | 3.62 - 6.28 | - | 10.39 | |
| 2. | Kaliganj | II | 4.79 – 9.10 | - | - | 4.14 - 8.21 | - | - | |

Table 2.3 - Aquifer wise (Maximum) thickness

| Block | Area (sq km) | Thickness of the Granular Zone in 1st aquifer (m) | Thickness of the Granular Zone in 2nd aquifer (m) | Thickness of the Granular Zone in 3rd aquifer (m) | |
|----------|-----------------|---|---|---|--|
| Kaliganj | 319.75 | 31 | 54 | 16 | |

Table 2.4 - Aquifer-wise depth range and parameters

| Name of Block | 1 st Aquifer | | | | 2 nd Aquifer | | | | 3 rd Aquifer | | |
|---------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|---|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---|------------------------------|---|-------------------|
| | Depth Range (m bgl) | Discharg e (m³/hr) | T (m²/da y) | S | Depth Range (m bgl) | Discharge (m³/hr) | T (m²/ day) | S | Depth Range (m bgl) | Disc harg e (m ³ / hr) | T (m²/d ay) |
| Kaliganj | 19 - 50 | 11 | - | - | 56 - 110 | 11 - 36 | - | - | 254 - 270 | 36 | - |

3. Ground Water Resource, Extraction, Contamination & Other Issues:

Resource Availability & Extraction: Dynamic ground water resources as on 31st March'13

| Table 3.1 - Availability | v of Ground Water resource in Blo | ck |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----|
| | y of Ground Water resource in Dio | CIN |

| Block | Net ground Water availability (MCM) | Gross ground Water draft (MCM) | Stage of Development (%) | Category | Provision for domestic and industrial requirement supply up to 2035 years(MCM) |
|----------|--|---|--------------------------------|---------------|--|
| Kaliganj | 157.11 | 145.74 | 92.76 | Semi-critical | 6.70 |

Chemical Quality of Ground Water & Contamination:

Average data of chemical parameters in the block based on the analysis from 8 to 10 monitoring wells are given below. For Arsenic data, 3 to 4 monitoring wells are considered.

| | Table 3.2 - Range of chemical | parameter in the block | (based on CGWB Mon | itoring Wells data) |
|--|-------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
|--|-------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|

| Block | Aquifer | As | рН | EC | Na | Cl | F | NO ₃ | Total Hardness as |
|----------|---------|--------|-------|---------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | Туре | (mg/l) | | (µs/Cm) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | CaCO₃(mg/I) |
| Kaliganj | I | 0.002- | 8.35- | 347.2- | 17.0- | 20.0- | 0.04- | BDL- | 125- 195 |
| | | 0.082 | 8.46 | 550.4 | 89.0 | 37.5 | 0.70 | 0.9 | |

Table 3.3 - Arsenic Concentration in ground water of Tube wells

| Name of arsenic | No. of | | | Arseni | c Concent | ration (in m | ng/l) | | |
|-----------------|-----------|-------|--------------|--------|---------------|--------------|-------|---------------|--|
| affected block | Tube well | <& = | <& =0.01 >0. | | >0.01 &<=0.05 | | 05 | Max. | |
| | analysed | % | No. | % | No. | % | No. | concentration | |
| Kaliganj | 2111 | 27.00 | 570 | 38.09 | 804 | 34.86 | 736 | 1.00 | |

(Source – PHED, Govt. of India)



Fig. 3.1 – Spot values of arsenic (mg/l) in ground water

4.0 Ground Water Resource Enhancement& Management Plan:

Ground Water Management Plan for Drinking purposes-

- As per the ground water policy, first priority of water is for drinking purpose.
- The Block is declared by the State Arsenic Task Force, as Arsenic affected.
- As per the Status of Rural Water Supply Schemes published by WBPHED, no population is remaining under Arsenic risk zone, as the area is fully covered by the State Water Supply System.
- It is suggested, regular field monitoring is necessary for Arsenic concentration in tube wells.

Management Plan for Irrigation:

| Sr. | Distri | Block | Geogra | Cultiva | Net | Net | Net | Net area | Demand | GW | Remar |
|-----|--------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|---|--|---|-------|
| No. | ct | | phical area in ha | ble area in ha | irrigated Comman d area (GW) in ha | irrigate d Comma nd area (SW) in ha | irrigated Comman d area (GW +SW) in ha | availabl e for Irrigatio n in ha | i.e. Water required for Irrigatio n in ham | availab le for future Irrigati on in ham | ks |
| 5. | Nadia | Kaligan j | 31975 | 19169 | 12373.95 | 921.08 | 13295.03 | 5873.97 | | 979.91 | |

Table 4.1 - Availability of Land for Future Irrigation

- On the basis of the Ground Water Resource Assessment, the block is under Semicritical condition and the Stage of Ground Water Development (SOD) is 92.76 %. Hence, irrigation by exploiting the unconfined aquifer is not advisable.
- As indicated in the above mentioned Table, about 980 ham of ground water is available for future irrigation for about 5874 ha of land available in this block. The available ground water may be used proportionately for Rabi and Boro paddy and other crops.
- Irrigation by modern techniques like Sprinkler, Drip irrigation may be utilised.
 Crops consuming low amount of water should be cultivated.
- Conjunctive use of ground water and surface water may be applied for irrigation
- Artificial recharge is advisable in Arsenic affected zone for dilution of Arsenic concentration in unconfined aquifer for irrigation purpose also, so that there is no Arsenic contamination in food chain system.
- Regular monitoring of Arsenic concentration in crop is also necessary.
- R & D study is necessary in arsenic affected area so we can get new solutions in future.

Table 4.2 - Ground Water Management Plan for Irrigation in consultation with experts of BidhanChandra Krishi Vidyalaya (BCKV)

| Block | Ground water availabili ty(Ham,) | Qua lity | Major crops/vegetables/ fruits/flowers currently in practice | Water column depth(m) | Crops suggested for better management(consideri ng ground water quality & quantity) | Water column depth(m) recommended | Remarks e.g. Irrigation techniques etc |
|----------|---|-------------|--|--|---|---|---|
| Kaliganj | 979.91 | As | wheat, rice, mustard, cabbage, cauliflower, brinjal, okra, lentil | Wheat (0.3- 0.35), rice (1.2-1.4), Vegetable (0.15-0.2), pulse (0.1- 0.12) | wheat, mustard, lentil, flowers, vegetables | Wheat 0.2-0.25), mustard (0.2), pulse (0.08-0.12), flowers (0.12-0.16) | Conjunctive use of fresh and contaminat ed water: 1:1 ratio/drip for vegetables, flowers |

Table 4.3 - Availability of Land for Future Irrigation

| Name of Block | Geogra phical area in ha | Cultivabl e area in ha | Net irriga ted area in ha | Area to be irrigate d in ha | SOD in % | Pre monsoon WL Trend 2016 in cm/yr | Post monsoon WL Trend 2016 in cm/yr | Average Pre monsoon WL in m bgl | Average Post monsoon WL in m bgl | Remarks for GW Management Plan |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|--|---|---|--|---|
| Kaliganj | 3197 5 | 19169 | 1329 5.03 | 5873.97 | 92.76 | 3.64 | 10.39 | 6.70 | 6.12 | Block is under semi critical condition so Regular Monitoring of GW Regime should be made from time to time, Boro cultivation should be restricted |

Management Plan for Industrial Purpose:

The block is under Semi-critical condition and is mainly agriculture based rural area. There is a less chance for growing up of small scale industries. However, in near future, if any industry is coming up, the following steps should be considered.

- All industries proposing to draw ground water through energized means, need to obtain NOC for ground water withdrawal from the State Ground Water Authority (SGWA)
- All industries abstracting ground water > 500 m³/day in the semi-critical assessment unit, have to implement mandatorily, the artificial recharge measures, as per the norms.
- All the industries need to recharge 90 % of the quantum of ground water withdrawal.

 The Authority is to issue NOC for various uses and monitor its compliance. The NOC should be vested with the District Magistrate/ Deputy Commissioner/ State Ground Water Authority/ State Nodal Agency/ Central Ground Water Authority, as per details given in the guidelines of CGWA.

Special interventions for monitoring of Ground water situations in Semi-Critical Block

As per the GEC Norms for Semi-critical block, the following precautions should be taken before GW extraction.

- It is necessary to increase the density of observation wells in that unit for regular water level monitoring and thereby-
- The rainfall recharge during monsoon season by the water table fluctuation method can be estimated with greater accuracy.
- The trend of water table during pre- monsoon and post-monsoon intervals can be evaluated with greater accuracy.
- The trend of water table during pre- monsoon and post- monsoon intervals consequent to further groundwater development can be more effectively monitored.

Artificial Recharge :

Table 4.4 - Area suitable for recharge in the study area:

| District | Block Name | Block Area (in ha) | Area suitable for recharge(Considering |
|----------|------------|--------------------|--|
| | | | area having DTW more than 3m in post- |
| | | | monsoon and showing 20 cm/y falling |
| | | | trend) (in ha) |
| Nadia | Kaliganj | 31975 | 31975 (considering the average criteria) |
| | | | |

Table 4.5 – Estimation of Surface runoff by Dhruvanarayana, 1993 method (Based on land slope, type of land and soil)

| Block | Normal monsoon rainfall in m(50 yrs data from data.gov.i n) 'Rn' | Area(H a) 'A' | Annual total volume of rain fall in Ham=(Rn X A) | Run off co- efficient from Dhruvana rayana,19 93(Land slope, type of land and soil type) 'C' land slope 0- | Major type of soil available in that block | Total volume of surface runoff available Annually 'Vt' (RnXAXC) Ham | 75% of 'Vt' = V Ham | 50% of V (Non committed) = Vnc Ham | 60% of Vnc(considerin g e-flow)= Vf Ham |
|----------|---|------------------|--|--|--|---|------------------------|---|--|
| Kaliganj | 1.053 | 31975 | 33669.675 | 0.35 | 20 %Deep, poorly & imperfectly drained loamy soil, 80% moderately drained sandy soil | 11784.38 625 | 8838.2897 | 4419.1448 | 2651.486906 |

Table 4.6 – Possible Recharge & conservation structures using harvested run off in block based on soil characteristic, Slope, Rain fall data and Long term trend & cost estimate

| Block (1) | Amount of water for artificial recharge and / or conservation (Ham) (2) | Source water allocation for Irrigation Cum Recharge Tank and REET with Recharge Shaft in Ham (3) | Source water allocation for REET with Recharge Shaft (Ham): 50 % of Col. 3 (4) | Source water allocation for Irrigation Cum Recharge Tank (Ham): 50 % of Col. 3 (5) | Source water allocation for Injection Well (6) | Source water allocation for Farm Pond in Ham (7) | Nos. of Farm Pond @ 10 Ham per unit (8) | Cost of Farm pond @ Rs 8 lakh per unit (9) | Nos. of Irrigation Cum Recharge Tank suggested @ 50 Ham per unit (10) | Cost of Irrigation Cum Recharge Tank @ Rs 8 lakh per unit (11) | Nos. of REET with recharge shaft @ 10 Ham per unit (12) | Cost of REET with Recharge Shaft @ Rs 8 lakh per unit (13) | Nos. of injection Well @ 30 Ham per unit (14) | Cost of injection Well @ Rs 25 lakh (15) | Total Cost (16) (in Lakh) |
|-----------|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| Kaliganj | 2651.4869 | 70 % of Col. 2 i.e. 1856.04 Ham | 928.02 | 928.02 | 20 % of Col. 2 i.e. 530.30 Ham | 10 % of Col.2 i.e. 265.15 Ham | 27 | 216 | 19 | 152 | 93 | 474 | 18 | 450 | 1292 |

REET with Recharge Shaft

Re-excavation of existing tank with Recharge Shaft

6. NAKASHIPARA BLOCK

1.0 Salient Information

Block Name: Nakashipara

Area (in sq km): 354.24

District: Nadia

State: West Bengal

Population (as on 2011): 352191

Table 1.1 - Details of Population

| Male | Female | Total |
|--------|--------|--------|
| 180990 | 171201 | 352191 |

Rainfall: Average annual rainfall (Nadia district) for the period 2012-2016 (in mm): 1214.24

Table 1.2 - Details of Annual Rainfall since last five years (mm)

| Block | District Normal | | | Actual (Annual |) | |
|-------------|--------------------|-------|--------|----------------|--------|--------|
| | | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Nakashipara | 1444 | 862.0 | 1287.8 | 1096.8 | 1408.1 | 1416.5 |

Agriculture & Irrigation

Total area in ha: 35424

Table 1.3 - Land use pattern of block

| SI. No | Name of the Block | Geographic Area (ha) | Cultivable Area (ha) | Area under pasture & | Cultivable Waste | Forest Land | Home Stead Land (ha) |
|-----------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| | | | | orchard (ha) | Land(ha) | (ha) | |
| 1. | Nakashipara | 35424 | 23082 | 312 | Nil | 309 | 7525 |

Aquifer Wise Ground Water Resource Availability & Extraction:

| Resource Availability | Aquifer I | Aquifer II | Aquifer III | Extraction (for Aquifer I) |
|--------------------------|-----------|------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| Dynamic Resource | 185.57 | - | - | 157.20 |
| Static Resource | 2245.39 | - | - | - |

 Table 1.4 - Aquifer wise resource availability and draft (in MCM) in Block

2.0 Disposition of Principal Aquifer System:

In Nakashipara Block, two aquifer systems exist.

