

केंद्रीय भूमि जल बोर्ड

जल संसाधन, नदी विकास और गंगा संरक्षण

विभाग, जल शक्ति मंत्रालय

भारत सरकार Central Ground Water Board

Department of Water Resources, River Development and Ganga Rejuvenation, Ministry of Jal Shakti Government of India

AQUIFER MAPPING AND MANAGEMENT OF GROUND WATER RESOURCES DHAR DISTRICT, MADHYA PRADESH

उत्तर मध्य क्षेत्र, भोपाल North Central Region, Bhopal





AQUIFER MAPPING AND GROUND WATER MANAGEMENT PLAN OF DHAR DISTRICT, MADHYA PRADESH



By

Naresh Kumar Jatav Scientist-B

Central Ground Water Board North Central Region Bhopal

| | CONTENTS | Page |
|--------------|--|--------|
| Chapter:01 I | ntroduction | No. |
| 1.1 | Objective and Scope | 01 |
| 1.2 | Study Area | 02-03 |
| 1.3 | Climate and Rainfall | 03-04 |
| 1.4 | Topography and Physiography | 04-06 |
| 1.5 | Landuse and Irrigation details | 06-15 |
| 1.6 | Geology | 15-17 |
| 1.7 | Soil Cover | 17-18 |
| 1.8 | Drainage | 18-19 |
| Chapter:02 I | Data collection and Generation | |
| 2.1 | Groundwater Exploration and Geophysical data | 20 |
| 2.1 | Groundwater monitoring wells | 21 |
| 2.3 | Groundwater quality | 22 |
| 2.4 | Data adequacy and Data Gap Analysis | 23 |
| Chapter:03 I | Data Interpretation, Integration and Aquifer mapping | 23-48 |
| 3.1 | Hydrogeology | 23-24 |
| 3.2 | Water level scenario | 25-33 |
| 3.3 | Groundwater Quality | 34-37 |
| 3.4 | Aquifer Disposition | 38-43 |
| 3.5 | Aquifer characteristics | 44-48 |
| Chapter: 04 | Ground Water Resources | 49-53 |
| 4.1 | Groundwater resources- Aquifer-I | 49-53 |
| 4.2 | Groundwater resources- Aquifer-II | 53 |
| Chapter: 05 | Ground Water Related Issues | |
| 5.1 | Declining water level | 54 |
| 5.2 | Low Groundwater potential/Sustainability | 54 |
| 5.3 | Deeper Water Levels in Aquifer-II | 54 |
| 5.4 | Inferior Ground Water Quality | 55 |
| 5.5 | Over Exploitation of Ground Water Resources | 55 |
| 5.6 | Improper and faulty well design | 56 |
| Chapter:06 I | Proposed Ground Water Management Strategy | |
| 6.1 | Supply side management strategy | 56-60 |
| 6.2 | Demand side management | 60 |
| 6.3 | Management plan for quality and faulty well design | 61-64 |
| 6.4 | Post-Intervention | 64 |
| 6.5 | Block wise Management Plan | 65-102 |
| Chapter:07 | Conclusion and Recommendations | 103 |

| | List of Tables: | Pages |
|------|--|-------|
| 1.1 | Salient Feature of Dhar District | 2 |
| 1.2 | Annual Rainfall Data | 4 |
| 1.3 | Land Use (in sq.km) | 6 |
| 1.4 | Area-wise, Crop-wise irrigation Status | 8 |
| 1.5 | Details of area under Irrigation(ha) | 9 |
| 1.6 | Season wise main crops and production | 10 |
| 1.7 | Block wise irrigated area details | 11 |
| 1.8 | Crop wise water requirement | 12 |
| 1.9 | Irrigation by different sources. | 15 |
| 1.10 | Regional Geology of Dhar District | 15 |
| 2.1 | Numbers of Monitoring stations in Dhar District | 21 |
| 3.1 | WRD Ground Water Level Data | 26 |
| 3.2 | Water Level Data of CGWB NHS dug wells | 30 |
| 3.3 | Water level data of CGWB Pz | 30 |
| 3.4 | Analysis of Water Level Fluctuation in shallow aquifer | 32 |
| 3.5 | Block wise average Pre & Post-monsoon Water Level of Dhar District | 33 |
| 3.6 | Aquifer wise ranges of chemical constituents | 37 |
| 3.7 | Aquifer characteristics | 44 |
| 3.8 | Details of Ground water exploration in Dhar District | 45 |
| 3.9 | Details of EW, VES and NHS | 48 |
| 4.1 | Ground water Recharge worthy areas for resources estimation | 49 |
| 4.2 | The season wise assessment of recharge from various components | 50 |
| 4.3 | Dynamic Groundwater resources | 51 |
| 4.4 | In-storage of shallow Aquifer-I. | 53 |
| 4.5 | Ground Water Resources of Aquifer-II. | 53 |
| 6.1 | Ground Water Management–Supply Side. | 57 |
| 6.2 | Ground Water Management– Demand Side. | 57 |
| 6.3 | Supply side Management-AR structures | 58 |
| 6.4 | Financial Layout of Supply side Management-AR structures | 59 |
| 6.5 | Proposed demand Side Interventions | 60 |
| 6.6 | Proposed demand Side Interventions | 62 |
| 6.7 | Financial outlay of Management Plan | 63 |

| | List of Figures: | Pages |
|----------|--|-------|
| Fig 1.1 | Index map of Dhar District | 02 |
| Fig 1.2 | Physiography | 07 |
| Fig 1.3 | Land use map | 08 |
| Fig 1.4 | Hydrogeological map of Dhar District | 16 |
| Fig 1.5 | Soil Map of Dhar District | 18 |
| Fig 1.6 | Drainage and Basin map of Dhar District | 19 |
| Fig 2.1 | Location map of GW exploration Dhar District | 20 |
| Fig 2.2 | Location map of monitoring wells | 21 |
| Fig 2.3 | Data Generation Gap Map | 22 |
| Fig 3.1 | Hydrogeological map of Dhar District | 24 |
| Fig 3.2 | Pre-Monsoon DTWL Map | 25 |
| Fig 3.3 | Post-Monsoon DTWL Map | 26 |
| Fig 3.4 | WRD Pre &Post-Monsson Water Level trend | 29 |
| Fig 3.5 | Comparison of Pre & Post-monsoon Water Level of CGWB NHS wells | 30 |
| Fig 3.6 | Comparison of Pre & Post-monsoon Water Level of CGWB Pz | 31 |
| Fig 3.7 | Water Level Fluctuation | 32 |
| Fig 3.8 | Fluoride Concentration in Deeper Aquifer | 35 |
| Fig 3.9 | Nitrate Concentration in Deeper Aquifer | 35 |
| Fig 3.10 | EC Contour map | 35 |
| Fig 3.11 | Sodium hazard | 36 |
| Fig 3.12 | Piper Diagram | 36 |
| Fig 3.13 | Fluoride concentration in deeper aquifer | 37 |
| Fig 3.14 | 3-D disposition of Aquifers | 38 |
| Fig 3.15 | Fence Diagram showing aquifer disposition in the area | 39 |
| Fig 3.16 | Aquifer Disposition in Badnawar Block | 39 |
| Fig 3.17 | Aquifer Disposition in Nalchha Block | 40 |
| Fig 3.18 | Aquifer Disposition in Dhar Block | 40 |
| Fig 3.19 | Aquifer Disposition in Tirla Block | 41 |
| Fig 3.20 | Phreatic/weathered aquifer Disposition in Dhar Block | 43 |
| Fig 3.21 | Phreatic/weathered aquifer Disposition in Dhar Block | 42 |
| Fig 3.22 | Shallow Aquifer Disposition | 43 |
| Fig 4.1 | Recharge from various sources. | 52 |
| Fig 4.2 | GW availability and Draft. | 55 |
| Fig.4.3 | GW Extraction against annual extractable GW | 52 |
| Fig.4.4 | GW Extraction in Domestic and Irrigation sector | 52 |
| Fig 5.1 | Hydrograph (2010-2020), Dhar District. | 54 |
| Fig 5.2 | Stage of GW Extraction | 55 |
| Fig 6.1 | Proposed well assembly for prevention of fluoride | 61 |
| Fig 6.2 | Part well assembly for collapsible formation | 62 |
| Fig 6.3 | Hydrogeological map and aquifer disposition of aquifer in Badnawar Block | 66 |
| Fig 6.4 | Hydrogeological map and aquifer disposition of aquifer in Dhar Block | 69 |
| Fig 6.5 | Hydrogeological map and aquifer disposition of aquifer in Nalchha Block | 72 |
| Fig 6.6 | Hydrogeological map and aquifer disposition of aquifer in Tirla Block | 75 |

PREFACE

"Aquifer mapping" is a holistic approach for aquifer-based groundwater management. It may not be construed as aquifer geometry mapping only. In a broader perspective it can be defined as understanding the aquifers, ascertaining and establishing their quantity and quality sustainability through multi-disciplinary scientific approach integrating the techniques of geology, remote sensing, hydrogeology, geophysics, borehole drilling, hydrochemistry, hydrology, hydrometeorology, mathematical modelling, agriculture and soil science, water treatment and remediation, economics and social and environmental sciences. Under the project on National Aquifer Mapping (NAQUIM to formulate sustainable aquier management plan, Central Ground Water Board (CGWB), North Central Region, Bhopal has taken up Dhar district to prepare the 3-Dimensional Model and 2-Dimensional Aquifer Maps for the entire district and formulate Block-wise Aquifer Management Plan.

The geographical area of the district is 8153.00 Sq. Km. and recharge worthy area is 8126 sq.km It is divided into, thirteen administrative blocks viz Dhar, Tirla, Badnawar, Nalchha, Bagh, Nisarpur, Umarwan, Gandhwani, Sardarpur, Manawar, Dahi, Dharampuri and Kukshi, Dhar district is underlain by various geological formations, forming different types of aquifers in the area. Main geological; units of the area are Deccan trap, Bagh Formation, western part of the district is covered by Archean and southern part covered by Alluvuim.

The pre-monsoon depth to water levels during May 2020 ranged between 2.90 (Palasi) to 17.80 mbgl (Pithampur). The water level ranges between 2 -5 mbgl are observed in major part of the district, 5-10 mbgl observed in Eastern part and the more than 10 mbgl are observed in some patches of northern eastern parts of the district. The post-monsoon depth to water levels during November 2020 ranged between 2.05 (Badnawar) to 13.78 mbgl (Dhrampuri)

Supply side and demand side Management plan prepared under NAQUIM. Artificial recharge structure has been proposed based on the available sub-surface storage of all the Block of Dhar District, a total number of 693 Percolation Tanks, 5282 Recharge Shafts/Checkdam and 5944 Nala Bunds and 1807 Village pond Cement Plugs have been proposed.

Results of these comprehensive studies will contribute significantly to ground water sustainable management tools. It will not only enhance the long-term aquifer monitoring networks and but would also help in building the conceptual and quantitative regional groundwater-flow models for planners, policy makers and other stakeholders. I would like to place on record my appreciation for **Naresh Kumar Jatav**, **Scientist-B** to compile this report. I fondly hope that this report will serve as a valuable guide for sustainable development of ground water in the Dhar District, Madhya Pradesh

Rana Chatterjee (Regional Director)

Chapter: 1 Introduction

National project on Aquifer Mapping (NAQUIM) had been taken up by CGWB to carry out detailed hydrogeological investigation on toposheet scale of 1:50,000. Aquifer mapping is a process wherein a combination of geologic, geophysical, hydrologic and chemical analyses is applied to characterize the quantity, quality and sustainability of ground water in aquifers.

The vagaries of rainfall, inherent heterogeneity & unsustainable nature of hard rock aquifers, over exploitation of once copious alluvial aquifers, lack of regulation mechanism has a detrimental effect on ground water scenario of the Country in last decade or so. Thus, prompting the paradigm shift from "traditional groundwater development concept" to "modern groundwater management concept".

Varied and diverse hydrogeological settings demand precise and comprehensive mapping of aquifers down to the optimum possible depth at appropriate scale to arrive at the robust and implementable ground water management plans. The proposed management plans will provide the **"Road Map"** for ensuring sustainable management and equitable distribution of ground water resources, thereby primarily improving drinking water security and irrigation coverage. Thus, the crux of NAQUIM is not merely mapping, but reaching the goal-that of ground water management through community participation. The aquifer maps and management plans will be shared with the Administration Dhar District for its effective implementation.

1.1 Objective and Scope

Aquifer mapping itself is an improved form of groundwater management – recharge, conservation, harvesting and protocols of managing groundwater. These protocols will be the real derivatives of the aquifer mapping exercise and will find a place in the output i.e, the aquifer map and management plan. The activities under NAQUIM are aimed at:

- **4** Identifying the aquifer geometry,
- 4 Aquifer characteristics and their yield potential
- 4 Quality of water occurring at various depths,
- 4 Aquifer wise assessment of ground water resources
- **4** Preparation of aquifer maps and
- Formulate ground water management plan.

This clear demarcation of aquifers and their potential will help the agencies involved in water supply in ascertaining, how much volume of water is under their control. The robust and implementable ground water management plan will provide a **"Road Map"** to systematically manage the ground water resources for equitable distribution across the spectrum.

The following 5 thematic layers were also generated on GIS platform which supported the primary database and provided precise information to assess the present ground water scenario and also to propose the future management plan.

- Drainage and Basin
- Soil
- Land Use Land Cover
- Geology and Structure
- Physiography

1.2 Study area

Dhar District is situated in the south – western part of Madhya Pradesh with covering a geographical area of 8153.00 sq.km, recharge worthy area is 8126 (Table: 1.1) which is 1.84% of total area of the State. It lies between the parallels of North latitude $22^{0}01'14"$ and $23^{0}08'49"$ and East longitude $74^{0}28'15"$ and $75^{0}42'43"$ E. The district is bounded by Ratlam in the north, Ujjain

in the north-east, West Nimar (Khargone) in the south and Jhabua in the west. The name, Dhar is derived from Dhara Nagri, the city of sword blades. The district falls in the Survey of India toposheets nos.46J, 46M & 46N. (Fig. 1.1). The district is divided into thirteen Development Blocks. In 2011, Dhar had population of 2,185,793 of which male and female were 1,112,725 and 1,073,068 respectively. In 2001 census, Dhar had a population of 1,740,329 of which males were 890,416 and remaining 849,913 were females. Dhar District population constituted 3.01 percent of total Maharashtra population. In 2001 census, this figure for Dhar District was at 2.88 percent of Maharashtra population. The initial provisional data released by census India 2011, shows that density of Dhar district for 2011 is 268 people per sq. km. In 2001, Dhar district density was at 213 people per sq. km. Dhar district administers 8,153 square kilometres of areas. Out of the total Dhar population for 2011 census, 18.90 percent lives in urban regions of district. In total 413,221 people lives in urban areas of which males are 217,612 and females are 195,609. Sex Ratio in urban region of Dhar district is 899 as per 2011 census data. Similarly, child sex ratio in Dhar district was 900 in 2011 census. Child population (0-6) in urban region was 59,907 of which males and females were 31,536 and 28,371. This child population figure of Dhar district is 14.49 % of total urban population. Average literacy rate in Dhar district as per census 2011 is 79.49 % of which males and females are 86.33 % and 71.88 % literates respectively. In actual number 280,865 people are literate in urban region of which males and females are 160,648 and 120,217 respectively.

As per 2011 census, 81.10 % population of Dhar districts lives in rural areas of villages. The total Dhar district population living in rural areas is 1,772,572 of which males and females are 895,113 and 877,459 respectively. In rural areas of Dhar district, sex ratio is 980 females per 1000 males. If child sex ratio data of Dhar district is considered, figure is 934 girls per 1000 boys. Child population in the age 0-6 is 300,042 in rural areas of which males were 155,148 and females were 144,894. The child population comprises 17.33 % of total rural population of Dhar district. Literacy rate in rural areas of Dhar district is 54.09 % as per census data 2011. Gender wise, male and female literacy stood at 64.57 and 43.50 percent respectively. In total, 796,473

people were literate of which males and females were 477,831 and 318,642 respectively.

| S. No | Block | Recharge Worthy Area (sq.km) | Total Area (sq.km) |
|-------|--------------|------------------------------|--------------------|
| 1 | BADNAWAR | 1037 | 1064 |
| 2 | BAGH | 512 | 512 |
| 3 | DAHI | 482 | 482 |
| 4 | DHAR | 602 | 602 |
| 5 | DHARAMPURI | 429 | 429 |
| 6 | GANDHWANI | 736 | 736 |
| 7 | KUKSHI | 343 | 343 |
| 8 | MANAWAR | 555 | 555 |
| 9 | NALCHA | 784 | 784 |
| 10 | NISARPUR | 353 | 353 |
| 11 | SARDARPUR | 1280 | 1280 |
| 12 | TIRLA | 534 | 534 |
| 13 | UMRABAN | 479 | 479 |
| DI | HAR DISTRICT | 8126 | 8153 |

Table:1.1 Salient Feature of Dhar District



Fig:1.1 Index map of Dhar District

1.3 Climate and Rainfall

The climate of Dhar is characterized by a hot summer and general dryness except rainfall during the south- west monsoon season. The year can be divided into four seasons. The cold season, December to February is followed by summer season from March to about first week of June. The period from the middle June to September is the south-west monsoon season. October and middle of November constitute the post monsoon or retreating monsoon season. May is the hottest month of the year with average temperature of 39.3°C. The minimum during the December is 10.3°C. The normal annual mean maximum and minimum temperature is 30.7°C and 17.9°C respectively.

The normal annual rainfall of Dhar district is 908.41 mm. About 86.6% of annual rainfall is received during monsoon season. Only 13.4% of annual rainfall takes place between October to May. Detailed of year wise rainfall are given table:1.2.

| Year | Rainfall in mm |
|------|---|
| 2011 | 749.54 |
| 2012 | 1178.63 |
| 2013 | 1199.05 |
| 2014 | 730.84 |
| 2015 | 856.75 |
| 2016 | 881.05 |
| 2017 | 730.52 |
| 2018 | 659.57 |
| 2019 | 1241.86 |
| 2020 | 908.41 |
| | Source: Indian Meteorological Department) |

Table: 1.2 Annual Rainfall Data – 2011-2020



1.4 Topography and Physiography

The district extends over three physiographic divisions. They are the Malwa in the north, the Vindhyachal range in central zone and the Narmada valley along the southern boundary. However, the valley is again closed up by the hills in the south-western part (Fig. 1.2).

• Malwa Plateau:

The northern half of the district lies on the Malwa plateau. It covers the northern parts of Dhar, Sardarpur and Badnawar tahsils. The average elevation of the plateau is 500 metres above the mean sea level. The land is undulation with a few scattered flat topped hills roughly aligned between the valleys from south to north. The general slope is towards the north. The valleys are covered with black cotton soil of varying thickness, mostly adapted for cultivation. The mounds may bear gravels or the underlaying sandstone rocks may have been exposed. The plateau covers an area of about 466,196 hectares in the district.

• Vindhyachal Range:

A part of the range extends in the district in a crescentic belt generally from south-east to north-west. The range is represented by a strip of hilly area 5 to 20 kilometres in width. It is about 5 km wide near village Dhani near the south-eastern boundary. Near Mograbav in the centre, it is about 10 km further widening to 20 km west of Tanda. To the west of Bagh and Kukshi the range stands disconnected by the valleys of the Mahi and Hatni.