- The range of 1staquifer is on an average from 6m to 62m but this is containing Arsenic.
- The range of 2ndaquifer is on an average from 69m to 156m which is fresh and Arsenic free (at places).

Table 2.1 - Aquifer disposition depth range in the block

| Nakashipara | 1st Aquifer | 2nd aquifer | 3rd aquifer |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 6-62 m | 69-156 m | - |





Fig 2.1 - Aquifer disposition in Nakashipara block



Cross-Section in Kaliganj-Nakashipara-Chapra

Fig 2.2 - N- S Cross section of Kaliganj, Nakashipara & Chapra (combined) Blocks

Table 2.2 - Aquifer Wise Water Level Ranges & Seasonal long term water level trends (2006 to2017)

| SI. No. | Block | Aquifer | | Pre-monsoo | n | Post-monsoon | | | |
|------------|-------------|-----------|-------------------------|-------------------|------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------|--|
| | | | Depth to water level | Trend | | Depth to water level | Tre | Trend | |
| | | Rise Fall | | Fall (cm/year) | | Rise (cm/year) | Fall (cm/year) | | |
| 1. | Nakashipara | I | 4.41 - 5.61 | - | 1.07 | 2.04 - 4.26 | - - | 8.81 | |
| 2. | Nakashipara | II | 4.15 - 6.41 | - | - | 3.22 – 5.11 | - | - | |

Table 2.3 - Aquifer wise (Maximum) thickness

| Block | Area (sq km) | Thickness of the Granular Zone in 1st aquifer (m) | Thickness of the Granular Zone in 2nd aquifer (m) |
|-------------|-----------------|---|---|
| Nakashipara | 354.24 | 56 | 87 |

Table 2.4 - Aquifer-wise depth range and parameters

| Name of Block | | 1 st Aquife | r | 2 nd Aquifer | | | | |
|---------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|---|
| | Depth Range (mbgl) | Discharg e (m³/hr) | T (m²/da y) | S | Depth Range (mbgl) | Discharge (m ³ /hr) | T (m²/d ay) | S |
| Nakashipara | 6 - 62 | 173 | 350 | - | 69 - 156 | 191 | 1400 | - |

3.0 Ground Water Resource, Extraction, Contamination & Other Issues:

Resource Availability & Extraction: Dynamic ground water resources as on 31st March'13

| Table 3.1 - Ground Water resource in Bloc |
|---|
|---|

| Block | Net ground Water availability (MCM) | Gross ground Water draft (MCM) | Stage of Development (%) | Category | Provision for domestic and industrial requirement supply up to 2035 years(MCM) |
|-------------|--|---|--------------------------------|----------|--|
| Nakashipara | 185.57 | 157.20 | 84.71 | Safe | 7.71 |

Chemical Quality of Ground Water & Contamination:

Average data of chemical parameters in the block based on the analysis from 8 to 10 monitoring wells are given below. For Arsenic data, 3 to 4 monitoring wells are considered

| Block | Aquifer | As | рН | EC | Na | CI | F | NO ₃ | Total Hardness as |
|-------------|---------|---------|-------|---------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | Туре | (mg/l) | | (µs/Cm) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | CaCO₃(mg/l) |
| Nakashipara | I | 0.0001- | 8.31- | 312.0- | 14.7- | 7.5- | 0.28- | BDL- | 120- 155 |
| | | 0.119 | 8.49 | 437.7 | 41.2 | 15.0 | 1.11 | 5.4 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Table 3.3 - Arsenic Concentration in ground water of Tube wells

| Name of arsenic | ame of arsenic No. of Arsenic Concentration (in mg/l) | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---|-------|------------------------|-------|-------|-------|------|---------------|--|
| affected block | Tube well | <& = | <& =0.01 >0.01 &<=0.05 | | >0.05 | | Max. | | |
| | analysed | % | No. | % | No. | % | No. | concentration | |
| Nakashipara | 2459 | 45.51 | 1119 | 40.42 | 994 | 14.07 | 346 | 0.56 | |

(Source – PHED, Govt. of West Bengal)


Fig. 3.1 – Spot values in ground water

4.0 Ground Water Resource Enhancement & Management Plan:

Ground Water Management Plan for Drinking purposes-

- As per the ground water policy, first priority of water is for drinking purpose.
- The Block is declared by the State Arsenic Task Force, as Arsenic affected.
- As per the Status of Rural Water Supply Schemes published by WBPHED, no population is remaining under Arsenic risk zone, as the area is fully covered by the State Water Supply System.
- It is suggested, regular field monitoring is necessary for Arsenic concentration in tube wells.

Management Plan for Irrigation:

Table 4.1 - Availability of Land for Future Irrigation

| Sr. No. | Distric t | Block | Geogra phical area in ha | Cultivabl e area in ha | Net irrigated Command area (GW) in ha | Net irrigated Comman d area (SW) in ha | Net irrigated Command area (GW +SW) in ha | Net area available for Irrigation in ha | Demand i.e. Water required for Irrigation in ham | GW availabl e for future Irrigatio n in ham | Remark s |
|------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|---|-------------|
| 6. | Nadia | Nakaship ara | 35424 | 23082 | 15441.4 | 731.53 | 16172.93 | 6909.07 | - | 2655.93 | - |

- On the basis of the Ground Water Resource Assessment, the block is under Safe category and the Stage of Ground Water Development (SOD) is 84.71 %.
- As indicated in the above mentioned Table, about 2656 ham of ground water is available for future irrigation for about 6910 ha of land available in this block. The available ground water may be used proportionately for Rabi and Boro paddy and other crops
- Irrigation by modern techniques like Sprinkler, Drip irrigation may be utilised.
 Crops consuming low amount of water should be cultivated.
- Conjunctive use of ground water and surface water may be applied for irrigation
- Artificial recharge is advisable in Arsenic affected zone for dilution of Arsenic concentration in unconfined aquifer for irrigation purpose also, so that there is no Arsenic contamination in food chain system.
- .Regular monitoring of Arsenic concentration in crop is also necessary.
- R & D study is necessary in arsenic affected area so we can get new solutions in future.

Table 4.2 -Ground Water Management Plan for Irrigation in consultation with experts of BidhanChandra Krishi Vidyalaya (BCKV)

| Block | Ground water availabili ty(Ham,) | Qual ity | Major crops/vegetables/ fruits/flowers currently in practice | Water column depth(m) | Crops suggested for better management(considerin g ground water quality & quantity) | Water column depth(m) recommended | Remarks e.g. Irrigation techniques etc |
|-------------|---|-------------|--|--|---|---|--|
| Nakashipara | 2655.93 | As | wheat, rice, mustard, cabbage, cauliflower, brinjal, okra, lentil | Wheat (0.3- 0.35), rice (1.2- 1.4), Vegetable (0.15-0.2), pulse(0.1-0.12) | wheat, mustard, lentil, flowers, vegetables | Wheat (0.2-0.25), mustard (0.2), pulse (0.08-0.12), flowers(0.12-0.16) | Conjunctive use of fresh and contaminate d water: 1:1 ratio/drip for vegetables, flowers |

| Name of Block | Geogra | Cultivabl | Net | Area to | SOD | Pre | Post | Average | Average Post | Remarks for GW Management |
|---------------|---------|-----------|--------|----------|-------|----------|----------|---------|--------------|----------------------------------|
| | phical | e area in | irriga | be | in % | monsoon | monsoon | Pre | monsoon WL | Plan |
| | area in | ha | ted | irrigate | | WL Trend | WL Trend | monsoon | in m bgl | |
| | ha | | area | d in ha | | 2016 in | 2016 in | WL in m | | |
| | | | in ha | | | cm/yr | cm/yr | bgl | | |
| Nakasshipara | 3542 | 23082 | 1617 | 6909.07 | 84.71 | 1.07 | 8.81 | 5.64 | 4.47 | Block is under Safe category, so |
| | | | 2.93 | | | | | | | regular monitoring of GW |
| | 4 | | | | | | | | | regime may be made from time |
| | | | | | | | | | | to time. Boro cultivation may be |
| | | | | | | | | | | encouraged to some extent. |
| | | | | | | | | | | 5 |

Management Plan for Industrial Purpose:

The block is under Safe category and is mainly agriculture based rural area. There is a less chance for growing up of small scale industries. However, in near future, if any industry is coming up, the following steps should be considered.

- All industries proposing to draw ground water through energized means, need to obtain NOC for ground water withdrawal from the State Ground Water Authority (SGWA)
- All industries abstracting ground water > 500 m³/day in the semi-critical assessment unit, have to implement mandatorily, the artificial recharge measures, as per the norms.
- All the industries need to recharge 90 % of the quantum of ground water withdrawal.
- The Authority is to issue NOC for various uses and monitor its compliance. The NOC should be vested with the District Magistrate/ Deputy Commissioner/ State Ground Water Authority/ State Nodal Agency/ Central Ground Water Authority, as per details given in the guidelines of CGWA.

Artificial Recharge:

Table- Space Available For Recharge and Proposed Intervention

Table 4.4 - Area suitable for recharge in the study area:

| District | Block Name | Block Area (in ha) | Area suitable for recharge(Considering |
|----------|-------------|--------------------|--|
| | | | area having DTW more than 3m in post- |
| | | | monsoon and showing 20 cm/yr falling |
| | | | trend) (in ha) |
| Nadia | Nakashipara | 35424 | 35424 (considering the average criteria) |
| | | | |

| r | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | |
|-------------|-------------|---------|----------------|-------------|-------------------------|------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Block | Normal | Area(Ha | Annual total | Run off co- | Major type of soil | Total | 75% of 'Vt' = | 50% of V | 60% of |
| | monsoon |) 'A' | volume of rain | efficient | available in that block | volume of | V Ham | (Non | Vnc(considering |
| | rainfall in | | fall in | from | | surface | | committed)= | e-flow)= Vf Ham |
| | m(50 yrs | | Ham=(Rn X A) | Dhruvanar | | runoff | | Vnc Ham | |
| | data from | | | ayana,1993 | | available | | | |
| | data.gov.in | | | (Land | | Annually | | | |
| |) 'Rn' | | | slope, type | | 'Vt' | | | |
| | | | | of land and | | (RnXAXC) | | | |
| | | | | soil type) | | Ham | | | |
| | | | | 'C' land | | | | | |
| | | | | slope 0-5% | | | | | |
| Nakashipara | 1.053 | 35424 | 37301.472 | 0.35 | 20 % Deep, poorly | 13055.5152 | 9791.6364 | 4895.8182 | 2937.49092 |
| | | | | | drained loamy soil, | | | | |
| | | | | | 80% moderately | | | | |
| | | | | | drained sandy soil | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Table 4.5 – Estimation of Surface runoff by Dhruvanarayana, 1993 method (Based on land slope, type of land and soil)

Table 4.6 – Possible Recharge & conservation structures using harvested run off in block based on soil characteristic, Slope, Rain fall data and Long term trend & cost estimate

| Block (1) | Amount of water for artificial recharge and / or conservation (Ham) (2) | Source water allocation for Irrigation Cum Recharge | Source water allocation for REET with Recharge Shaft | Source water allocation for Irrigation Cum Recharge Tank (Ham): 50 % of Col. 3 (5) | Source water allocation for Injection Well (6) | Source water allocation for Farm Pond in Ham (7) | Nos. of Farm Pond @ 10 Ham per | Cost of Farm pond @ Rs 8 lakh per | Nos. of Irrigation Cum Recharge Tank suggested @ 50 Ham | Cost of Irrigation Cum Recharge Tank @ Rs 8 lakh per unit | Nos. of REET with recharge shaft @ 10 Ham per unit | Cost of REET with Recharge Shaft @ Rs 8 lakh per unit | Nos. of injection Well @ 30 Ham per unit (14) | Cost of injection Well @ Rs 25 lakh (15) | Total Cost (16) |
|-------------|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|-----------------------|
| | (1011)(2) | Tank and REET with Recharge Shaft in Ham (3) | (Ham): 50 % of Col. 3 (4) | | | | unit (8) | unit (9) | per unit (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | | | (in Lakh) |
| Nakashipara | 2937.4909 | 70 % of Col. 2 i.e. 2056.24 Ham | 1028.12 | 1028.12 | 20 % of Col. 2 i.e. 588.48 Ham | 10 % of Col.2 i.e. 293.75 Ham | 29 | 232 | 21 | 168 | 103 | 824 | 20 | 500 | 1724 |

REET with Recharge Shaft

Re-excavation of existing tank with Recharge Shaft

7. CHAPRA BLOCK

1.0 Salient Information

Block Name: Chapra Area (in sq km): 310.48 District: Nadia State: West Bengal Population (as on 2011): 296529

Table 1.1 - Details of Population

| Male | Female | Total |
|--------|--------|--------|
| 152575 | 143954 | 296529 |

Rainfall: Average annual rainfall (Nadia district) for the period 2012-2016 (in mm): 1214.24

Table 1.2 - Annual Rainfall since last five years (mm)

| Block | District Normal | Actual (Annual) | | | | | | | |
|--------|--------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--|--|--|
| | | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | | | |
| Chapra | 1444 | 862.0 | 1287.8 | 1096.8 | 1408.1 | 1416.5 | | | |

Agriculture & Irrigation

Total area in ha: 31048

Table 1.3 - Details of Land use pattern of block

| SI. No | Name of the Block | Geographic Area (ha) | Cultivable Area (ha) | Area under pasture & orchard (ha) | Cultivable Waste Land(ha) | Forest Land (ha) | Home Stead Land (ha) |
|-----------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---|---------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| 1. | Chapra | 31048 | 21372 | 287 | (Negligible) | (Negligible) | 6426 |

Aquifer Wise Ground Water Resource Availability & Extraction:

| Resource Availability | Aquifer I | Aquifer II | Aquifer III | Extraction (for Aquifer I) |
|--------------------------|-----------|------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| Dynamic Resource | 182.30 | - | - | 199.07 |
| Static Resource | 5256.42 | - | - | - |

 Table 1.4 - Aquifer wise resource availability and draft (in MCM) in Block

2.0 Disposition of Principal Aquifer System:

In Chapra Block, two aquifer systems exist.