It restarts along the Narmada in the south-west. The northern spur (peak 543.76 metres) froms the boundary between the Sardarpur tahsil and Jhabua district. It extends from the peak of Gomanpur a (556.26 metres) to Bajrangarh in Jhabua. Another spur extends to wards Jhabua in the north-west. The great Vindhyachal range extends generally from west to east and scarps at most of its length towards the south. In Dhar also the south-ward escarps are well marked, the wall rising from 400 to 600 metres. However, in the western part their faces have been eroded back into long and deep rugged valleys of the tributary hills of the Narmada. In fact, the strong currents of the small streams on the steep southern side have cut back at their heads. The numerous streams of the Narmada valley find their sources on the Malwa plateau. The main line of the highest peaks has been left to the south of their present courses.

In the eastern and central parts of the Vindhyachal in Dhar the main hill range is continuous but in the west it is dissected by deep channels of the rivulets. The range slopes towards the north and gradually meets the Malwa plateau. Numerous spurs also extend over the Malwa plateau in the north. But in the western half in the district one may also find a series of denuded ridges alternating with the parallel stream-channels and running for some kilometres from local confusion, unless one tries to trace the line of the main peaks.

The hightest peak of the district, Mograba (751.03 metres) lies in the central part. Nilkanth (702.26 metres) lies further east and the Shikarpura hill rises up to 698.91 metres. The famous historical fort of Mandugarh towers the flat-topped hill about 600 metres, from the mean sea level.

• Narmada Valley:

Below the Vindhyachal scarps lies the narrow valley of the Narmada. It occupies the southern part of the district in Manawar tahsil and the south-eastern part of Kukshi tahsil. The width of the valley is 15 to 30 kilometres. The elevation varies from 275 metres in the northern part of Manawar tahsil to 150 metres in the low plain of Nisarpur in the south-west. To the east between Khalghat and Bakaner the valley is undulation wider, more open and fertile with alluvial cover. Proceeding westwards the valley is studded with hills alternatively cut up by numerous streams which join the Narmada along the southern boundary of the district. The result is that there are few stretches and pockets of alluvium along the streams.



Fig.1.2 Physiography

1.5 Land Uses and Irrigation and Cropping patterns pattern

Forest and agriculture are the prominent land use aspects in Dhar district and forms 36.9% and 57.6% of total area respectively followed by the industrial and built-up structures. The spatial distribution of land use is presented in **Fig. 1.3**.

Forest area is restricted in southern to SW Narmada basin, where the medium to dense forest includes teak, Anjan, Sal, Tendu and Mahno trees. In the undulating hilly tract to Mohi River basin occupies this forest with scanty vegetation and scattered shrubs and bushes, whereas in the rest of Mohi basin and almost lion's share of Chambal River basin, agriculture is in practice.

The details of the land use statistics for year 2000-2001 is given in Table-1.3 and the cropping pattern is given in Table -1.4.

| Built up Area | Agricultural Land | Forest | Barren/ waste Land | Water bodies/ Wetlands | Total area |
|------------------|----------------------|--------|--------------------|---------------------------|------------|
| 186.48 | 6162.75 | 926.07 | 655.51 | 222.19 | 8153 |

| Table 1.3: La | and Use | (in sq.km) |
|---------------|---------|------------|
|---------------|---------|------------|





Fig.1.3: Map and Pie diagram of Land Use

Area wise, crop wise irrigation-

The district has good potential for irrigation through different sources. Though most of the area under irrigation is dependent on rainfall. It there is deficit in rainfall, the area under irrigation drastically reduced the major sources of irrigation in the district are tube wells, surface irrigation and seasonal rivers.

| Crop type | Crop Kharif (Ha) type | | Rabi (Ha) | | | Summer | Summer Crop (Ha) | | | Total (Ha) | | | Horticulture& plantation(Ha) | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|--------|------------------|---------|-------|------------|---------|--------|------------------------------|-----------|---------|-------|
| | Irrigated | Rainfed | Total | Irrigated | Rainfed | Total | Irrigated | Rainfed | Total | Irrigated | Rainfed | Total | Сгор | irrigated | Rainfed | Total |
| Cereals | 0 | 540 | 540 | 183700 | 11358 | 195058 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 183700 | 11898 | 195598 | Fruit | 3025 | 0 | 3025 |
| Coarse Cereals | 0 | 65197 | 65197 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 835 | 0 | 835 | 835 | 65197 | 66032 | Vege tables | 6236 | 5935 | 12171 |
| pulses | 15 | 14561 | 14576 | 66929 | 14351 | 81280 | 310 | 0 | 310 | 67254 | 28912 | 96166 | Spices | 36157 | 0 | 36157 |
| Oil seeds | 0 | 298272 | 298272 | 137 | 0 | 137 | 50 | 0 | 50 | 187 | 298272 | 298459 | Medi cinal Plant | 205 | 0 | 205 |
| Fibre | 64126 | 27199 | 91325 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64126 | 27199 | 91325 | flower | 981 | 0 | 981 |
| Any other crops | 0 | 30804 | 33804 | 23767 | 5970 | 29737 | 760 | 0 | 760 | 24527 | 36774 | 61301 | any other crops | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 64141 | 436573 | 503714 | 274533 | 31679 | 306212 | 1955 | 0 | 1955 | 340629 | 468252 | 808881 | 0 | 46604 | 5935 | 52539 |

Table:1.4 Area-wise, Crop-wise irrigation Status

Wheat, Soyabean, Gram, Maize are the main crop of the area while pulses, spices, vegetables, groundnut, Jawar, Paddy etc. are the subsidiary crops grown in the area. out of 2897 Sq. Km geographical area, about 1.4% is covered by forest. The percentage of net sown area is about 16.40%. The details of area under irrigation and crops are given in Table 1.5 & 1.6.

| S. No. | Name of Block | No of village | Total geogra | A | rea under A | Agricultu | Area under | Area under | Area under | |
|-----------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | | covere d | phical area | Gross croppe d area | Net sown area | Area sown more than once | Croppi ng intensit y (%) | forest | waters hed | other uses |
| 1 | Dhar | 108 | 57905 | 90407 | 48206 | 42194 | 187.54 | 0 | 202 | 9497 |
| 2 | Tirla | 157 | 53422 | 47082 | 26038 | 21026 | 180.82 | 19000 | 215 | 8169 |
| 3 | Nalcha | 213 | 79506 | 79395 | 42847 | 36528 | 185.3 | 19748 | 193 | 16718 |
| 4 | Sardarpur | 217 | 127985 | 130435 | 77618 | 52734 | 168.05 | 10263 | 413 | 39691 |
| 5 | Badnawar | 179 | 106457 | 134914 | 79055 | 55803 | 170.66 | 5136 | 336 | 21930 |
| 6 | Manawar | 105 | 57060 | 61053 | 40237 | 20391 | 151.73 | 301 | 540 | 15982 |
| 7 | Umarban | 109 | 47884 | 46617 | 31260 | 15041 | 149.13 | 2859 | 415 | 13350 |
| 8 | Gandhawan i | 150 | 73545 | 49154 | 35452 | 13542 | 138.65 | 21977 | 128 | 15988 |
| 9 | Dharampuri | 116 | 44110 | 43275 | 25388 | 17505 | 170.45 | 5834 | 525 | 12363 |
| 10 | Bagh | 91 | 52578 | 30282 | 24598 | 5675 | 123.11 | 14485 | 378 | 13117 |
| 11 | Kuskhi | 51 | 35583 | 31805 | 24880 | 6911 | 127.83 | 1349 | 89 | 9265 |
| 12 | Dahi | 63 | 48202 | 26500 | 20900 | 5584 | 126.79 | 16220 | 75 | 11007 |
| 13 | Nasaarpur | 66 | 35304 | 37962 | 24235 | 13278 | 156.64 | 71 | 215 | 10783 |
| | TOTAL | 1625 | 819541 | 808881 | 500714 | 306212 | 161.55 | 117243 | 3724 | 197860 |

Table 1.5 Details of area under Irrigation (ha)

(Source: - Department of Agriculture & SLR)

Table: 1.6 Season wise main crops and production

| | Crop Sown | | | | | Rainfed | | | Irrigated | | | Total | | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------|--------------------|--------|-----------|-------------|--------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Season | Cereals | Coarse serea is | Pulses | Oil seeds | Fibre crops | Any other crops | Area (ha) | Production on (qtn/yr) | Productivity or yeild(kgs/hs) | Cost of cultivation on (rs./ha) | Production on (qtn/yr) | Productivity (kgs/hs) | Cost of cultivation on (rs./ha) | Production on (qtn/yr) | productivity (kgs/hs) | Cost of cultivation |
| kharif | 536 | 65197 | 14576 | 298287 | 91310 | 30804 | 469906 | 5705056 | 1406 | 12000 | 994186 | 1550 | 18000 | 6699242 | 1426 | 15000 |
| rabi | 19506 2 | 0 | 81280 | 148 | 0 | 29726 | 285241 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7241406 | 2539 | 15000 | 7241406 | 2539 | 15000 |
| summer | 0 | 836 | 310 | 50 | 0 | 760 | 1195 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32850 | 2749 | 10000 | 32850 | 2749 | 15000 |
| Total | 19559 8 | 66033 | 96166 | 298485 | 91310 | 61290 | 756342 | 5705056 | 1406 | 12000 | 8268442 | 2359 | 0 | 13973498 | 1848 | 0 |
| | Crop So | own | | | | | Rainfed | | | Irrigated | | | Total | | | |
| Season | Fruits | vegetable | spices | medicinal | flower | any other crops | Area (ha) | Production on (qtn/yr) | productivity or yeild(kgs/hs) | cost of cultivation on (rs./ha) | Production on (qtn/yr) | productivity (kgs/hs) | cost of cultivation on (rs./ha) | Production on (qtn/yr) | productivity (kgs/hs) | Cost of cultivation |
| Horticulture & plantation | 3025 | 12171 | 36157 | 205 | 981 | 0 | 52539 | 356100 | 6000 | 35000 | 6089745 | 13067 | 75000 | 6445845 | 12409 | 110000 |