- The average depth range of 1staquifer is from 3m to 119m but this contains Arsenic contaminated ground water.
- The average depth range of 2ndaquifer is on an average from 121m to 195m, which is fresh and Arsenic free.

Table 2.1 - Aquifer disposition depth range in the block

| Chapra | 1st Aquifer | 2nd aquifer | 3rd aquifer |
|--------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 3-119 m | 121-195 m | - |



Fig 2.1 - Aquifer disposition in Chapra Block

Cross-Section in Kaliganj-Nakashipara-Chapra



Fig 2.2 - N- S Cross section of Kaliganj, Nakashipara & Chapra Blocks (combined)

Table 2.2 - Aquifer Wise Water Level Ranges & Seasonal long term water level trends (2006 to2017)

| SI. | Aquifer | | Pre-monsoon | I | Post-monsoon | | | | |
|-----|---------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| NO. | | Depth to | Trei | nd | Depth to water | Trend | | | |
| | | | Rise (cm/year) | Fall (cm/year) | level | Rise (cm/year) | Fall (cm/year) | | |
| 1. | I | 5.02 – 5.78 | - | 4.56 | 3.11 - 3.63 | - | 11.47 | | |
| 2. | II | 3.92 – 6.32 | - | - | 3.05 - 6.53 | - | - | | |

Table 2.3 - Aquifer wise (Maximum) thickness

| Block | Area (sq km) | Thickness of the Granular Zone in 1st aquifer (m) | Thickness of the Granular Zone in 2nd aquifer (m) |
|--------|-----------------|---|---|
| Chapra | 310.48 | 116 | 74 |

| Name of Block | 1 st Aquifer | | | | 2 ⁿ | | | |
|---------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| | Depth Range (mbgl) | Dischar ge (m³/hr) | T (m²/da y) | S | Depth Range (mbgl) | Discharge (m ³ /hr) | T (m²/ day) | S |
| Chapra | 3 - 119 | - | - | - | 121 - 195 | 54 | 301 7 | 6.23 x10 ⁻⁴ |

3. Ground Water Resource, Extraction, Contamination & Other Issues:

Resource Availability & Extraction: Dynamic ground water resources as on 31st March'13

| Block | Net ground Water availability (MCM) | Gross ground Water draft (MCM) | Stage of Development (%) | Category | Provision for domestic and industrial requirement supply up to 2035 years (MCM) |
|--------|--|---|--------------------------------|---------------|---|
| Chapra | 182.30 | 199.07 | 109.20 | Semi-critical | 6.27 |

Chemical Quality of Ground Water & Contamination:

Average data of chemical parameters in the block based on the analysis from 8 to 10 monitoring wells are given below. For Arsenic data, 3 to 4 monitoring wells are considered.

| Block | Aquifer | As | рН | EC | Na | Cl | F | NO ₃ | Total Hardness as |
|--------|---------|---------|-------|---------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | Туре | (mg/l) | | (µs/Cm) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | CaCO₃(mg/l) |
| Chapra | I | 0.0002- | 8.32- | 292.8- | 17.7- | 10.0- | BDL- | BDL- | 130- 180 |
| | | 0.034 | 8.50 | 507.6 | 37.4 | 50.0 | 1.08 | 2.8 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Table 3.2 - Range of chemical parameter in the block

Table 3.3 - Arsenic Concentration in ground water

| Name of arsenic | No. of | Arsenic Concentration (in mg/l) | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|-----|---------------|------|-------|-----|---------------|--|--|
| affected block Tube well | | <& =0.01 | | >0.01 &<=0.05 | | >0.05 | | Max. | | |
| | analysed | % | No. | % | No. | % | No. | concentration | | |
| Chapra | 2230 | 34.80 | 776 | 52.83 | 1178 | 12.38 | 276 | 0.51 | | |

(Source – PHED, Govt. of West Bengal)



Fig. 3.1 – Spot values of As (mg/l) in ground water

4. Ground Water Resource Enhancement & Management Plan:

Ground Water Management Plan for Drinking purposes-

- As per the ground water policy, first priority of water is for drinking purpose.
- The Block is declared by the State Arsenic Task Force, as Arsenic affected.
- As per the Status of Rural Water Supply Schemes published by WBPHED a population of 44153 in 6 villages is under risk zone where no water supply scheme exists.
- On the basis of data available, 14 tube wells are required for catering six uncovered villages. Details of calculation are given below.
- The arsenic free aquifers in the depth span of 121m to 195m (comparatively less potential) may be exploited for the purpose.
- Arsenic free aquifer should be tapped with proper cement sealing. Arsenic removal plant may be installed before supply.
- Regular Field monitoring is necessary for Arsenic concentration in tube wells.

| Block | Projected population upto 2021 (considering growth 21.09% per decade as per Census 2011 | Water required for drinking & domestic purposes @ 70 lpcd (in lpd) | Cattle Population (Considering 0.19 per capita human population) as on 2011 | Cattle Population (Considering 0.36 annual growth rate) as on 2021 | Water required for drinking & domestic purposes @ 20 lpcd (in lpd) | Total Water Required (in lpd) | Number of T. Ws | Cost of the tube well of 300 m depth (approx) & 10"x6" dia @ Rs. 25 lakhs (In lakh) as per EFC |
|--------|---|--|--|---|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---|
| Chapra | 53469 | 3742830 | 52546 | 71462 | 1429240 | 5172070 | 14 | 350 |

Management Plan for Irrigation:

| Sr. | Distri | Block | Geogra | Cultiva | Net | Net | Net | Net area | Demand | GW | Remar |
|-----|--------|--------|---------|----------|-----------|----------------|------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|-------|
| No. | ct | | phical | ble area | irrigated | irrigate | irrigated | availabl | i.e. | availab | ks |
| | | | area in | in ha | Comman | d | Comman | e for | Water | le for | |
| | | | ha | | d area | Comma | d area (| Irrigatio | required | future | |
| | | | | | (GW) in | nd area | GW (SW) in | n in ha | for | Irrigati | |
| | | | | | lla | (SW) III ha | +3 w) III ha | | Irrigatio | on in | |
| | | | | | | iiu | na | | n in | ham | |
| | | | | | | | | | ham | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7. | Nadia | Chapra | 31048 | 21372 | 12149.15 | 1406.4 | 13555.55 | 7816.45 | - | - | - |
| ĺ | | | | | | | | | | | |

- On the basis of the Ground Water Resource Assessment, the block is under Semicritical condition and the Stage of Ground Water Development (SOD) is 109.20 %. Hence, irrigation by exploiting the unconfined aquifer is not advisable.
- As indicated in the above mentioned Table, ground water is not available for future irrigation in this block. Surface water bodies like streams, canals, ponds may be used for irrigation purposes for the available land.
- Irrigation by modern techniques like Sprinkler, Drip irrigation may be utilised.
 Crops consuming low amount of water should be cultivated.
- Conjunctive use of ground water and surface water may be applied for irrigation.
- Artificial recharge is advisable in Arsenic affected zone for dilution of Arsenic

concentration in unconfined aquifer for irrigation purpose also, so that there is no Arsenic contamination in food chain system.

- Regular monitoring of Arsenic concentration in crop is also necessary.
- R & D study is necessary in arsenic affected area so we can get new solutions in future.

Table 4.3 -Ground Water Management Plan for Irrigation in consultation with experts of BidhanChandra Krishi Vidyal aya (BCKV)

| Block | Ground water availabili ty(Ham,) | Qua lity | Major crops/vegetables/ fruits/flowers currently in practice | Water column depth(m) | Crops suggested for better management(consideri ng ground water quality & quantity) | Water column depth(m) recommended | Remarks e.g. Irrigation techniques etc |
|--------|---|-------------|--|---|---|--|---|
| Chapra | - | As | wheat, rice, mustard, cabbage, cauliflower, brinjal, okra, lentil | Wheat (0.3- 0.35), rice (1.2-1.4), Vegetable (0.15-0.2), pulse(0.1- 0.12) | wheat, mustard, lentil, flowers, vegetables | Wheat (0.2-0.25), mustard (0.2), pulse (0.08-0.12), flowers (0.12-0.16) | Conjunctive use of fresh and contaminat ed water: 1:1 ratio/drip for vegetables, flowers |

Table 4.4 – Salient points for Future Irrigation

| Name of Block | Geogra | Cultivabl | Net | Area to | SOD | Pre | Post | Average | Average Post | Remarks for GW Management |
|---------------|---------|-----------|--------|----------|-------|----------|----------|---------|--------------|----------------------------------|
| | phical | e area in | irriga | be | in % | monsoon | monsoon | Pre | monsoon WL | Plan |
| | area in | ha | ted | irrigate | | WL Trend | WL Trend | monsoon | in m bgl | |
| | ha | | area | d in ha | | 2016 in | 2016 in | WL in m | | |
| | | | in ha | | | cm/yr | cm/yr | bgl | | |
| Chapra | 3104 | 21372 | 1355 | 7816.45 | 109.2 | 4.56 | 11.47 | 5.35 | 4.33 | Block is under semi critical |
| | | _ | 5.55 | | 0 | | | | | condition so Regular Monitoring |
| | 8 | | | | | | | | | of GW Regime should be made |
| | | | | | | | | | | from time to time Boro |
| | | | | | | | | | | sultivation should be restricted |
| | | | | | | | | | | cultivation should be restricted |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Management Plan for Industrial Purpose:

The block is under Semi-critical condition and is mainly agriculture based rural area. There is a less chance for growing up of small scale industries. However, in near future, if any industry is coming up, the following steps should be considered.

 All industries proposing to draw ground water through energized means, need to obtain NOC for ground water withdrawal from the State Ground Water Authority (SGWA)

- All industries abstracting ground water > 500 m³/day in the semi-critical assessment unit, have to implement mandatorily, the artificial recharge measures, as per the norms.
- All the industries need to recharge 90 % of the quantum of ground water withdrawal.
- The Authority is to issue NOC for various uses and monitor its compliance. The NOC should be vested with the District Magistrate/ Deputy Commissioner/ State Ground Water Authority/ State Nodal Agency/ Central Ground Water Authority, as per details given in the guidelines of CGWA.

Special interventions for monitoring of Ground water situations in Semi-Critical Block

As per the GEC Norms for Semi-critical block, the following precautions should be taken before GW extraction.

- It is necessary to increase the density of observation wells in that unit for regular water level monitoring and thereby-
- The rainfall recharge during monsoon season by the water table fluctuation method can be estimated with greater accuracy.
- The trend of water table during pre- monsoon and post-monsoon intervals can be evaluated with greater accuracy.
- The trend of water table during pre- monsoon and post- monsoon intervals consequent to further groundwater development can be more effectively monitored.