(Source: PMKSY DIP)

Irrigation-based classification

Gross irrigated area in the district is 340629 ha. Net irrigated area is 274533 ha. Partially irrigated or protected irrigation area is 13439 ha and unirrigated totally rainfed area is 468252 ha. Block wise irrigated area is given in table 1.7.

| a | | Irrigated | area (Ha) | Rainfed (Ha) | | | |
|----------|-------------------|-------------------------|---|--------------|------------------------------------|--|--|
| S. no | Name of the block | Gross irrigated area | Fross Net irrigated rrigated area area | | Un-irrigated or totally rainfed | | |
| 1 | DHAR | 38752 | 38745 | 2346 | 51655 | | |
| 2 | TIRLA | 19353 | 19099 | 662 | 27729 | | |
| 3 | NALCHA | 32842 | 32770 | 1645 | 46553 | | |
| 4 | SARDARPUR | 48292 | 47600 | 3252 | 82143 | | |
| 5 | BADNAWAR | 50215 | 48526 | 2412 | 84699 | | |
| 6 | MANAWAR | 34099 | 18310 | 508 | 26954 | | |
| 7 | UMARBAN | 25336 | 15985 | 138 | 17939 | | |
| 8 | GANDHAWANI | 24409 | 13623 | 712 | 22208 | | |
| 9 | DHARAMPURI | 18886 | 12022 | 236 | 30268 | | |
| 10 | BAGH | 7796 | 4633 | 634 | 22486 | | |
| 11 | KUSKHI | 8217 | 4569 | 97 | 18383 | | |
| 12 | DAHI | 11489 | 6069 | 389 | 20316 | | |
| 13 | NASAARPUR | 20943 | 12582 | 408 | 17019 | | |
| | TOTAL | 340629 | 274533 | 13439 | 468252 | | |

Table 1.7 Block wise irrigated area details

Table 1.8 Crop Wise Water Requirement

| Crop Water Requirement: - Block Dhar | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Crops | Area sown(ha) | Irrigated area (ha) | Crop water demand (mm) | Water potential required (BCM) | Existing Water potential (BCM) | Water potential to be created | | | | |
| Cereals | 24763 | 23896 | 600 | 0.14858 | 0.11948 | 0.0291 | | | | |
| Coarse Cereals (Maize) | 179 | 0 | 650 | 0.00116 | 0 | 0.00116 | | | | |
| Pulses | 16059 | 13705 | 350 | 0.05621 | 0.04797 | 0.00824 | | | | |
| Oil Seeds | 46618 | 6 | 535 | 0.26805 | 3.5E-05 | 0.26802 | | | | |
| Fibre | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| Any Other crops | 2788 | 1145 | 850 | 0.39625 | 0.00973 | 0.38652 | | | | |
| Total | 90407 | 38752 | 3025 | 0.87025 | 0.17721 | 0.69304 | | | | |

| | Crop Water Requirement: - Block Nalchha | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|---|------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Crops | Area sown(ha) | Irrigated area (ha) | Crop water demand (mm) | Water potential required (BCM) | Existing Water potential (BCM) | Water potential to be created | | |
| Cereals | 24051 | 23007 | 600 | 0.14431 | 0.11504 | 0.02927 | | |
| Coarse Cereals (Maize) | 2488 | 0 | 650 | 0.01617 | 0 | 0.01617 | | |
| Pulses | 8369 | 6230 | 350 | 0.02929 | 0.02181 | 0.00749 | | |
| Oil Seeds | 39518 | 0 | 575 | 0.22723 | 0 | 0.22723 | | |
| Fibre | 120 | 52 | 1000 | 0.0012 | 0.00052 | 0.00068 | | |
| Any Other crops | 4849 | 3553 | 850 | 0.04122 | 0.0302 | 0.01102 | | |
| Total | 79395 | 32842 | 4025 | 0.45941 | 0.16756 | 0.29185 | | |

| | | Crop Water | Requirement | : - Block Tirla | | | | |
|---------------------------|--|--------------|---------------|-----------------|---------|---------|--|--|
| Cereals | 12443 | 11796 | 600 | 0.07466 | 0.05898 | 0.01568 | | |
| Coarse Cereals | 1237 | 0 | 650 | 0.00804 | 0 | 0.00804 | | |
| Pulses | 6780 | 5830 | 350 | 0.02373 | 0.02041 | 0.00333 | | |
| Oil Seeds | 23462 | 0 | 575 | 0.13491 | 0 | 0.13491 | | |
| Fibre | 401 | 236 | 1000 | 0.00401 | 0.00236 | 0.00165 | | |
| Any Other crops | 2759 | 1491 | 0 | 0.02345 | 0.01267 | 0.01078 | | |
| Total | 47082 | 19353 | 3175 | 0.2688 | 0.09442 | 0.17438 | | |
| | Crop Water Requirement: - Block Badnawar | | | | | | | |
| Cereals | 26218 | 24821 | 600 | 0.15731 | 0.12411 | 0.0332 | | |
| Coarse Cereals Maize) | 1638 | 34 | 650 | 0.01065 | 0.00022 | 0.01043 | | |
| Pulses | 27547 | 21966 | 350 | 0.09641 | 0.07688 | 0.01953 | | |
| Oil Seeds | 70338 | 0 | 575 | 0.40444 | 0 | 0.40444 | | |
| Fibre | 1843 | 1633 | 1000 | 0.01843 | 0.01633 | 0.0021 | | |
| Any Other crops | 7330 | 1761 | 850 | 0.06231 | 0.01497 | 0.04734 | | |
| Total | 134914 | 50215 | 4025 | 0.74955 | 0.23251 | 0.51704 | | |
| | Cr | op Water Rec | uirement: - B | Block Dharamp | ouri | | | |
| Cereals | 13969 | 13117 | 600 | 0.08381 | 0.06559 | 0.01823 | | |
| Coarse Cereals (Maize) | 5170 | 185 | 650 | 0.03361 | 0.0012 | 0.0324 | | |
| Pulses | 1794 | 1022 | 350 | 0.00628 | 0.00358 | 0.0027 | | |

| Crops | Area sown(ha) | Irrigated area (ha) | Crop water demand | Water potential required | Existing Water potential | Water potential to be created |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Oil | 6829 | 25 | (mm) 575 | (BCM) 0.03927 | (BCM) 0.00014 | 0.03912 |
| Seeds | 10334 | 8965 | 1000 | 0 10334 | 0.08965 | 0.01369 |
| Any | 5179 | 2022 | 850 | 0.04402 | 0.01719 | 0.02683 |
| Other crops | 42075 | 25226 | 4025 | 0.21022 | 0.17725 | 0.12208 |
| 100 | 43273 | 23530 | 4025 | Dools Condhu | 0.17735 | 0.13276 |
| | | rop water Ke | quirement: - I | DIOCK Galluliw | | |
| Cereals | 10725 | 9924 | 600 | 0.06435 | 0.04962 | 0.01473 |
| Coarse Cereals | 7690 | 81 | 650 | 0.04999 | 0.00053 | 0.04946 |
| Pulses | 2838 | 914 | 350 | 0.00993 | 0.0032 | 0.00673 |
| Oil Seeds | 16006 | 60 | 575 | 0.09203 | 0.00035 | 0.09169 |
| Fibre | 8664 | 6704 | 1000 | 0.08664 | 0.06704 | 0.0196 |
| Any Other crops | 3231 | 1203 | 850 | 0.02746 | 0.01023 | 0.01724 |
| Total | 49154 | 18886 | 4025 | 0.33041 | 0.13096 | 0.19945 |
| | | Crop Water | Requirement | : - Block Bagh | | |
| Cereals | 4570 | 3663 | 600 | 0.02742 | 0.01832 | 0.00911 |
| Coarse Cereals | 8932 | 0 | 650 | 0.05806 | 0 | 0.05806 |
| Pulses | 4343 | 783 | 350 | 0.0152 | 0.00274 | 0.01246 |
| Oil Seeds | 7365 | 0 | 575 | 0.04235 | 0 | 0.04235 |
| Fibre | 4289 | 3154 | 1000 | 0.04289 | 0.03154 | 0.01135 |
| Any Other crops | 783 | 196 | 850 | 0.00666 | 0.00167 | 0.00499 |
| Total | 30282 | 7796 | 4025 | 0.19257 | 0.05426 | 0.13831 |
| | | Crop Water | Requiremen | t : Block Dahi | | |
| Cereals | 3899 | 2984 | 600 | 0.02339 | 0.01492 | 0.00847 |
| Coarse Cereals | 9704 | 0 | 650 | 0.06308 | 0 | 0.06308 |
| Pulses | 3693 | 218 | 350 | 0.01293 | 0.00076 | 0.01216 |
| Oil Seeds | 1564 | 0 | 575 | 0.00899 | 0 | 0.00899 |
| Fibre | 4967 | 3632 | 1000 | 0.04967 | 0.03632 | 0.01335 |
| Any Other | 2673 | 1383 | 850 | 0.02272 | 0.01176 | 0.01097 |
| crops | 26500 | 8217 | 4025 | 0.18078 | 0.06376 | 0.11702 |