Artificial Recharge :

Table 4.5 - Area suitable for recharge in the study area:

| District | Block Name | Block Area (in ha) | Area suitable for recharge(Considering area having DTW more than 3m in post- monsoon and showing 20 cm/yr falling trend)(in ha) |
|----------|------------|--------------------|--|
| Nadia | Chapra | 31048 | 31048 (considering the average criteria) |

| Block | Normal monsoon rainfall in m(50 yrs data from data.gov.in) 'Rn' | Area(Ha) 'A' | Annual total volume of rain fall in Ham=(Rn X A) | Run off co- efficient from Dhruvanar ayana,1993 (Land slope, type of land and soil type) 'C' land slope 0-5% | Major type of soil available in that block | Total volume of surface runoff available Annually 'Vt' (RnXAXC) Ham | 75% of 'Vt' = V Ham | 50% of V (Non committed)= Vnc Ham | 60% of Vnc(considering e-flow)= Vf Ham |
|--------|--|------------------|---|--|--|---|------------------------|--|--|
| Chapra | 1.053 | 31048 | 32693.544 | 0.4 | 50 %Deep, poorly & imperfectly drained loamy soil, 50% moderately drained sandy soil | 13077.4176 | 9808.0632 | 4904.0316 | 2942.41896 |

Table 4.6 – Estimation of Surface runoff by Dhruvanarayana, 1993 method (Based on land slope, type of land and soil)

Table 4.7 – Possible Recharge & conservation structures using harvested run off in block based on soil characteristic, Slope, Rain fall data and Long term trend & cost estimate

| Block (1) | Amount of water for artificial recharge and / or conservation (Ham) (2) | Source water allocation for Irrigation Cum Recharge Tank and REET with Recharge Shaft in Ham (3) | Source water allocation for REET with Recharge Shaft (Ham): 50 % of Col. 3 (4) | Source water allocation for Irrigation Cum Recharge Tank (Ham): 50 % of Col. 3 (5) | Source water allocation for Injection Well (6) | Source water allocation for Farm Pond in Ham (7) | Nos. of Farm Pond @ 10 Ham per unit (8) | Cost of Farm pond @ Rs 8 lakh per unit (9) | Nos. of Irrigation Cum Recharge Tank suggested @ 50 Ham per unit (10) | Cost of Irrigation Cum Recharge Tank @ Rs 8 lakh per unit (11) | Nos. of REET with recharge shaft @ 10 Ham per unit (12) | Cost of REET with Recharge Shaft @ Rs 8 lakh per unit (13) | Nos. of injection Well @ 30 Ham per unit (14) | Cost of injection Well @ Rs 25 lakh (15) | Total Cost (16) (in Lakh) |
|-----------|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| Chapra | 2942.419 | 70 % of Col. 2 i.e. 2056.24 Ham | 1029.85 | 1029.85 | 20 % of Col. 2 i.e. 588.48 Ham | 10 % of Col.2 i.e. 294.24 Ham | 29 | 232 | 21 | 168 | 103 | 824 | 20 | 500 | 1724 |

REET with Recharge Shaft

Re-excavation of existing tank with Recharge Shaft

8. KRISHNAGANJ BLOCK

1.0 Salient Information

Block Name: Krishnaganj Area (in sq km): 159.55 District: Nadia State: West Bengal Population (as on 2011): 146705

Table 1.1 - Details of Population

| Male | Female | Total |
|-------|--------|--------|
| 75573 | 71132 | 146705 |

Rainfall: Average annual rainfall (Nadia district) for the period 2012-2016 (in mm): 1214.24

| Table 1.2 - Annual | Rainfall since | last five years | ; (mm) |
|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--|
| | Runnun Since | iust net years | , (,,,,,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, |

| Block | District Normal | Actual (Annual) | | | | | | | |
|-------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--|--|--|
| | | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | | | |
| Krishnaganj | 1444 | 862.0 | 1287.8 | 1096.8 | 1408.1 | 1416.5 | | | |

Agriculture & Irrigation

Total area in ha: 15955

Table 1.3 - Land use pattern of block

| SI. | Name | Geographic | Cultivable | Area under | Cultivable | Forest | Home Stead |
|-----|--------------|------------|------------|--------------|--------------|--------|------------|
| No | of the Block | Area (ha) | Area (ha) | pasture & | Waste | Land | Land (ha) |
| | | | | orchard (ha) | Land(ha) | (ha) | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 1. | Krishnaganj | 15955 | 9880 | 146 | (Negligible) | 215 | 3183 |
| | | | | | | | |

Aquifer Wise Ground Water Resource Availability & Extraction

| Resource Availability | Aquifer I | Aquifer II | Aquifer III | Extraction (for Aquifer I) |
|--------------------------|-----------|------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| Dynamic Resource | 79.74 | - | - | 69.98 |
| Static Resource | 3806.31 | - | - | - |

Table 1.4 - Aquifer wise resource availability and draft (in MCM) in Block

2.0 Disposition of Principal Aquifer System:

In Krishnaganj Block, three aquifer systems exist.

- The average depth range of 1st & 2nd aquifers together is from 12m to 155m but this is containing Arsenic; in fact the clay separating 1st & 2ndaquifer is thin, as explored by CGWB
- Aquifer I in this block is almost combined one of both 1st & 2nd aquifers in other blocks.
- The average depth range of 3rdaquifer is 213 m to 225 m, which is fresh and Arsenic free.

Table 2.1 - Aquifer disposition depth range in the block

| Krishnaganj | 1st & 2 nd Aquifer | 3rd aquifer | | |
|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------|--|--|
| | 12-155 m | 213-225 m | | |



Fig 2.1 - Aquifer disposition in Krishnaganj Block



Fig 2.2 – Cross section index line in Krishnaganj Block





Fig 2.3 - Cross section of Krishnaganj & Hanskhali Blocks (combined)

Table 2. 2 - Aquifer Wise Water Level Ranges & Seasonal long term water level trends (2006 to2017)

| SI. | Block | Aquifer | Pre-monsoon | | | Post-monsoon | | | |
|-----|-------------|---------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|
| NO. | | | Depth to water Trend | | | Depth to Trend | | | |
| | | | | Rise (cm/year) | Fall (cm/year) | bgl) | Rise (cm/year) | Fall (cm/year) | |
| 1. | Krishnaganj | 1&11 | 6.55 – 7.12 | - | 2.92 | 5.43 – 6.08 | - | 10.92 | |
| 2. | Krishnaganj | | 4.83 – 7.84 | - | - | 4.16 – 7.32 | - | - | |

Table 2.3 - Aquifer wise (Maximum) thickness

| Block | Area (sq km) | Thickness of the Granular Zone in 1st & 2 nd aquifer (m) | Thickness of the Granular Zone in 3rd aquifer (m) |
|-------------|-----------------|---|---|
| Krishnaganj | 159.55 | 143 | 12 |

| Table 2 4 - Agu | ifer-wise denth i | ange and narar | neters (On the H | hasis of CGWB e | voloration data) |
|-----------------|---------------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Table 2.4 - Aqu | illei-wise deptilli | ange and para | neters (On the r | Jasis of CGWD e | xpioration uata) |

| Name of Block | 1 | st & 2 nd Aqu | uifer | | | 3 rd Aquifer | | | |
|---------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|---|--|-------------------------|------|-----------------------|--|
| | Depth Range (mbgl) | Dischar ge (m³/hr) | T (m²/d ay) | S | Depth Disch T Range arge (m²/day) (mbgl) (m³/ hr) | | | S | |
| Krishnaganj | 12 - 155 72 - | | | | 213 - 225 | 54 - 90 | 7030 | 1.55x10 ⁻³ | |

3.0 Ground Water Resource, Extraction, Contamination & Other Issues:

Resource Availability & Extraction: Dynamic ground water resources as on 31st March'13

| Block | Net ground Water availability (MCM) | Gross ground Water draft (MCM) | Stage of Development (%) | Category | Provision for domestic and industrial requirement supply up to 2035 years(MCM) |
|-------------|--|---|--------------------------------|----------|--|
| Krishnaganj | 79.74 | 69.98 | 87.76 | Safe | 3.07 |

Chemical Quality of Ground Water & Contamination:

Average data of chemical parameters in the block based on the analysis from 8 to 10 monitoring wells are given below. For Arsenic data, 3 to 4 monitoring wells are considered.

Table 3.2 - Range of chemical parameter in the block

| Block | Aquifer | As | рН | EC | Na | Cl | F | NO ₃ | Total Hardness as |
|-------------|---------|---------|-------|---------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | Туре | (mg/l) | | (µs/Cm) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | CaCO₃(mg/l) |
| Krishnaganj | I | 0.0003- | 8.32- | 295.9- | 16.0- | 10.0- | BDL- | BDL- | 105- 160 |
| | | 0.019 | 8.48 | 464.5 | 49.2 | 22.5 | 0.82 | 0.5 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Table 3.3 - Arsenic Concentration (mg/l) in ground water

| Name of arsenic | No. of | Arsenic Concentration (in mg/l) | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|-----------|---------------------------------|-----|---------|---------------|-------|-----|---------------|--|
| affected block | Tube well | <& =0.01 | | >0.01 & | >0.01 &<=0.05 | | 05 | Max. | |
| | analysed | % | No. | % | No. | % | No. | concentration | |
| Krishnaganj | 1737 | 39.21 | 681 | 37.94 | 659 | 22.86 | 397 | 0.77 | |

(Source – PHED, Govt. of West Bengal)



Fig. 3.1 – spot values of As (mg/l) in ground water

4. Ground Water Resource Enhancement & Management Plan:

Ground Water Management Plan for Drinking purposes-

- As per the ground water policy, first priority of water is for drinking purpose.
- The Block is declared by the State Arsenic Task Force, as Arsenic affected.
- As per the Status of Rural Water Supply Schemes published by WBPHED a population of 14523 in 5 villages is under risk zone where no water supply scheme exists.
- On the basis of data available, 4 tube wells are required for catering five uncovered villages. Details of calculation are given below.
- The arsenic free aquifers in the depth span of 213m to 225m (comparatively less potential) may be exploited for the purpose.

- Arsenic free aquifer should be tapped with proper cement sealing. Arsenic removal plant may be installed before supply.
- Regular Field monitoring is necessary for Arsenic concentration in tube wells.

Table 4.1 – Nos. & cost of Tube wells in uncovered (projected population) of Human and Cattle

| Block | Projected population upto 2021 (considering growth 21.09% per decade as per Census 2011 | Water required for drinking & domestic purposes @ 70 lpcd (in lpd) | Cattle Population (Considering 0.19 per capita human population) as on 2011 | Cattle Population (Considering 0.36 annual growth rate) as on 2021 | Water required for drinking & domestic purposes @ 20 lpcd (in lpd) | Total Water Required (in lpd) | Number of T. Ws | Cost of the tube well of 300 m depth (approx) & 10"x6" dia @ Rs. 25 lakhs (In lakh) as per EFC |
|-------------|---|--|--|---|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Krishnaganj | 17587 | 1231090 | 2977 | 3086 | 61729 | 1292819 | 4 | 100 |

Management Plan for Irrigation:

| Sr. | Distri | Block | Geogra | Cultiva | Net | Net | Net | Net area | Demand | GW | Remar |
|-----|--------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|---|--|---|-------|
| No. | ct | | phical area in ha | ble area in ha | irrigated Comman d area (GW) in ha | irrigate d Comma nd area (SW) in ha | irrigated Comman d area (GW +SW) in ha | availabl e for Irrigatio n in ha | i.e. Water required for Irrigatio n in ham | availab le for future Irrigati on in ham | ks |
| 8. | Nadia | Krishna ganj | 15955 | 9880 | 4622.06 | 1028.63 | 5650.69 | 4229.31 | | 904.38 | |

- On the basis of the Ground Water Resource Assessment, the block is under Safe category and the Stage of Ground Water Development (SOD) is 87.76 %.
- As indicated in the above mentioned Table, about 904 ham of ground water is available for future irrigation for about 4230 ha of land available in this block. The

available ground water may be used proportionately for Rabi and Boro paddy and other crops.

- Irrigation by modern techniques like Sprinkler, Drip irrigation may be utilised.
 Crops consuming low amount of water should be cultivated.
- Conjunctive use of ground water and surface water may be applied for irrigation
- Artificial recharge is advisable in Arsenic affected zone for dilution of Arsenic concentration in unconfined aquifer for irrigation purpose also so that, there is no Arsenic contamination in food chain system.
- Regular monitoring of Arsenic concentration in crop is also necessary.
- R & D study is necessary in arsenic affected area so we can get new solutions in future.

Table 4.3 - Ground Water Management Plan for Irrigation in consultation with experts of BidhanChandra Krishi Vidyalaya (BCKV)

| Block | Ground water availabili ty(Ham,) | Qua lity | Major crops/vegetables/ fruits/flowers currently in practice | Water column depth(m) | Crops suggested for better management(consideri ng ground water quality & quantity) | Water column depth(m) recommended | Remarks e.g. Irrigation techniques etc |
|-------------|---|-------------|--|--|---|--|---|
| Krishnaganj | - | As | wheat, rice, mustard, cabbage, cauliflower, brinjal, okra, lentil | Wheat (0.3- 0.35), rice (1.2-1.4), Vegetable (0.15-0.2), pulse (0.1- 0.12) | wheat, mustard, lentil, flowers, vegetables | Wheat (0.2-0.25), mustard (0.2), pulse (0.08-0.12), flowers (0.12-0.16) | Conjunctive use of fresh and contaminat ed water: 1:1 ratio/drip for vegetables, flowers |

Management Plan for Industrial Purpose:

The block is under Safe category and is mainly agriculture based rural area. There is a less chance for growing up of small scale industries. However, in near future, if any industry is coming up, the following steps should be considered.

- All industries proposing to draw ground water through energized means, need to obtain NOC for ground water withdrawal from the State Ground Water Authority (SGWA)
- All industries abstracting ground water > 500 m³/day in the semi-critical assessment unit, have to implement mandatorily, the artificial recharge measures, as per the norms.
- All the industries need to recharge 90 % of the quantum of ground water withdrawal.

The Authority is to issue NOC for various uses and monitor its compliance. The NOC should be vested with the District Magistrate/ Deputy Commissioner/ State Ground Water

Authority/ State Nodal Agency/ Central Ground Water Authority, as per details given in the guidelines of CGWA.

Table 4.4 – Salient points for Future Irrigation

| Name of Block | Geogra | Cultivabl | Net | Area to | SOD | Pre | Post | Average | Average Post | Remarks for GW Management |
|---------------|---------|-----------|--------|----------|-------|----------|----------|---------|--------------|----------------------------------|
| | phical | e area in | irriga | be | in % | monsoon | monsoon | Pre | monsoon WL | Plan |
| | area in | ha | ted | irrigate | | WL Trend | WL Trend | monsoon | in m bgl | |
| | ha | | area | d in ha | | 2016 in | 2016 in | WL in m | | |
| | | | in ha | | | cm/yr | cm/yr | bgl | | |
| Krishnaganj | 15955 | 9880 | 5650 | 4229.31 | 87.76 | 2.92 | 10.90 | 6.32 | 5.25 | Block is under Safe category, so |
| | | | .69 | | | | | | | regular monitoring of GW |
| | | | | | | | | | | regime may be made from time |
| | | | | | | | | | | to time. Boro cultivation may be |
| | | | | | | | | | | encouraged to some extent |
| | | | | | | | | | | encouraged to some extent. |
| | | | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | | | |

Artificial Recharge

Table 4.5 - Area suitable for recharge in the study area:

| District | Block Name | Block Area (in ha) | Area suitable for recharge(Considering area having DTW more than 3m in post- monsoon and showing 20 cm/y falling trend)(in ha) |
|----------|-------------|--------------------|---|
| Nadia | Krishnaganj | 15955 | 15955 (considering the average criteria) |

| Block | Normal | Area(Ha | Annual total | Run off co- | Major type of soil | Total | 75% of 'Vt' = | 50% of V | 60% of |
|-------------|-------------|---------|----------------|-------------|-------------------------|-----------|---------------|-------------|-----------------|
| | monsoon |) 'A' | volume of rain | efficient | available in that block | volume of | V Ham | (Non | Vnc(considering |
| | rainfall in | | fall in | from | | surface | | committed)= | e-flow)= Vf |
| | m(50 yrs | | Ham=(Rn X A) | Dhruvanar | | runoff | | Vnc Ham | Ham (4) |
| | data from | | | ayana,199 | | available | | | |
| | data.gov.i | | | 3(Land | | Annually | | | |
| | n) 'Rn' | | | slope, type | | 'Vt' | | | |
| | | | | of land and | | (RnXAXC) | | | |
| | | | | soil type) | | Ham | | | |
| | | | | 'C' land | | | | | |
| | | | | slope 0-5% | | | | | |
| Krishnaganj | 1.053 | 15955 | 16800.615 | 0.38 | 30 %Deep, poorly | 6384.2337 | 4788.1753 | 2394.0876 | 1436.452583 |
| | | | | | drained loamy soil, | | | | |
| | | | | | 70% moderately | | | | |
| | | | | | drained sandy soil | | | | |
| | | | 1 | | | | | | |

Table 4.6 – Estimation of Surface runoff by Dhruvanarayana, 1993 method (Based on land slope, type of land and soil)

Table 4.7 – Possible Recharge & conservation structures using harvested run off in block based on soil characteristic, Slope, Rain fall data and Long term trend & cost estimate

| Block (1) | Amount of | Sourco | Sourco | Source water | Source water | Sourco | Noc | Cost | Nos of | Cost of | Nos of | Cost of | Nos of | Cost of | Total |
|-------------|--------------|-------------|------------|----------------|---------------------|------------|------|--------|------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------|
| DIOCK (1) | water for | water | water | allocation for | allocation for | water | of | of | Irrigation | Irrigation | RFFT | RFFT | injection | injection | Cost |
| | artificial | allocation | allocation | Irrigation Cum | Injection Well (6) | allocation | Farm | Farm | Cum | Cum | with | with | Well @ | Well @ | (16) |
| | recharge | for | for REET | Recharge Tank | 2 | for Farm | Pond | pond | Recharge | Recharge | recharge | Recharge | 30 Ham | Rs 25 | () |
| | and / or | Irrigation | with | (Ham): 50 % of | | Pond in | @ 10 | @ Rs | Tank | Tank @ | shaft @ | Shaft @ | per unit | lakh (15) | |
| | conservation | Cum | Recharge | Col. 3 (5) | | Ham (7) | Ham | 8 lakh | suggested | Rs 8 lakh | 10 Ham | Rs 8 lakh | (14) | . , | |
| | (Ham) (2) | Recharge | Shaft | | | | per | per | @ 50 Ham | per unit | per unit | per unit | | | |
| | | Tank and | (Ham): | | | | unit | unit | per unit | (11) | (12) | (13) | | | |
| | | REET | 50 % of | | | | (8) | (9) | (10) | | | | | | |
| | | with | Col. 3 (4) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Recharge | | | | | | | | | | | | | (in |
| | | Shaft in | | | | | | | | | | | | | Lakh) |
| | | Ham (3) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Krishnaganj | 1436.4526 | 70 % of | 502.76 | 502.76 | 20 % of Col. 2 i.e. | 10 % of | 14 | 112 | 10 | 80 | 50 | 400 | 10 | 250 | 842 |
| | | Col. 2 i.e. | | | 287.29 Ham | Col.2 i.e. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1005.52 | | | | 143.65 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Ham | | | | Ham | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |

REET with Recharge Shaft

Re-excavation of existing tank with Recharge Shaft

9. HANSKHALI BLOCK

1.0 Salient Information

Block Name: Hanskhali Area (in sq km): 231.50 District: Nadia State: West Bengal Population (as on 2011): 245899

Table 1.1 - Details of Population

| Male | Female | Total |
|--------|--------|--------|
| 127576 | 118323 | 245899 |

Rainfall: Average annual rainfall (Nadia district) for the period 2012-2016 (in mm): 1214.24

| Block | District Normal | | Actual (Annual) | | | | | | |
|-----------|--------------------|-------|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|--|--|--|
| | | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | | | |
| Hanskhali | 1444 | 862.0 | 1287.8 | 1096.8 | 1408.1 | 1416.5 | | | |

Agriculture & Irrigation

Total area in ha: 23150

Table 1.3 - Land use pattern of block

| SI. | Name | Geographic | Cultivable | Area under | Cultivable | Forest | Home Stead |
|-----|--------------|------------|------------|--------------|--------------|--------|------------|
| No | of the Block | Area (ha) | Area (ha) | pasture & | Waste | Land | Land (ha) |
| | | | | orchard (ha) | Land(ha) | (ha) | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 1. | Hanskhali | 23150 | 17580 | 176 | (Negligible) | 181 | 5085 |
| | | | | | | | |

Aquifer Wise Ground Water Resource Availability & Extraction:

| Resource Availability | Aquifer I | Aquifer II | Aquifer III | Extraction (for Aquifer I) |
|--------------------------|-----------|------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| Dynamic Resource | 141.29 | - | - | 139.66 |
| Static Resource | 2288.14 | - | - | - |

Table 1.4 - Aquifer wise resource availability and draft (in MCM) in Block

2.0 Disposition of Principal Aquifer System:

In Hanskhali Block, three aquifer groups exist.

- 1staquifer group occurs in general, ranging from 3m to 100 m which contains Arsenic contaminated ground water.
- The average depth range of 2ndaquifer group is from 126 to 156 m which is fresh and in general, Arsenic free except sporadic occurrence at places.
- The average depth range of 3rdaquifer is 205 m to 240 m, which is also fresh and Arsenic free.

Table 2.1 - Aquifer disposition depth range in the block

| Hanskhali | 1st Aquifer Group | 2nd Aquifer Group | 3rd aquifer | | |
|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|
| | 3-100 m | 126-156 m | 205-240 m | | |



Fig 2.1 - Aquifer disposition in Hanskhali Block



Fig. 2.2- Cross section index line







Table 2.4 - Aquifer Wise Water Level Ranges & Seasonal long term water level trends (2006 to2017)

| SI. | Aquifer | Pre-monsoon 1 | rend | | Post-monsoon | Post-monsoon | | | |
|-----|---------------------------------|---|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| NO. | Depth to water level (m bgl) | Depth to water Rise level (m bg) (cm/year) | | | lTrend | | | | |
| | | | Rise (cm/year) | Fall (cm/year) | (~8.) | Rise (cm/year) | Fall (cm/year) | | |
| 1. | I | 5.85 – 6.93 | - | 1.79 | 4.90 - 6.03 | - | 10.82 | | |
| 2. | | 3.44 - 8.32 | - | - | 2.93 – 5.45 | - | - | | |

Table 2.5 - Aquifer wise (Maximum) thickness

| Block | Area (sq km) | Thickness of the Granular Zone in 1st aquifer (m) | Thickness of the Granular Zone in 2nd aquifer (m) | Thickness of the Granular Zone in 3rd aquifer (m) |
|-----------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Hanskhali | 231.50 | 97 | 30 | 35 |

Table 2.6 - Aquifer-wise depth range and parameters

| Name of Block | | 1 st Aquife | r | | 2 nd Aquifer | | | |
|---------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|---|---------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|---|
| | Depth Range (m bgl) | Dischar ge (m³/hr) | T (m²/da y) | S | Depth Range (m bgl) | Discharg e (m ³ /hr) | T (m²/ day) | S |
| Hanskhali | 3 - 100 | 72 | 3300 | - | 126-156 | 68 | 5207 | - |

3.0 Ground Water Resource, Extraction, Contamination & Other Issues:

Resource Availability & Extraction: Dynamic ground water resources as on 31st March'13

Table 3.1 - Availability of Ground Water resource in Block

| Block | Net ground Water availability (MCM) | Gross ground Water draft (MCM) | Stage of Development (%) | Category | Provision for domestic and industrial requirement supply up to 2035 years (MCM) |
|-----------|--|---|--------------------------------|---------------|---|
| Hanskhali | 141.29 | 139.66 | 98.84 | Semi-critical | 6.01 |

Chemical Quality of Ground Water & Contamination:

Average data of chemical parameters in the block based on the analysis from 8 to 10 monitoring wells are given below. For Arsenic data, 3 to 4 monitoring wells are considered.

| Block | Aquifer | As | рН | EC | Na | Cl | F | NO ₃ | Total Hardness as | |
|-----------|---------|---------|-------|---------|--------|---------|--------|-----------------|-------------------|--|
| | Туре | (mg/l) | | (µs/Cm) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | CaCO₃(mg/I) | |
| Hanskhali | I | 0.0001- | 8.32- | 283- | 13.5- | 10-32.5 | BDL- | BDL- | 125-180 | |
| | | 0.05 | 8.49 | 415.7 | 29.2 | | 0.97 | 7.2 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 3.3 - Arsenic Concentration in ground water

| Name of arsenic | No. of Arsenic Concentration (in mg/l) | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|--|-------|------|---------------|-----|-------|-----|---------------|--|
| affected block | Tube well | <& = | 0.01 | >0.01 &<=0.05 | | >0.05 | | Max. | |
| | analysed | % | No. | % | No. | % | No. | concentration | |
| Hanskhali | 2262 | 34.92 | 790 | 39.48 | 893 | 25.46 | 576 | 0.53 | |

(Source – PHED, Govt. of West Bengal)



Fig. 3.1 – Spot values of arsenic (mg/l) in ground water

4.0 Ground Water Resource Enhancement & Management Plan:

Ground Water Management Plan for Drinking purposes-

- As per the ground water policy, first priority of water is for drinking purpose.
- The Block is declared by the State Arsenic Task Force, as Arsenic affected.
- As per the Status of Rural Water Supply Schemes published by WBPHED, a population of 55704 in 8 villages is under risk zone where no water supply scheme exists.
- On the basis of data available, 14 tube wells are required for catering eight uncovered villages. Details of calculation are given below.
- The arsenic free aquifers in the depth span of 126m to 156m & 205m to 240m (comparatively less potential) may be exploited for the purpose.

- Arsenic free aquifer should be tapped with proper cement sealing. Arsenic removal plant may be installed before supply.
- Regular Field monitoring is necessary for Arsenic concentration in tube wells.