| | Crop Water Requirement :- Block Kuskhi | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|--|------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Crops | Area sown(ha) | Irrigated area (ha) | Crop water demand (mm) | Water potential required (BCM) | Existing Water potential (BCM) | Water potential to be created | | | |
| Cereals | 5424 | 4837 | 600 | 0.03254 | 0.02419 | 0.00836 | | | |
| Coarse Cereals | 6833 | 0 | 650 | 0.04441 | 0 | 0.04441 | | | |
| Pulses | 1850 | 295 | 350 | 0.00648 | 0.00103 | 0.00544 | | | |
| Oil Seeds | 2985 | 0 | 575 | 0.01716 | 0 | 0.01716 | | | |
| Fibre | 11089 | 5406 | 1000 | 0.11089 | 0.05406 | 0.05683 | | | |
| Any Other crops | 3624 | 951 | 850 | 0.0308 | 0.00808 | 0.02272 | | | |
| Total | 31805 | 11489 | 4025 | 0.24229 | 0.08736 | 0.15493 | | | |
| | | Crop Water R | equirement: · | Block Nisarp | ur | | | | |
| Cereals | 8671 | 8000 | 600 | 0.05203 | 0.04 | 0.01203 | | | |
| Coarse Cereals | 4013 | 167 | 650 | 0.02608 | 0.00109 | 0.025 | | | |
| Pulses | 1549 | 805 | 350 | 0.00542 | 0.00282 | 0.0026 | | | |
| Oil Seeds | 1837 | 47 | 575 | 0.01056 | 0.00027 | 0.01029 | | | |
| Fibre | 11709 | 7906 | 1000 | 0.11709 | 0.07906 | 0.03803 | | | |
| Any Other crops | 10183 | 4018 | 850 | 0.08656 | 0.03415 | 0.0524 | | | |
| Total | 37962 | 20943 | 4025 | 0.29774 | 0.15739 | 0.14035 | | | |

(Source: PMKSY DIP)

Oil Seeds are the major crops of Dhar district. It is cultivated in 2982.72 Sq. km under rain fed and 1.87 Sq. km under irrigated condition. Groundnut, Til, Ramtil are other oilseed crops grown in kharif season and Linseeds and Mustard are major oilseeds of Rabi season in the district. Oilseeds area is 2984.59 Sq. km in Dhar district which is 36.90 % of Gross Cultivated Area (GCA). Grams and Wheat are major cereals cultivated in Dhar district. There are 113.58 sq. Km rainfed and 1837 sq. Km irrigated area covered by cereals in the district which is 24.18% of GCA. Pulses are the third position in the district for Production it covered 961.66 sq.m contribute the 11.88% of Gross Cultivated Area (GCA). Coarse cereals in the district have in term of area of production. It covers total 660.32. Km including kharif and rabi area. Jowar, Maize, Kodo-Kutki are major coarse cereals cultivated in the district. Coarse cereals contribute 8.16 of GCA of Dhar. Fiber crops cultivated in 913.25 sq.km of district are contributing 11.29%. Other Crops also cultivated in 613.01 sq. Km in the district. Other crops contribute 7.5% of GCA of the district. Horticultural crops cover 525.39 sq. Km which is 6.5% of GCA of the district. Crops wise water requirement are shown in table no. 1.8.

The climate of the district is congenial for successful cultivation for oilseed, pulses, cereals and horticultural crops like soybean, paddy, pigeon pea, maize in kharif and wheat, gram, sugarcane and pea in rabi are grown predominantly in the district. The total area under irrigation

is 36% out of which 33% is double cropped in this district (From Krishi Vigyan Kendra website, Dhar).

The Ground water source (Open Well, bore well) based irrigation caters to the major area i.e., 72.3% of total irrigated area. 27.1 % of total irrigated area depends on surface water sources (canals, reservoirs, tanks). (Department of agriculture, Madhya Pradesh website) Table 1.9

| Irrigation by different sources | Number of Structures | Area in Sq. km |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| Dug wells | 68268 | 757.73 |
| Tube wells/Bore wells | 11231 | 351.51 |
| Tanks/Ponds | 46 | 98.42 |
| Canals | 108 | 319.7 |
| Other Sources | - | 126 |
| Net Irrigated Area | _ | 1653.36 |

Table 1.9: Details of irrigation by different sources

1.6 Geology

The study area is entirely occupied by consolidated formation, excepting isolated patches of this alluvium pockets occurring along course of streams and rivers.

The general geology of the area is given in Table: 1.10:

Table: 1.10 Regional Geology of Dhar District

| Lithology | Formation | Age | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Clay, silts, sands | Soil and alluvium | Recent | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| ~~~~~Unconformity~~~~~~~ | | | | | | | |
| Basaltic lava flows with red bole | Deccan trap | Upper cretaceous to Eocene | | | | | |
| ~~~~~~Unconformity~~~~~~~ | | | | | | | |
| Granitic rock | | Archaean | | | | | |

Geology and Stratigraphy

Deccan Trap

This is represented by basaltic lava flows and occupy the major part of the district including both the Mohi and Chambal basin. (*Fig.* 1.4) This terrain shows terrace like appearance due to alternate lava flow and the distinction between two successive flows have been done on the basis of the presence of Red-bole, Amygdaloidal basalts, vesicular zones etc. The flows occur as hummocky and billowy tops and shining outer surfaces, this type of lava flow is called pahoe-hoe lava flows. The individual lava flows are characterized by vesicular zone which formed due to releasing of different gases and lower unit which may be either jointed and or fractured or totally massive, sometimes the top vesicular zone is filled by secondary minerals viz. Zeolites, Calcite, quartz etc. (called Amygdaloidal). The upper vesicular zone is grey, brownish medium to coarse grained; the vesicles are rounded or oval shaped. The vesicular and/or amygdaloidal zone of individual lava flows ranges between 1-5 to 7-5 m in thickness. The lower unit of basaltic flow if fine to medium grained, greenish black to brownish grey in colour, hard, compact and

vary in thickness from place to place. Very rarely and individual flow is marked by a basal greyish to purple colour basalt containing pipe amygdales as observed in the hillock werst of Matlapura $(22^0 \ 31' \ : \ 75^0 \ 17')$. Though rare in occurrence, this unit containing pipe amygdaloidal serves as marker horizon.

Red Bole bed occurs as marker horizon separating two successive flows. This is red coloured farraginous material generally attains a distinguishable thickness and sometimes it may attain a thickness as much as 2.5m. However, this red bole is often discontinuous and even totally absent. This bed is invariably followed by vesicular/amygdaloidal unit of successive flow underneath.

Alluvium

The weathered zone of the deccan trap is locally referred to as murrum. It is very soft and easily gets transported. In the area such weathered zones range in thickness from 1 to 5 m. the soil covers generally met with in the area over the trappean formation is the black cotton soil which is very plastic and sticky when wet and very hard when dry. The soil cover along with the clay horizon ranges in thickness from 1 to 6 and gains thickness along nala course and small valley portions.

The alluvium material consisting of clay, silt, sand and gravel are of recent age group. Alluvium occurs mainly along the courses of the nalas and tributaries of Mohi and Chambal rivers. The thickness of the alluvium of Sarunya, (Toposheet 46N/5) is 9.30 m.

Sub Surface Geology

Central Ground Water Board, have constructed 72 exploratory wells under the ground exploratory in Dhar district. The Deccan trap is encountered throughout the district upto 200m with alternate layer of vesicular and massive basalt except southwestern part of the district. It is covered by Bagh formation in Bagh, Nisarpur and Kukshi block with alternate layer of sanstone, limestone and siltstone.

Structural Characteristics

The basaltic flows of deccan trap of the present area are horizontally diposed. The primary structural unit is the columnar joints which is the characteristic feature of the lower point and irregular joints and fractures that of the "Entablature Zone" or middle part of basaltic flow. The secondary joints/fracture are not uniform in distribution. The major lineaments and/or weak planes of the area are EW-NE, WSW to NS and NW-SE trending.



Fig:1.4 Hydrogeological Map

1.7 Soil cover

The important characteristic soil type of the area is black cotton soil. (Fig. 1.5) It is called because of its characteristic black in colour. When this comes in contact of water it swells and becomes sticky. It can absorb tremendous amount of water and is very fertile. This soil is the representative soil of the major part of the study area covering Mohi and part of Chambal basins. In the open well section "Pilimitti" is encounter at places, this is essentially yellowish or brownish silty clay, sticky in nature and often with Kankars. In the Narmada basin area, at places the soil type is somewhat different soil is grayish not sticky.

Along stream course of nalas stream and rivers of the study area, occupies a blanket of alluvium which is grayish or whitish in colour. This is a mixture of sand, silt and gravel.



Fig:1.5 Soil map

1.8 Drainage

The drainage pattern of the Mohi River basin is sub parallel to sub dendritic. Important tributary streams are Kaleswani Nera Barwardh and Khariya nad which flows SE-NW direction to meet the SN flowing Mohi River at the extreme NW cover the present area. (Fig1.6)

In the Chambal sub basin, Chamla Nadi is in important river which originates from Tirla mangol kod sector which flow through Kanwar in a SSW-NNW direction. Chmbal river is another important river of this basin which starts from Tarnod Sadarlpur area and more NNW-SSE direction and ultimately the former Chamla Nadi meets the Chambal River outside the study area further downstream. The drainage in this basin is sub parallel in nature and density is less as compared to that of Mahi River basin. In the Narmada basin area, fairly good number of streams originates from the northern fringe of this basin. Drainage pattern is dendritic and its density is high. The flow direction is generally from north to south.



Fig:1.6 Drainage Map

Chapter: 2

Data Collection and Generation

Hydrogeological data includes quality and quality from existing data were collected and analysed in GIS platform to validate and avoid discrepancy while preparing the aquifer mapping in the district. The date collected from allied department such as WRD, PHED and Agriculture departments and administrative department were also included in the data collection and analysis.