Table 4.1 – Nos. & cost for construction of Tube wells in uncovered (projected) population of Human and Cattle

| Block | Projected population upto 2021 (considering growth 21.09% per decade as per Census 2011 | Water required for drinking & domestic purposes @ 70 lpcd (in lpd) | Cattle Population (Considering 0.19 per capita human population) as on 2011 | Cattle Population (Considering 0.36 annual growth rate) as on 2021 | Water required for drinking & domestic purposes @ 20 lpcd (in lpd) | Total Water Required (in lpd) | Number of T. Ws | Cost of the tube well of 300 m depth (approx) & 10"x6" dia @ Rs. 25 lakhs (In lakh) as per EFC |
|-----------|---|--|--|---|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---|
| | | | | | | | | |
| Hanskhali | 67458 | 4722060 | 11421 | 11839 | 236783 | 4958843 | 14 | 350 |

Management Plan for Irrigation:

- On the basis of the Ground Water Resource Assessment, the block is under Semicritical condition and the Stage of Ground Water Development (SOD) is 98.84 %. Hence, irrigation by exploiting the unconfined aquifer is not advisable.
- As indicated in the above mentioned Table, the net area for irrigation is not at all available, even over-irrigated. Hence, over-irrigation may be stopped and no further irrigation is suggested in this block. However, a quantum of about 22.5 ham of ground water which is available for future irrigation in this block, may be utilised for irrigation in adjacent water demanding block like Krishnaganj.
- Irrigation by modern techniques like Sprinkler, Drip irrigation may be utilised.
 Crops consuming low amount of water should be cultivated.
- Conjunctive use of ground water and surface water may be applied for irrigation
- Artificial recharge is advisable in Arsenic affected zone for dilution of Arsenic concentration in unconfined aquifer for irrigation purpose also, so that there is no Arsenic contamination in food chain system.
- .Regular monitoring of Arsenic concentration in crops is also necessary.
- R & D study is necessary in arsenic affected area so we can get new solutions in future.
| Table 4.2 - Availabilit | ty of Land for | Future Irrigation |
|-------------------------|----------------|-------------------|
|-------------------------|----------------|-------------------|

| Sr. | Distri | Block | Geogra | Cultiva | Net | Net | Net | Net area | Demand | GW | Remar |
|-----|--------|---------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|---|--|---|-------|
| No. | ct | | phical area in ha | ble area in ha | irrigated Comman d area (GW) in ha | irrigate d Comma nd area (SW) in ha | irrigated Comman d area (GW +SW) in ha | availabl e for Irrigatio n in ha | i.e. Water required for Irrigatio n in ham | availab le for future Irrigati on in ham | ks |
| 9. | Nadia | Hanskh ali | 23150 | 17580 | 27815.26 | 1371 | 29186.26 | -11606.3 | Nil | 22.51 | |

Table 4.3 - Ground Water Management Plan for Irrigation in consultation with experts of BidhanChandra Krishi Vidyalaya (BCKV)

| Block | Ground water availabili ty(Ham,) | Qua lity | Major crops/vegetables/ fruits/flowers currently in practice | Water column depth(m) | Crops suggested for better management(consideri ng ground water quality & quantity) | Water column depth(m) recommended | Remarks e.g. Irrigation techniques etc |
|-----------|---|-------------|--|---|---|--|---|
| Hanskhali | 22.51 | As | wheat, rice, mustard, cabbage, cauliflower, brinjal, okra, lentil | Wheat (0.3- 0.35), rice (1.2-1.4), Vegetable (0.15-0.2), pulse(0.1- 0.12) | wheat, mustard, lentil, flowers, vegetables | Wheat (0.2-0.25), mustard (0.2), pulse (0.08-0.12), flowers (0.12-0.16) | Conjunctive use of fresh and contaminat ed water: 1:1 ratio/drip for vegetables, flowers |

Table 4.4 – Salient points for Future Irrigation

| Name of Block | Geogra phical area in ha | Cultivabl e area in ha | Net irriga ted area | Area to be irrigate d in ha | SOD in % | Pre monsoon WL Trend 2016 in | Post monsoon WL Trend 2016 in | Average Pre monsoon WL in m | Average Post monsoon WL in m bgl | Remarks for GW Management Plan |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| | | | in ha | | | cm/yr | cm/yr | bgl | | |
| Hanskhali | 23150 | 17580 | 2918 6.26 | 11606.3 | 98.84 | 1.79 | 10.82 | 5.58 | 4.18 | Block is under semi critical condition so Regular Monitoring of GW Regime should be made from time to time. Boro cultivation should be restricted |

Management Plan for Industrial Purpose:

The block is under Semi-critical condition and is mainly agriculture based rural area. There is a less chance for growing up of small scale industries. However, in near future, if any industry is coming up, the following steps should be considered.

- All industries proposing to draw ground water through energized means, need to obtain NOC for ground water withdrawal from the State Ground Water Authority (SGWA)
- All industries abstracting ground water > 500 m³/day in the semi-critical assessment unit, have to implement mandatorily, the artificial recharge measures, as per the norms.
- All the industries need to recharge 90 % of the quantum of ground water withdrawal.
- The Authority is to issue NOC for various uses and monitor its compliance. The NOC should be vested with the District Magistrate/ Deputy Commissioner/ State Ground Water Authority/ State Nodal Agency/ Central Ground Water Authority, as per details given in the guidelines of CGWA.

Special interventions for monitoring of Ground water situations in Semi-Critical Block

As per the GEC Norms for Semi-critical block, the following precautions should be taken before GW extraction.

- It is necessary to increase the density of observation wells in that unit for regular water level monitoring and thereby-
- The rainfall recharge during monsoon season by the water table fluctuation method can be estimated with greater accuracy.
- The trend of water table during pre- monsoon and post-monsoon intervals can be evaluated with greater accuracy.
- The trend of water table during pre- monsoon and post- monsoon intervals consequent to further groundwater development can be more effectively monitored.

Artificial Recharge:

| District | Block Name | Block Area (in ha) | Area suitable for recharge (Considering area having DTW more than 3m in post- monsoon and showing 20 cm/y falling |
|----------|------------|--------------------|---|
| | | | |
| | | | trend) (in ha) |
| Nadia | Hanskhali | 23150 | 23150 (considering the average criteria) |

Table 4.5 - Area suitable for recharge in the study area:

Table 4.6 – Estimation of Surface runoff by Dhruvanarayana, 1993 method (Based on land slope, type of land and soil)

| Block | Normal | Area(Ha | Annual total | Run off co- | Major type of soil | Total | 75% of 'Vt' = | 50% of V | 60% of |
|-----------|-------------|---------|----------------|---|---|-----------|---------------|-------------|-----------------|
| | monsoon |) 'A' | volume of rain | efficient | available in that block | volume of | V Ham | (Non | Vnc(considering |
| | rainfall in | | fall in | from | | surface | | committed)= | e-flow)= Vf Ham |
| | m(50 yrs | | Ham=(Rn X A) | Dhruvanar | | runoff | | Vnc Ham | (4) |
| | data from | | | ayana,199 | | available | | | |
| | data.gov.in | | | 3(Land | | Annually | | | |
| |) 'Rn' | | | slope, type | | 'Vt' | | | |
| | | | | of land and | | (RnXAXC) | | | |
| | | | | soil type) | | Ham | | | |
| | | | | 'C' land | | | | | |
| | | | | slope 0-5% | | | | | |
| Hanskhali | 1.053 | 23150 | 24376.95 | 0.4 | 50 %Deep, poorly | 9750.78 | 7313.085 | 3656.5425 | 2193.9255 |
| | | | | | drained loamy soil, | | | | |
| | | | | | 50% moderately | | | | |
| | | | | | drained sandy soil | | | | |
| Hanskhali | 1.053 | 23150 | 24376.95 | soil type) 'C' land slope 0-5% 0.4 | 50 %Deep, poorly drained loamy soil, 50% moderately drained sandy soil | 9750.78 | 7313.085 | 3656.5425 | 2193.9255 |

Table 4.7 – Possible Recharge & conservation structures using harvested run off in block based on soil characteristic, Slope, Rain fall data and Long term trend & cost estimate

| Block (1) | Amount of water for artificial recharge and / or | Source water allocation for Irrigation | Source water allocation for REET with Bochargo | Source water allocation for Irrigation Cum Recharge Tank (Ham): 50 % of | Source water allocation for Injection Well (6) | Source water allocation for Farm Pond in | Nos. of Farm Pond @ 10 | Cost of Farm pond @ Rs | Nos. of Irrigation Cum Recharge Tank | Cost of Irrigation Cum Recharge Tank @ Ps & Jakh | Nos. of REET with recharge shaft @ | Cost of REET with Recharge Shaft @ Ps & lakb | Nos. of injection Well @ 30 Ham per unit | Cost of injection Well @ Rs 25 lakh (15) | Total Cost (16) |
|-----------|--|---|---|---|--|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|--|-----------------------|
| | (Ham) (2) | Recharge Tank and REET with Recharge Shaft in Ham (3) | Shaft (Ham): 50 % of Col. 3 (4) | (0). 3 (5) | | | per unit (8) | o lakh per unit (9) | @ 50 Ham per unit (10) | per unit (11) | per unit (12) | per unit (13) | (14) | | (in Lakh) |
| Hanskhali | 2193.9255 | 70 % of Col. 2 i.e. 1535.75 Ham | 767.88 | 767.88 | 20 % of Col. 2 i.e. 438.79 Ham | 10 % of Col.2 i.e. 219.40 Ham | 22 | 176 | 15 | 120 | 77 | 616 | 15 | 120 | 1032 |

REET with Recharge Shaft

Re-excavation of existing tank with Recharge Shaft

Part III

Data Gap Analysis in parts of Nadia District

(9 Blocks), West Bengal

(Karimpur - I, Karimpur - II, Tehatta - I, Tehatta - II, Kaliganj, Nakashipara, Chapra, Krishnaganj & Hanskhali Blocks)

DATA GAP ANALYSIS FOR AQUIFER MAPPING PROGRAMME IN PARTS OF NADIA DISTRICT (9 BLOCKS), WEST BENGAL

Toposheet No.: 78 D/12

| Quadra nt No. | No. of additional EW required | | | No. of VES/T | additio EM req | nal uired | No. o wate moni requi | of addition r level toring s red | onal tations | No. of water statio | Remarks | | |
|---------------------|----------------------------------|-------|--------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|---|-----------------|---------------------------|---------|--------|--|
| | Aq -I | Aq-II | Aq-III | Aq-I | Aq-II | Aq-III | Aq- | Aq-II | Aq-III | Aq-l | Aq-II | Aq-III | |
| 2C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 3A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 3B | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 3C | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |

Note:

No. of additional EW required- 1 (Aq-III: 1)

No. of additional VES/TEM required- 17 (Aq-I: 7, Aq-II: 7, Aq-III: 3)

No. of additional water level monitoring stations required -3 (Aq-I: 1, Aq-II: 1, Aq-III: 1)

No. of additional water quality stations required-3 (Aq-I: 1, Aq-II: 1, Aq-III: 1)

| Toposheet No. 7 system in Alluvia | ′8 D/12 (pa al areas (d | arts) quadr | Explora ant wis | ntory Da se) | ata ado | equad | ;y f | or <u>Thr</u> | <u>ee</u> Aq | uifer | Fig-2 group | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-------|------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|----------------|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Aq. Gp. | Dept Rnge | h Aq e par me | EC a te | ; |
| | | | | | | | | Ist | Nil | rs Nil | Nil | |
| | | | | | | | | II nd | Nil | Nil | Nil | |
| | | | | | | | | III rd | Nil | Nil | Nil | |
| Aq. Depth A Gp. Rnge p m | Aq. EC bara nete s | | Aq. Gp. | Depth Rnge | Aq. para mete rs | EC | | Aq. Gp. | Dept h Rnge | Aq. para met ers | | |
| I st Nil N | Nil Nil | | I st | Nil | Nil | Nil | | Ist | Ni I | Nil | Nil | |
| II nd Nil N | Nil Nil | | nd | Nil | Nil | Nil | | nd | Nil | Nil | Nil | |
| III rd Nil N | Nil Nil | | IIIrd | Nil | Nil | Nil | | IIIrd | Nil | Nil | Nil | |

| Toposhe Alluvial | eet No. areas (q | 78 D/12 uadrant | Explorative Explorative | ato | ory Data | Gap A | nalysis | for <u>Thr</u> | re | <u>e</u> Aquif | er grou | up | r syster | n in | |
|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|-----|------------------|-------|---------|----------------|----|------------------|---------|------|-------------|------|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | Aq. Gp. | EW/OV | V/SI | H/PZ | | |
| | | | | | | | | | ľ | | Req | | Exist | Gap | |
| | | | | | | | | | ľ | Ist | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | II nd | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | IIIrd | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| | - | | | _ | | | | | | 1 | | | | | |
| Aq. Gp. | EW/OW/ | SH/PZ | | | Aq. Gp. | EW/OW | /SH/PZ | | | Aq. Gp. | EW/OV | V/SI | H/PZ | | |
| | Req | Exist | Gap | | | Req | Exist | Gap | | | Req | | Exist | Gap | |
| Ist | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | lst | 0 | 0 | 0 | | lst | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| II nd | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | II nd | 0 | 0 | 0 | | II nd | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| IIIrd | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | IIIrd | 0 | 0 | 0 | | IIIrd | 1 | | 0 | 1 | |
| L | | | | J [| | 1 | | | 11 | l | 1 | | | | |

| Quadrant No. | No. of additional EW required | | | No. of additional VES/TEM required | | | No. o wate moni requi | f additio r level toring st red | nal ations | No. of water requir | Remarks | | |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|---|---------------------------------------|-------|--------|--------------------------------|--|---------------|---------------------------|---------|--------|--|
| | Aq-I | Aq-I Aq-II Aq-III | | | Aq-II | Aq-III | Aq-I | Aq-II | Aq-III | Aq-I | Aq-II | Aq-III | |
| 3C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 2 1 | | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Total | 0 0 0 | | 2 | 2 2 1 | | 0 0 0 | | 0 0 0 | | | | | |

Note: No. of Additional EW required- 0

No. of additional VES/TEM required- 5 (Aq-I: 2, Aq-II: 2, Aq-III: 1) No. of additional water level monitoring stations required -0 (Aq-I: 0, Aq-II: 0, Aq-III: 0)

No. of additional water quality stations required-0 (Aq-I: 0, Aq-II: 0, Aq-II: 0)

_.

| Toposheet No. 79 A/1 (parts) system in Alluvial areas (quad |) Exploratory rant wise) | Data ad | dequacy | for | Three | Aqui | Fi fer gro | g-2 oup |
|--|-----------------------------|---------|---------|----------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------------|------------|
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | A G | q. D p. F | epth Inge | Aq. para meter s | EC |
| | | | | s ¹ | Ν | lil | Nil | Nil |
| | | | | II | nd N | Jil | Nil | Nil |
| | | | | II | rd N | Jil | Nil | Nil |

| Toposheet No. 79 A/1 (par system in Alluvial areas (qu | rts) Exploratory Data Gap Ar uadrant wise) | nalysis fo | or <u>Three</u> | Aquifer | Fig-2 group |
|---|---|------------------|-----------------|---------|----------------|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | Ag Gp | EW/OW/S | H/P7 | |
| | | , iq. op. | Req | Exist | Gap |
| | | lst | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | II nd | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | IIIrd | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Quadrant No. | No. of requir | f addition ed | al EW | No. of VES/T | additio EM requ | nal ıired | No. o wate moni requi | of addition r level toring st ired | onal ations | No. of water statio | additio quality ns requi | nal red | Remarks |
|-----------------|------------------|------------------|--------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|---|----------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|------------|---------|
| | Aq-I | Aq-II | Aq-III | Aq-I | Aq-II | Aq-III | Aq-I | Aq-II | Aq-III | Aq-I | Aq-II | Aq- III | |
| 1C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 3A | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| 3B | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| 3C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 3 | |

Note: No. of Additional EW required- 1 (Aq-III: 1)

г

No. of additional VES/TEM required- 27 (Aq-I: 11, Aq-II: 11, Aq-III: 5) No. of additional water level monitoring stations required -5 (Aq-I: 0, Aq-II: 2, Aq-III: 3)

No. of additional water quality stations required-5 (Aq-I: 0, Aq-II: 2, Aq-III: 3)

| Toposh system | eet No in Allu | o. 79 vial ar | A/5 (p eas (qu | oarts) Jadra | Exploratory nt wise) | Data | adequacy | for | <u>Three</u> | Aquifer | Fig-2 group |
|------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|------|----------|-----|--------------|---------|----------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Aq. Gp. | Dept h Rnge | Aq. para met ers | EC | | | | | | | | |
| lst | Nil | Nil | Nil | | | | | | | | |
| nd | Nil | Nil | Nil | | | | | | | | |
| IIIrd | Nil | Nil | Nil | | | | | | | | |

| Toposh system | eet No. in Alluv | 79 A/5(vial area | parts) I as (qua | Exploratory Data Gap Analysi drant wise) | Fig-2 s for <u>Three</u> Aquifer group |
|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---|---|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Aq. Gp. | EW/OW/ | SH/PZ | | | |
| | Req | Exist | Gap | | |
| I st | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| II nd | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| IIIrd | 1 | 0 | 1 | | |

| Quadrant No. | No. of EW re | additio quired | nal | No. of VES/T | additio EM requ | nal uired | No. o wate moni requi | of additic r level toring st ired | onal tations | No. of water requir | additio quality ed | nal stations | Remarks |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-----|-----------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|--|-----------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| | Aq-I Aq-II Aq-III | | | Aq-I | Aq-II | Aq-III | Aq-I | Aq-II | Aq-III | Aq-I | Aq-II | Aq-III | |
| 1C | Aq-I Aq-II Aq-III 0 0 0 | | | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| 2C | | | | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | |

Note: No. of Additional EW required-0

No. of additional VES/TEM required- 10 (Aq-I: 4, Aq-II: 4, Aq-III: 2)

No. of additional water level monitoring stations required - 4 (Aq-I: 0, Aq-II: 2, Aq-III: 2)

No. of additional water quality stations required-4 (Aq-I: 0, Aq-II: 2, Aq-III: 2)

Toposheet No.: 79A/6

| Quadrant No. | No. of requir | addition ed | al EW | No. of VES/T | additio EM req | nal uired | No. o wate moni requi | of addition r level toring s red | onal tations | No. of water requir | f additio quality ed | nal stations | Remarks |
|-----------------|------------------|----------------|--------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|---|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| | Aq-I | Aq-II | Aq-III | Aq-I | Aq-II | Aq-III | Aq-I | Aq-ll | Aq-III | Aq-I | Aq-II | Aq-III | |
| 1A | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| 1B | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| 1C | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| 2A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| 2B | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| 2C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| ЗA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 3B | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| 3C | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Total | 0 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 8 | |

No. of Additional EW required- 5 (AQ-II: 1, AQ-III: 4) 2 OW for 2B No. of additional VES/TEM required- 29 (Aq-I: 10, Aq-II: 10, Aq-III: 9) No. of additional water level monitoring stations required -16 (Aq-I: 2, Aq-II: 6, Aq-III: 8)

No. of additional water quality stations required-16 (Aq-I: 2, Aq-II: 6, Aq-III: 8)

| Aq. Gp. | Depth Rnge | Aq. param eters | EC | Aq. Gp. | Depth Rnge | Aq. param eters | EC | Aq. Gp. | Depth Rnge | Aq. param eters | EC |
|------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----|-------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----|-------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----|
| st | Nil | Nil | Nil | l st | Nil | Nil | Nil | lst | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| Ind | Nil | Nil | Nil | IInd | Nil | Nil | Nil | llnd | 183- 195 | Nil | Nil |
| rd | Nil | Nil | Nil | rd | Nil | Nil | Nil | IIIrd | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| Aq. Gp. | Depth Rnge | Aq. param eters | EC | Aq. Gp. | Depth Rnge | Aq. param eters | EC | Aq. Gp. | Depth Rnge | Aq. param eters | EC |
| st | Nil | Nil | Nil | lst | Nil | Nil | Nil | lst | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| nd | Nil | Nil | Nil | nd | Nil | Nil | Nil | nd | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| rd | Nil | Nil | Nil | IIIrd | Nil | Nil | Nil | rd | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| Aq. Gp. | Depth Rnge | Aq. param eters | EC | Aq. Gp. | Depth Rnge | Aq. param eters | EC | Aq. Gp. | Depth Rnge | Aq. param eters | EC |
| st | Nil | Nil | Nil | l st | Nil | Nil | Nil | Ist | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| II nd | Nil | Nil | Nil | ll nd | Nil | Nil | Nil | nd | Nil | Nil | Ni |
| III rd | Nil | Nil | Nil | III rd | Nil | Nil | Nil | III rd | Nil | Nil | Ni |

Fig-2 Toposheet No. 79 A/6 Exploratory Data Gap Analysis for Three Aquifer group system in Alluvial areas (quadrant wise)

Fig-2

| | | | | _ | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|-------|----------|-----|------------------|----------|---------|-----|------------------|-------|----------|-----|---|
| Aq. Gp. | EW/OV | V/SH/PZ | | Aq. Gp | b. EW/OV | V/SH/PZ | | Aq. Gp. | EW/OV | V/SH/PZ | | |
| | Req | Exist | Gap | | Req | Exist | Gap | | Req | Exist | Gap | Ī |
| Ist | 0 | 0 | 0 | Ist | 0 | 0 | 0 | Ist | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| II nd | 0 | 0 | 0 | II nd | 0 | 0 | 0 | II nd | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| IIIrd | 1 | 0 | 1 | IIIrd | 0 | 0 | 0 | IIIrd | 1 | 0 | 1 | _ |
| Aq. Gp. | EW/OV | V/SH/PZ | | Aq. Gp | . EW/OV | V/SH/PZ | | Aq. Gp. | EW/OV | V/SH/PZ | | |
| | Req | Exist | Gap | | Req | Exist | Gap | | Req | Exist | Gap | _ |
| lst | 0 | 0 | 0 | lst | 0 | 0 | 0 | lst | 0 | 0 | 0 | _ |
| II nd | 0 | 0 | 0 | II nd | 1 | 0 | 1 | II nd | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| IIIrd | 0 | 0 | 0 | Illrd | 1 | 0 | 1 | IIIrd | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Ag Gp | | //2U/D7 | | | | //2U/D7 | | | | | | |
| Ач. Ор. | | V/311/FZ | | | | WJ1/FZ | | Aq. Op. | | V/311/FZ | | |
| | Req | Exist | Gap | | Req | Exist | Gap | | Req | Exist | Gap | |
| lst | 0 | 0 | 0 | lst | 0 | 0 | 0 | Ist | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| ll nd | 0 | 0 | 0 | II nd | 0 | 0 | 0 | II nd | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| IIIrd | 0 | 0 | 0 | IIIrd | 0 | 0 | 0 | IIIrd | 1 | 0 | 1 | |
| | | | | ┛╽└─── | | | | | | | | |

| Quadrant No. | No. of requir | addition ed | al EW | No. of VES/T | additio EM req | nal uired | No. of level r requir | additiona nonitoring ed | al water g stations | No. of a water o require | addition quality s ed | al tations | Remark |
|-----------------|------------------|---|-------|---|-------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|--------|
| | Aq-I | Aq-I Aq-II Aq-III 0 0 1 | | | Aq-II | Aq-III | Aq-I | Aq-II | Aq-III | Aq-I | Aq-ll | Aq-III | |
| 1A | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| 1B | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | |
| 1C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| 2A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| 2B | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| 3A | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 2 1 (| | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Total | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 2 1 12 12 6 | | 1 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 5 | | |

Note: No. of Additional EW required-5 (Aq-I: 1, AQ-II: 1, AQ-III: 3) 3 OW for 2B

No. of additional VES/TEM required- 30 (Aq-I: 12, Aq-II: 12, Aq-III: 6) No. of additional water level monitoring stations required -12 (Aq-I: 1, Aq-II:6, Aq-III: 5)

No. of additional water quality stations required-12 (Aq-I: 1, Aq-II:6, Aq-III: 5)

| T A | oposhe Iluvial a | et No. areas (d | 79 A/9 quadra | Exp | lorat se) | toi | ry Data | adequ | acy fo | r <u>Thr</u> | ee . | Aquifer | group | F syster | ig-2 n in |
|--------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|-----|--------------|-----|-------------------|---------------|---------------------------|--------------|------|------------------|---------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| | Aq. Gp. | Depth Rnge | Aq. para meter s | EC | | | Aq. Gp. | Depth Rnge | Aq. para meter s | EC | | Aq. Gp. | Depth Rnge | Aq. para meter s | EC |
| | st | Nil | Nil | Nil | | | st | Nil | Nil | Nil | | st | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| | nd | Nil | Nil | Nil | | | IInd | Nil | Nil | Nil | | IInd | NiL | Nil | Nil |
| | rd | Nil | Nil | Nil | | | III rd | Nil | Nil | Nil | | IIIrd | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| | Aq. Gp. | Depth Rnge | Aq. para meter s | EC | | | Aq. Gp. | Depth Rnge | Aq. para meter s | EC | | Aq. Gp. | Depth Rnge | Aq. para meter s | EC |
| | st | Nil | Nil | Nil | | | st | Nil | Nil | Nil | | st | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| | nd | Nil | Nil | Nil | | | IInd | Nil | Nil | Nil | | II nd | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| | rd | Nil | Nil | Nil | | | rd | Nil | Nil | Nil | | III rd | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| | Aq. Gp. | Depth Rnge | Aq. para meter s | EC | | | Aq. Gp. | Depth Rnge | Aq. para meter s | EC | | Aq. Gp. | Depth Rnge | Aq. para mete rs | EC |
| | st | Nil | Nil | Nil | | | st | Nil | Nil | Nil | | st | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| | IInd | Nil | Nil | Nil | | | IInd | Nil | Nil | Nil | | IInd | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| | III rd | Nil | Nil | Nil | | | III rd | Nil | Nil | Nil | | IIIrd | Nil | Nil | Nil |

| Toposh system | eet No. in Alluv | 79 A/ | 9 Expl as (qua | orator | y Da wise | ata Ga e) | p Anal | lysis | for | <u>Three</u> | Aquifer | Fig-2 group |
|------------------|---------------------|---------|-------------------|------------|--------------|--------------|---------|-------|-----|--------------|---------|----------------|
| Aq. Gp. | EW/OV | V/SH/PZ | 7 | | | | | | | | | |
| | Req | Exist | Gap | | | | | | | | | |
| Ist | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| IInd | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| IIIrd | 1 | 0 | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Aq. Gp. | | EW/OW | //SH/PZ | Ζ | | | | |
| | | | | | | Req | Exist | Gap | | | | |
| | | | | Ist | | 1 | 0 | 1 | | | | |
| | | | | [[nd | | 1 | 0 | 1 | | | | |
| | | | | Illrd | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | | | | |
| Aq. Gp. | EW/OV | V/SH/PZ | 7 | | | | | | | | | |
| | Req | Exist | Gap | | | | | | | | | |
| st | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| IInd | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| IIIrd | 1 | 0 | 1 | | | | | | | | | |

| Quadrant No. | No. of requir | additiona ed | al EW | No. of VES/T | additio EM requ | nal iired | No. o wate moni requi | f additio r level toring st red | nal ations | No. of water requir | additio quality ed | nal stations | Remarks |
|-----------------|------------------|--|--------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|--|---------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| | Aq-I | Aq-II | Aq-III | Aq-I | Aq-II | Aq-III | Aq-I | Aq-II | Aq-III | Aq-I | Aq-II | Aq-III | |
| 1A | 0 | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| 2A | 1 | $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| 2B | 0 | $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 3A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| 3B | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 3C | 0 0 1 | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| Total | 1 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 4 | |