2.1 Ground Water Exploration and Geophysical data: -

The groundwater exploration was carried out CGWB, NCR Bhopal down to depth of 200mts and state departments drilled for drinking water purposes well down to depth of maximum 120 mts were collected and compiled for delineation of the aquifer system of the study area. In the study area, total 72 nos exploratory well drilled before the NAQUIM. The details of the exploratory well are presented in table-2.1 and the location of the exploratory well are shown in Fig-2.1

Geophysical survey mainly Vertical Electrical Sounding (VES) is also being carried out to know the sub-surface geology of the area. VES conducted for 60 mts depth of investigation using Schlemberger Electrode array. The sites were located in between the Exploratory bore well to full fill data gap in the area and utilised for vertical and lateral delineation of aquifer. Total 206 nos VES was conducted with 60 mts depth of investigation and studied the sub-surface geology. The VES data were incorporated with exploratory well data to delineate the sub-surface aquifer disposition in form of 2D and 3D hydrogeological section in different direction.



Fig:2.1 Location Mapof GW exploration

2.2 Ground Water Monitoring Wells

Central ground water board and WRD Govt. of Madhya Pradesh are carrying out water level monitoring through ground water monitoring wells including dug wells and piezometers. The water levels monitoring of the shallow and deeper aquifer wells are being monitored four times in a year during the month January, May, August and November. The agency wise details of monitoring stations and locations are given in table: 2.1.

| Ta | bl | e: 2 | 2.1 | Num | bers | \mathbf{of} | M | onito | ring | station | s in | Dhar | Distric | t |
|----|----|------|-----|-----|------|---------------|---|-------|------|---------|------|------|---------|---|
|----|----|------|-----|-----|------|---------------|---|-------|------|---------|------|------|---------|---|

| S. No. | Agency | Number of DW | Number of Pz |
|--------|--------|--------------|--------------|
| 1 | CGWB | 26 | 16 |
| 2 | WRD | 100 | 17 |
| Total | | 126 | 33 |



Fig.2.2: Locations of GW Monitoring Wells

2.3 Ground Water Quality

The suitability of ground water for drinking/irrigation/industrial purposes is determined keeping in view the effects of various chemical constituents present in water on the growth of human being, animals, various plants and also on industrial requirement. Though many ions are very essential for the growth of plants and human body but when present in excess, have an adverse effect on health and growth. Central Ground Water Board, NCR was established wells to monitor the groundwater quality one time in a year of shallow aquifer. WRD and PHED are also monitoring the groundwater quality in district of shallow and deeper aquifer in the district. All the groundwater quality data are incorporated for analysing the groundwater quality issues. In the study area, samples from 27 wells (shallow dug wells representing phreatic aquifer) have been collected during pre-monsoon. Similarly, for Aquifer – II, the ground water quality data of exploratory, observation wells and state govt. handpums/Borewells constructed during earlier exploratory drilling activities were utilised for ground water quality analysis.

2.4 Data Adequacy and Data Gap Analysis:

The available data such as Exploratory wells, Vertical Electrical Sounding (VES), ground water monitoring stations and ground water quality stations of Central Ground Water Board North Central Region, Madhya Pradesh, WRD Govt. of MP and other allied State Surface and Ground Water departments were compiled and analysed as per the nomenclature for adequacy of the data.



The summarised detail on Data Generation map is presented in Fig: 2.3

Fig.2.3: Data Generation Map

Chapter: 3 Data Interpretation, Integration and Aquifer Mapping

The data collected and generated on various parameters viz., water levels, water quality, exploration, aquifer parameters, geophysical, hydrology, hydrometeorology, irrigation, thematic layers was interpreted and integrated. Based on this the various aquifer characteristic maps on hydrogeology, aquifer wise water level scenario both current and long-term scenarios, aquifer wise ground water quality, 2-D and 3-D sub surface disposition of aquifers by drawing fence and lithological sections, aquifer wise yield potential, aquifer wise resources, aquifer maps were generated and as discussed in details.

3.1 Hydrogeology

Hydrogeology is concerned primarily with mode of occurrence, distribution, movement and chemistry of water occurring in the subsurface in relation to the geological environment. The occurrence and movement of water in the subsurface is broadly governed by geological frameworks i.e., nature of rock formations including their porosity (primary and secondary) and permeability. Dhar district is underlain by various geological formations, forming different types of the aquifer in the area. Main geological units of the area are Deccan traps, Bagh Formation, which exposed in Bagh, Nisarpur, Manawar and Kukshi blocks and Archaean in basement. The principal aquifers in the area are Basalt and Achaeans where the occurrence and movement of ground water primarily depends on the degree of interconnection of secondary pores/voids developed by fracturing and In the present area as there are several numbers of basaltic flows, so there are a number of potential permeable zones of ground water. Weathered zone, vesicular and / or amygdaloidal zone and jointed and/or fractured zones constitute the aquifers of in an individual basaltic flow. Ground water occur in the interconnected pore space and inter connected joints and/or fractures of basalts and thereby importing permeability characteristic into the aquifer. The lower part of a flow if not jointed and/or fractured, it may be totally massive and impermeable.

Ground water occurs under both (i) water table/phreatic and (ii) Semi confined conditions. The weathered mantle vesicular or amygdaloidal zone and jointed fracture lower part of a basalt flow together constitute the phreatic water table aquifer, the hydraulic continuity is maintained vertically the sequence of successive basaltic flows depending upon the disposition of inter connecting pore spaces and the inter connected joints/fractures which together govern the ground water movement. Ground water in open wells occur under phreatic conditions and discharge in open wells varies from 1 lps to 2 lps. And ground water occurs in deeper aquifer like fractured and vesicular basalt and flow contact at different depth under semi confined to confined conditions and discharge varies from 1.00 Lps to 25.00 lps. The inter trappean Reb bole bed and massive basaltic layer act as confining bed in the area.

Southern part of the district is covered by marginal alluvium formation a mixture of gravel, silt and clay along the nala and stream and is highly permeable in nature. Yellow soil (Pillimitti) which occurs below the black cotton soil, is poorly permeable because of its clay's nature. The alluvium deposit including pillimitti in the area forms water table aquifer, the hydraulic continuity of which is maintained through the weathered residuum vesicular zone and jointed fracture unit of basaltic flows. Discharge in this unit varies from 1.00 lps to 10 lps.

The water table elevation map was also prepared (**Fig.3.1**) to understand the regional ground water flow directions. The district is comprising with three basins namely Narmada, Mahi and Chambal. In general, the regional groundwater flow is towards South or towards Narmada River.

• Deccan Traps

Deccan traps comprising basaltic lava flows and most extensive rocks in the district. Deccan trap exposed in Dhar, Tirla, dharmpuri, Sardarpur, Nalchha and Badnawar blocks. Ground water occurs in the weathered, jointed and fractured basalts under Unconfined and semi-confined to confined conditions. The unconfined aquifer is restricted up to 15 m bgl while semi- confined and confined aquifers are encountered between 45 to 190 mbgl. The yield of shallow aquifer in this formation ranges between 60 to 300 lpm.

Bagh Formations

The Bagh formations comprise succession of sandstone, shales, Limestone and clays lying over the crystalline granitic rocks. The Bagh formations are mainly present in Bagh, Nisarpur, Manawar and Kukshi blocks. In formations groundwater occurs mostly in sandstone and at the contact zones. The yield potential of Bagh ranges from 100 lpm to 300 lpm tapping semi confined and unconfined aquifers.



Fig:3.1 Hydrogeological Map

3.2 Water Level Scenario

Central Ground Water Board and Water Resource Department Govt. of India are monitored 126 dug well for shallow aquifer and 33 piezometers for piezometric head in the study area. The present depth to water level scenario of shallow aquifer was depicted by utilizing water level data of 126 monitoring wells representing shallow aquifer in the district.

Pre-Monsoon (November, 2020)

The **pre-monsoon** depth to water levels during May 2020 ranged between 2.90 (Palasi) to 17.80 mbgl (Pithampur). The water level ranges between 2 -5 mbgl are observed in major part of the district, water level ranges between 5-10 mbgl observed in Eastern part of the district and the water levels of more than 10 mbgl are observed in some patches of northern eastern parts of the district. The pre-monsoon water level data is presented as **Table:3.2,3.3**, whereas depth to water level map is given in **Fig.3.2**.



Fig:3.2 Pre-Monsoon DTWL Map

Post-Monsoon (November, 2019)

The **post-monsoon** depth to water levels during November 2019 ranged between 2.05 (Badnawar) to 13.78 mbgl (Dhrampuri). Water Level upto 2 mbgl observed in northern and western part of the district Ranges of water level between 2 -5 mbgl are observed in major part of the district, water level ranges between 5-10 mbgl observed in central part of the district and the water levels of more than 10 mbgl are observed in some patches of Southern parts of the district. The post -monsoon water level data is presented as **Table:3.2 .3.2 & 3.3**, whereas depth to water level map is given in **Fig. 3.2 & 3.3**.



Fig:3.3 Post-Monsoon DTWL Map

. ...

. .

| Table: 3.1 | WRD ground | water | level d | lata | |
|------------|------------|-------|---------|------|--|
| | | | | | |

.