Note:

No. of Additional EW required-4 (Aq-I: 1, AQ-II: 1, AQ-III: 2)

3 OW for 2B

г

No. of additional VES/TEM required- 26 (Aq-I: 10, Aq-II: 10, Aq-III: 6) No. of additional water level monitoring stations required -7 (Aq-I: 0, Aq-II: 3 Aq-III:4)

No. of additional water quality stations required-7(Aq-I: 0, Aq-II: 3 Aq-III:4)

| Toposh areas (q | eet No. 7 uadrant | 9 A/10 wise) | Explora | tory | Data | adequacy | for <u>T</u> | <u>hree</u> Aqu | lifer grou | p systen | n in All | Fig-2 luvial | |
|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------|------|------|----------|--------------|-----------------|------------|----------|----------|-----------------|---|
| ٨a | Donth | ۸a | EC | | Δa | Denth | nΑ | EC. | ٨a | Donth | ۸a | FC | L |

| Aq. Gp. | Depth Rnge | Aq. para meter s | EC | | Aq. Gp. | Depth Rnge | Aq. para meter s | EC | Aq. Gp. | Depth Rnge | Aq. para meter s | EC | |
|------------------|---------------|---------------------------|-----|--|-------------------|---------------|---------------------------|-----|------------------|---------------|---------------------------|-----|--|
| st | Nil | Nil | Nil | | lst | Nil | Nil | Nil | lst | Nil | Nil | Nil | |
| II nd | Nil | Nil | Nil | | nd | Nil | Nil | Nil | nd | NiL | Nil | Nil | |
| rd | Nil | Nil | Nil | | III rd | Nil | Nil | Nil | rd | Nil | Nil | Nil | |
| Aq. Gp. | Depth Rnge | Aq. para meter s | EC | | Aq. Gp. | Depth Rnge | Aq. para meter s | EC | Aq. Gp. | Depth Rnge | Aq. para meter s | EC | |
| st | Nil | Nil | Nil | | lst | Nil | Nil | Nil | lst | Nil | Nil | Nil | |
| IInd | Nil | Nil | Nil | | IInd | Nil | Nil | Nil | IInd | Nil | Nil | Nil | |
| III rd | Nil | Nil | Nil | | III rd | Nil | Nil | Nil | IIIrd | Nil | Nil | Nil | |
| Aq. Gp. | Depth Rnge | Aq. para meter s | EC | | Aq. Gp. | Depth Rnge | Aq. para meter s | EC | Aq. Gp. | Depth Rnge | Aq. para meter s | EC | |
| st | Nil | Nil | Nil | | st | Nil | Nil | Nil | lst | Nil | Nil | Nil | |
| II nd | Nil | Nil | Nil | | II nd | Nil | Nil | Nil | II nd | Nil | Nil | Nil | |
| rd | Nil | Nil | Nil | | rd | Nil | Nil | Nil | rd | Nil | Nil | Nil | |

| Toposh Alluvial | eet No. areas (| 79 A/10 quadran | Explora t wise) | atory Data Gap Analysis for <u>Thr</u> | <u>ee</u> Aquif | er group | Fi system | g-2 ì in |
|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|-----------------|----------|--------------|-------------|
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Aq. Gp | EW/O | N/SH/PZ | | | | | | |
| • P. | Req | Exist | Gap | | | | | |
| Ist | 1 | 0 | 1 | | | | | |
| nd | 1 | 0 | 1 | | | | | |
| IIIrd | 1 | 0 | 1 | | | | | |
| | | | | | Aq. Gp. | EW/OW | //SH/PZ | |
| | | | | | | Req | Exist | Gap |
| | | | | | st | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | | IInd | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | | IIIrd | 1 | 0 | 1 |

| Quadrant No. | No. of EW re | additio quired | nal | No. of additional VES/TEM required | | | | of addition r level toring s ired | onal tations | No. of water requir | nal stations | Remarks | |
|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------|---------------------------------------|-------|--------|------|--|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------|---------|--|
| | Aq-I | Aq-II | Aq-III | Aq-I | Aq-II | Aq-III | Aq-I | Aq-ll | Aq-III | Aq-I | Aq-II | Aq-III | |
| 1A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| 1B | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| 1C | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| 2A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| 2B | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| 2C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| 3A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| 3B | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| 3C | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | |
| Total | 1 1 3 9 12 9 | | | | | 9 | 2 | 6 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 9 | |

Note:

No. of Additional EW required-5 (Aq-I: 1, AQ-II: 1, AQ-III: 3)

3 OW for 2B

No. of additional VES/TEM required- 30 (Aq-I: 9, Aq-II: 12, Aq-III: 9)

No. of additional water level monitoring stations required -17 (Aq-I: 2, Aq-II: 6 Aq-III: 9)

No. of additional water quality stations required-17 (Aq-I: 2, Aq-II: 6 Aq-III: 9)

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|---------------|---------------------------|-----|---|---------------|---------------|---------------------------|-----|---|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|-----|---|
| <u> </u> | Donth | <u>۸</u> | | | Aq. Gp. | Depth Rnge | Aq. para | EC | | \ <u>~</u> | Depth | <u>۸</u> | | 1 |
| Аq. Gp. | Rnge | Aq. para | EC | | | | meter s | | | чq. Gp. | Rnge | Aq. para | EC | |
| | | meter s | | | st | 60- 78 | T=29 | Nil | | | | meter s | | |
| st | Nil | Nil | Nil | | | | 66 m²/d | | | st | Nil | Nil | Nil | |
| nd | Nil | Nil | Nil | | | | ау | | I | Ind | NiL | Nil | Nil | |
| rd | Nil | Nil | Nil | | IInd | Nil | Nil | Nil | | rd | Nil | Nil | Nil | |
| | | | | 1 | rd | Nil | Nil | Nil | | | 1 | | | 1 |
| Aq. Gp. | Depth Rnge | Aq. para meter s | EC | | Aq. Gp. | Depth Rnge | Aq. para meter s | EC | | Аq. Эр. | Depth Rnge | Aq. para meter s | EC | |
| st | Nil | Nil | Nil | | st | Nil | Nil | Nil | I | st | Nil | Nil | Nil | |
| nd | Nil | Nil | Nil | | IInd | Nil | Nil | Nil | | Ind | Nil | Nil | Nil | |
| rd | Nil | Nil | Nil | | rd | Nil | Nil | Nil | I | rd | Nil | Nil | Nil | |
| Aq. Gp. | Depth Rnge | Aq. para meter s | EC | | Aq. Gp. | Depth Rnge | Aq. para meter s | EC | 4 | Аq. Эр. | Depth Rnge | Aq. para meter s | EC | |
| st | Nil | Nil | Nil | | lst | Nil | Nil | Nil | I | st | Nil | Nil | Nil | |
| nd | Nil | Nil | Nil | | IInd | Nil | Nil | Nil | | Ind | Nil | Nil | Nil | |
| rd | Nil | Nil | Nil | | III rd | Nil | Nil | Nil | | rd | Nil | Nil | Nil | |

Fig-2 Toposheet No. 79 A/11 Exploratory Data adequacy for <u>Three</u> Aquifer group system in Alluvial areas (quadrant wise)

Fig-2 Toposheet No. 79 A/11 Exploratory Data Gap Analysis for <u>Three</u> Aquifer group system in Alluvial areas (quadrant wise)

| | | | | Aq. Gp. | EW/OW | /SH/PZ | | |
|---------|-------|---------|-----|---------|-------|--------|-----|--|
| | | | | | Req | Exist | Gap | |
| | | | | st | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | | | [[nd | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | | | IIIrd | 1 | 0 | 1 | |
| Aq. Gp. | EW/OW | //SH/PZ | | | | | | |
| | Req | Exist | Gap | | | | | |
| lst | 1 | 0 | 1 | | | | | |
| IInd | 1 | 0 | 1 | | | | | |
| IIIrd | 1 | 0 | 1 | | | | | |
| | | | | Aq. Gp. | EW/OW | /SH/PZ | | |
| | | | | | Req | Exist | Gap | |
| | | | | st | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | | | IInd | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | | | IIIrd | 1 | 0 | 1 | |

Toposheet No.: 79A/15

| Quadrant No. | No. of require | additional ed | EW | No. of VES/T | addition EM requ | al uired | No. o level statio | f additior monitorir ns requir | al water Ig ed | No. of quality require | Remarks | | |
|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|--------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|---------|--------|--|
| | Aq-I | Aq-II | Aq-III | Aq-I | Aq-II | Aq-III | Aq-I | Aq-II | Aq-III | Aq-I | Aq-II | Aq-III | |
| 1A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| 2A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Total | 0 0 0 | | | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | |

Note:

No. of Additional EW required-0

No. of additional VES/TEM required- 8 (Aq-I:3, Aq-II: 3, Aq-III: 2)

No. of additional water level monitoring stations required -1(Aq-I:0, Aq-II: 0, Aq-III: 1)

No. of additional water quality stations required-1(Aq-I:0, Aq-II: 0, Aq-III: 1)

EXPLORATORY DATA COMPILATION IN PARTS OF NADIA (9 Blocks)

| Topo No. | Quadra nt no. | Block | Name of site | Agency | Depth of drilling | Aquif | ers tappe | d (mbgl) | | | Aq | uifer | baram | eter | | | | Aq | uifer w quality | ater | Any other information |
|-------------|------------------|-------------|---|--------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|-------------|---------------------------|-------|--------|------|---|---------|---|-----------|--------------------|-------------|---|
| | | | | | | Aq- I | Aq- II | Aq- III | | Aq- I | | | Aq- II | | | Aq- III | i | Aq - I | Aq- II | Aq- III | |
| | | | | | | | | | к | T (m²/d) | S | к | Т | S | К | Т | S | | | | |
| 79A/6 | 1A | Kaliganj | Debagram '58-'59 | CGWB | 269.86 | 33.75- 76.41 | | 254.50- 268.50 | | 3492.0 | 0.74x 10 ⁻³ | | | | | | | | | | Shallow well- 48.25 lps Deeper well- 3.48 lps, DD- 3.38 m |
| 79A/6 | 1B | | Dingel | CGWB | 267.50 | | | 254.50- 267.50 | | | | | | | | | | | | Pot able | Discharge-10 lps |
| 79A/6 | 2B | Nakashipara | Jugpur | CGWB | 152.40 | 20.40- 106.70 | | | | 1393.0 9 | | | | | | | | | | | Discharge- 53.46 lps |
| 79A/2 | 1C | | Juranpur 2001-02 | CGWB | 341.51 | | 183- 195 | | | | | | | | | | | | | Pot able | Discharge- 3.21 lps |
| 79A/11 | 3A | Hanskhali | Badkulla (Suravistha n) 23º08'00" 88º31'39" 2002-03 | CGWB | 350.81 | 93-99, 118- 127, 150- 159 | | 300-312, 318- 324,330- 333 | | 3041 | | | | | | | | | | Pot able | Shallow well- 19.17 lps, , SWL-4.166 mbgl, DD- 2.47 m. Deeper well- 4.13 lps, SWL-2.72 mbgl, DD- 11.31 m Cement Sealing-261- 264 mbgl |
| 79A/11 | 1B | Tehatta-II | Kulgachi 23 ⁹ 25'50" 88 ⁹ 35'42" 2002-03 | CGWB | 230.91 | 60-78 | | | | 2966.5 6 | | | | | | | | | | | Discharge- 13.33 lps DD-2.93 m SWL-1.75 mbgl RL to GL- 13.139 mamsl. |
| 79A/11 | 2C | Krishnaganj | Bhajanghat 23°22'51" 88°44'35" 2010/11- 2011/12 | CGWB | 202.50 | | | 196-202, 216-222, 228-238, 244-250 | | | | | | | | | | | | | Discharge-25 lps |
| 79A/9 | 1C | Karimpur-I | Madhyagop | CGWB | 319.35 | | 113- | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Discharge- |

| | | | alpur 23 ⁰ 58'15" 88 ⁰ 41'30" 2010/11- 2011/12 | | | 119, 140- 146, 209- 215 | | | | | | | 5.23 lps (Comp10 lps) |
|--------|----|-------------|--|------|--------|--|---------------------|--|----------------|-----------------|--|--|-----------------------------|
| 79A/10 | 1A | Tehatta-I | Tehatta High School | CGWB | 325.15 | | 204-216, 219-225 | | | 20 44. 11 | | | Discharge- 9.56 lps |
| 79A/5 | 3C | Tehatta-II | Palashipara 2010/11- 2011/12 | CGWB | 325.05 | 95- 107, 128- 134, 171- 177 | | | 39 5.5 4 | | | | Discharge-12 lps |
| 79A/15 | 2A | Krishnaganj | Putikhali, 23 ⁰ 24'32.5" 88 ⁰ 45'9.9" 2010/11- 2011/12 | CGWB | 325 | 146- 152, 196- 208,24 8-260, | | | | | | | Discharge- 34.25 lps |