.

| S. | Tahsil / | Block / | Village | Pre- | Post- | Fluctuation |
|----|----------|-----------|-------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| No | Taluka | Mandal | | Monsoon WL 2020 | monsoon W L 2019 | (m) |
| | | | | (m) | (m) | |
| 1 | Badnawar | Badnawar | Multhan | 13 | 5.80 | 7.20 |
| 2 | Badnawar | Badnawar | Lilikhedi | 13.8 | 7.60 | 6.20 |
| 3 | Badnawar | Badnawar | Gajnod | 6.10 | 2.50 | 3.60 |
| 4 | Badnawar | Badnawar | Bakhatgrah | 17.05 | 11.55 | 5.50 |
| 5 | Badnawar | Badnawar | Dholana | 7.50 | 4.00 | 3.50 |
| 6 | Badnawar | Badnawar | Kanwan | 11.95 | 3.60 | 8.35 |
| 7 | Badnawar | Badnawar | Panchakwasa | 17.40 | 15.40 | 2.00 |
| 8 | Badnawar | Badnawar | Bidwal | 9.05 | 4.90 | 4.15 |
| 9 | Badnawar | Badnawar | Nagda | 11.45 | 6.10 | 5.35 |
| 10 | Kukshi | Bagh | Kalidevi | 5.95 | 2.65 | 3.30 |
| 11 | Kukshi | Bagh | Thikhibaldi | 11.15 | 6.15 | 5.00 |
| 12 | Kukshi | Bagh | Agar | 7.55 | 6.65 | 0.90 |
| 13 | Kukshi | Bagh | Deodha | 10.65 | 8.15 | 2.50 |
| 14 | Kukshi | Bagh | Undeli | 10.80 | 7.90 | 2.90 |
| 15 | Kukshi | Bagh | Jhirpanya | 5.85 | 2.60 | 3.25 |
| 16 | Kukshi | Bagh | Tanda | 17.05 | 14.80 | 2.25 |
| 17 | Kukshi | Bagh | Bhamori | 4.95 | 2.40 | 2.55 |
| | | Bakaner | | | | |
| 19 | Manawar | (umarvan) | Bakaner | 11.7 | 1.5 | 10.20 |
| 20 | Manawar | Bakaner | Tawlai | 1.73 | 0.25 | 1.48 |

| S. | Tahsil / | Block / | Village | Pre- | Post- | Fluctuation |
|----|------------|------------|-------------|---------|----------|--------------|
| No | Taluka | Mandal | _ | Monsoon | monsoon | (m) |
| | | | | WL 2020 | W L 2019 | |
| | | | | (m) | (m) | |
| | | (umarvan) | | | | |
| 01 | | Bakaner | | 4.10 | 1 40 | 2 70 |
| 21 | Manawar | (umarvan) | Patlawad | 4.10 | 1.40 | 2.70 |
| 22 | Manawar | (umaryan) | Ihirwi | 12 10 | 12 10 | 0.00 |
| 23 | Kukshi | Dahi | Thandla | 11.15 | 9.85 | 1 30 |
| 24 | Kukshi | Dahi | Badwaniya | 9.45 | 3 75 | 5 70 |
| 25 | Kukshi | Dahi | Padival | 14.75 | 9.75 | 5.00 |
| 26 | Kukshi | Dahi | Gampur | 10.15 | 5.70 | 4.45 |
| 27 | Kukshi | Dahi | Dahi | 8.50 | 3.10 | 5.40 |
| 28 | Dhar | Dhar | Dharawara | 11.45 | 6.45 | 5.00 |
| 29 | Dhar | Dhar | Dhar | 13.90 | 3.85 | 10.05 |
| 30 | Dhar | Dhar | Anarad | 13.25 | 10.50 | 2.75 |
| 31 | Dhar | Dhar | Kesur | 8.75 | 8.45 | 0.30 |
| 32 | Dharampuri | Dharampuri | Khalghat | 5.6 | 2.15 | 3.45 |
| 33 | Dharampuri | Dharampuri | Sala | 3.20 | 1.30 | 1.90 |
| 34 | Dharampuri | Dharampuri | Gulati | 10.00 | 4.80 | 5.20 |
| 35 | Dharampuri | Dharampuri | Bharudpura | 6.10 | 1.50 | 4.60 |
| 36 | Dharampuri | Dharampuri | Dhamnod | 13.00 | 6.80 | 6.20 |
| 37 | Dharampuri | Dharampuri | Dharampuri | 7.23 | 3.85 | 3.38 |
| 38 | Dharampuri | Dharampuri | Eklara | 11.10 | 7.80 | 3.30 |
| 39 | Dharampuri | Dharampuri | Dewalara | 11.50 | 7.00 | 4.50 |
| | | | Lunera | | | |
| 41 | Dharampuri | Dharampuri | khurd | 3.40 | 2.40 | 1.00 |
| 42 | Gandhwani | Gandhwani | Balnera | 5.6 | 1 | 4.60 |
| 43 | Gandhwani | Gandhwani | Bilda | 4.15 | 1.55 | 2.60 |
| 44 | Gandhwani | Gandhwani | Awalda | 4.30 | 1.10 | 3.20 |
| 45 | Gandhwani | Gandhwani | Morkatiya | 8.70 | 3.00 | 5.70 |
| 46 | Gandhwani | Gandhwani | Bakhatala | 9.80 | 5.80 | 4.00 |
| 47 | Gandhwani | Gandhwani | Borghata | 7.60 | 2.90 | 4.70 |
| 48 | Gandhwani | Gandhwani | Sangibaodi | 4.60 | 3.10 | 1.50 |
| 49 | Gandhwani | Gandhwani | Khirki | 6.25 | 2.15 | 4.10 |
| 50 | Gandhwani | Gandhwani | Kosadana | 10.55 | 3.50 | 7.05 |
| 51 | Gandhwani | Gandhwani | Keswi | 11.00 | 10.40 | 0.60 |
| 54 | Kukshi | Kukshi | Rampura | 3.90 | 1.30 | 2.60 |
| 55 | Kukshi | Kukshi | Susari | 2.75 | 1.8 | 0.95 |
| 56 | Kukshi | Kukshi | Girwania | 16.2 | 6.7 | 9.50 |
| 57 | Kukshi | Kukshi | Kawdia keda | 16.5 | 15 | 1.50 |
| 58 | Kukshi | Kukshi | Longasari | 5.40 | 2.60 | 2.80 |
| 59 | Kukshi | Kukshi | Talanpur | 13.50 | 6.00 | 7.50 |
| 60 | Kukshi | Kukshi | Talawadı | 3.75 | 2.55 | 1.20 |
| 61 | KuKshi | Kukshi | Palasi | 5.80 | 3.80 | 2.00 |
| 65 | Manawar | Manawar | Dedla | 10.90 | 7.40 | 3.50 |
| 66 | Manawar | Manawar | Borud | 13.65 | 5.15 | 8.50 |
| 67 | Manawar | Manawar | Tonki | 5.75 | 2.35 | 3.40 |

| S. | Tahsil / | Block / | Village | Pre- | Post- | Fluctuation |
|----|-----------|-----------|-------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| NO | I aluka | Mandal | | Wonsoon WL 2020 | monsoon W L 2019 | (m) |
| | | | | (m) | (m) | |
| 68 | Manawar | Manawar | Ajandiman | 3.65 | 1.05 | 2.60 |
| 69 | Manawar | Manawar | Jajamkhedi | 4.90 | 3.20 | 1.70 |
| 70 | Manawar | Manawar | Lunhera | 12.10 | 9.35 | 2.75 |
| 73 | Dhar | Nalcha | Narayanpura | 11.10 | 4.40 | 6.70 |
| 74 | Dhar | Nalcha | Nalcha | 11.65 | 5.60 | 6.05 |
| 75 | Dhar | Nalcha | Dehrisaray | 12.45 | 8.40 | 4.05 |
| 76 | Dhar | Nalchana | Panala | 7.65 | 0.7 | 6.95 |
| 77 | Dhar | Nalchha | Sagore | 2.90 | 0.80 | 2.10 |
| 78 | Kukshi | Nisarpur | Pipliya | 6.40 | 2.70 | 3.70 |
| 79 | Kukshi | Nisarpur | Bhoriya | 12.00 | 5.10 | 6.90 |
| 80 | Kukshi | Nisarpur | Konada | 13.40 | 11.80 | 1.60 |
| 81 | Kukshi | Nisarpur | Heledarh | 8.50 | 10.35 | -1.85 |
| 82 | Sardarpur | Sardarpur | Rajgarh | 7.2 | 3.1 | 4.10 |
| 83 | Sardarpur | Sardarpur | Junapani | 9 | 2.4 | 6.60 |
| 84 | Sardarpur | Sardarpur | Nipawali | 6.35 | 1.55 | 4.80 |
| 85 | Sardarpur | Sardarpur | Rupakheda | 11.40 | 4.50 | 6.90 |
| 86 | Sardarpur | Sardarpur | Mangod | 5.60 | 3.20 | 2.40 |
| 87 | Sardarpur | Sardarpur | Pasawada | 10.05 | 4.00 | 6.05 |
| 88 | Sardarpur | Sardarpur | Rajpura | 9.10 | 2.90 | 6.20 |
| 89 | Sardarpur | Sardarpur | Labria | 13.05 | 8.05 | 5.00 |
| 90 | Sardarpur | Sardarpur | Bhopawar | 12.50 | 10.10 | 2.40 |
| 91 | Sardarpur | Sardarpur | Rajod | 4.60 | 2.20 | 2.40 |
| 92 | Sardarpur | Sardarpur | Sardarpur | 10.90 | 2.80 | 8.10 |
| 93 | Sardarpur | Sardarpur | Jalon | 5.20 | 3.80 | 1.40 |
| 94 | Sardarpur | Sardarpur | Desaiee | 10.70 | 7.70 | 3.00 |
| 95 | Dhar | Tirla | Tirla | 14.45 | 9.25 | 5.20 |
| 96 | Dhar | Tirla | Gyanpura | 12.3 | 3.4 | 8.90 |
| 97 | Dhar | Tirla | Padliya | 8.15 | 4.5 | 3.65 |
| 98 | Dhar | Tirla | Dilawara | 10.95 | 8.45 | 2.50 |
| 99 | Dhar | Tirla | Sitapat | 7.9 | 4.4 | 3.50 |





Fig: 3.4 WRD Pre and Post-Monsson Water Level trend

| S. | Block | Location | Type of | Total | Post- | Pre- | Fluctuati |
|-----|------------|--------------|---------|-------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| No. | | | wells | Depth | Monsoon | monsoon | on |
| | | | | in m | WL-2019 | W L 2020 | (m) |
| | | | | | (m) | (m) | |
| 1 | Badnawar | Badnawar New | DW | 14.2 | 9.62 | 11.69 | 2.07 |
| 2 | Badnawar | Chayan | DW | 11.77 | 7.28 | 7.91 | 0.63 |
| 3 | Badnawar | Kanwan | DW | 15 | 11.75 | 15 | 3.25 |
| 4 | Bagh | Bagh New | DW | 12.5 | 4.83 | 7.58 | 2.75 |
| 6 | Dhar | Dhar | DW | 16.5 | 10.22 | 11.7 | 1.48 |
| 7 | Dharampuri | Dhamnod | DW | 14.5 | 4.12 | 5.88 | 1.76 |
| 8 | Dharampuri | Dharampuri | DW | 22 | 15.99 | 16.9 | 0.91 |
| 9 | Dharampuri | Gujri | DW | 14.75 | 8.2 | 9.94 | 1.74 |
| 10 | Gandhwani | Gandhwani | DW | 11 | 5.73 | 8.8 | 3.07 |
| 11 | Gandhwani | Zeerabad | DW | 15.42 | 7.71 | 7.19 | -0.52 |
| 12 | Kukshi | Dehari | DW | 15.6 | 9.77 | 12.77 | 3 |
| 13 | Kukshi | Dhulsar | DW | 13.9 | 5.31 | 6.41 | 1.1 |
| 14 | Kukshi | Kukshi | DW | 12.9 | 8.5 | 12.9 | 4.4 |
| 15 | Kukshi | Palasi | DW | 6.51 | 2.05 | 6.07 | 4.02 |
| 16 | Manawar | Manawar | DW | 20 | 14.17 | 20 | 5.83 |
| 17 | Manawar | Singhana | DW | 10.63 | 4.34 | 6.07 | 1.73 |
| 18 | Nalchha | Mandu | DW | 15.11 | 11.85 | 15.11 | 3.26 |
| 19 | Nisarpur | Pipalya | DW | 14 | 8.16 | 7.06 | -1.1 |
| 20 | Sardarpur | Amjhira | DW | 11.5 | 2.56 | 3.27 | 0.71 |
| 21 | Sardarpur | Dasai | DW | 14.5 | 10.76 | 12.1 | 1.34 |
| 22 | Sardarpur | Julana | DW | 17.5 | 8.38 | 17.5 | 9.12 |
| 23 | Sardarpur | Rajod | DW | 10.7 | 6.81 | 8.31 | 1.5 |
| 24 | Sardarpur | Sardarpur | DW | 15.19 | 6.3 | 7.88 | 1.58 |
| 25 | Tirla | Lunera | DW | 10.68 | 6.7 | 10.68 | 3.98 |
| 26 | Umarban | Tawlai | DW | 11.11 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 0.8 |

Table: 3.2 Water Level Data of CGWB NHS dug wells

CGWB Pre & Post-monsoon Water Level Dta



Fig: 3.5 Comparison of Pre & Post-monsoon Water Level of CGWB NHS wells
| Block Name | Location | Types of wells | Depth (m) | Post-monsoon WL 2019(m) | Pre-monsoon WL2020(m) | Fluctuation (m) |
|------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| | | | () | | | () |
| Badnawar | Badnawar(d) | Piezometer | 90 | 11.87 | 16.53 | 4.66 |
| Badnawar | Badnawar(s) | Piezometer | 30.65 | 14.54 | 14.61 | 0.07 |
| Badnawar | Kanwan Npz | Piezometer | 50 | 12.1 | 30.5 | 18.4 |
| Bagh | Bagh(d) | Piezometer | 60.98 | 6.09 | 8.5 | 2.41 |
| Dhar | Dhar (D) | Piezometer | 120 | 11.54 | 21.47 | 9.93 |
| Dhar | Dhar (S) | Piezometer | 32 | 11.42 | 24.27 | 12.85 |
| Dhar | Gunawad Npz | Piezometer | 50 | 22.2 | 26.5 | 4.3 |
| Dharampuri | Dharampuri Npz | Piezometer | 50 | 6.48 | 7.3 | 0.82 |
| Manawar | Manawar | Piezometer | 94.6 | 12.65 | 22.24 | 9.59 |
| Nalchha | Bagri | Piezometer | 50 | 12.5 | 22.24 | 9.74 |
| Nalchha | Dhamnod | Piezometer | 50 | 4.38 | 4.9 | 0.52 |
| Nalchha | Nalchha | Piezometer | 50 | 15.17 | 14.9 | -0.27 |
| Nalchha | Pithampur Nagarpalika Npz | Piezometer | 50 | 3.75 | 8 | 4.25 |
| Sardarpur | pur Amjhera | | 90 | 12.98 | 35.27 | 22.29 |
| Sardarpur | Bandheri | Piezometer | 50 | 12.04 | 11.2 | -0.84 |
| Sardarpur | Sardarpur(D) | Piezometer | 66 | 13.56 | 27.79 | 14.23 |
| Sardarpur | Sardarpur(S) | Piezometer | 36 | 11.41 | 12.56 | 1.15 |





Fig. 3.6: Comparison of Pre & Post-monsoon Water Level of CGWB Pz

Water level Fluctuation

The water level measured during pre and post monsoon period (Nov 2019 to May-2020) was used to compute the seasonal fluctuation in shallow aquifer. The analysis of water level fluctuation data indicated that minimum water level fluctuation was observed at Chhayan (0.63 m) while maximum water level fluctuation was observed at Julana (9.12m). The water level fluctuations were grouped under three categories i.e., less, moderate and high and the % of wells in each category was analyzed (**Table 3.5**).

| S. No. | Category | Fluctuation Range | % of Wells |
|--------|----------------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| 1. | Less water level fluctuation | 0 to 2 m | 48% |
| 2. | Moderate water level fluctuation | 2 to 5 m | 40% |
| 3. | High water level fluctuation | >5 m | 12% |

Table 3.4(a): Analysis of Water Level Fluctuation in shallow aquifer

The analysis indicates that majority of the wells (48%) are falling in high fluctuation range indicating aquifer storage is not good, whereas moderate water level fluctuation are observed in 40 % wells and low water level fluctuation were observed in 12 % wells. The seasonal fluctuation map is presented as **Fig. 3.7** the perusal of map indicates that fluctuation of greater than 5 m is observed in major part of the area, whereas lower fluctuation of less than 2 m is observed in the north east, North West and south-central part of the district.

The water level measured during pre and post monsoon period (Nov 2019 may-2020) was used to compute the seasonal fluctuation in deeper aquifer/Pz . The analysis of water level fluctuation data indicated that minimum water level fluctuation was observed at Badnawar(s) (0.07 m) while maximum water level fluctuation was observed at Pithampur Nagarpalika Npz (22 m). The water level fluctuations were grouped under three categories i.e., less, moderate and high and the % of wells in each category was analyzed.

| S. No. | Category | Fluctuation Range | % of Wells |
|-----------|----------------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| 1. | Less water level fluctuation | 0 to 2 m | 24% |
| 2. | Moderate water level fluctuation | 2 to 5 m | 24% |
| 3. | High water level fluctuation | >5 m | 52% |

Table 3.4(b): Analysis of Water Level Fluctuation in Pz



| S. No. | Block Name | Avg. Pre-monsoon WL in m | Avg. Post- monsoon WL in | Avg. WL Fluctuation in |
|--------|------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | | m | m |
| 1 | Badnawar | 11.29 | 7.37 | 3.92 |
| 2 | Bagh | 8.75 | 6.54 | 2.21 |
| 3 | Dhar | 11.51 | 8.19 | 3.32 |
| 4 | Dahi | 10.80 | 6.43 | 4.37 |
| 5 | Dharampuri | 8.29 | 5.86 | 2.43 |
| 6 | Gandhwani | 7.17 | 4.21 | 2.96 |
| 7 | Kukshi | 7.79 | 6.49 | 1.29 |
| 8 | Manawar | 8.68 | 6.82 | 1.86 |
| 9 | Nalcha | 9.60 | 5.84 | 3.77 |
| 10 | Nisarpur | 9.69 | 7.40 | 2.29 |
| 11 | Sardarpur | 8.36 | 5.85 | 2.51 |
| 12 | Tirla | 10.08 | 6.78 | 3.30 |
| 13 | Umarban | 6.27 | 3.55 | 2.72 |

Table- 3.5 Block wise average Pre & Post-monsoon Water Level of Dhar District



Block wise average pre & post-monsoon depth to water levels studied. In post-monsoon four block namely Badnawar, Dhar, Dahi and Tirla are showing more than 10 m bgl and nine blocks are showing more than 6.00 mbgl water level. In post-monsoon eight block are showing more than 6.00 m bgl and five blocks are showing less than 6 mbgl.

3.3 Ground Water Quality

The pH of ground water of Dhar district ranged in between 6.98 to 7.73. As per BIS recommendation, all water samples recorded within the permissible limit of 6.5 to 8.5. The ground water of the study area can be assessed as neutral to slightly alkaline in nature. The electrical conductivity of ground water in Dhar district ranged between 382 to 2615 μ S/cm at25°C. The maximum value of electrical conductivity observed in the dug well of Amjhira village. The electrical conductivity shows that the ground water in Dhar district is good in nature.

CGWB and PHED Govt. of MP studied the fluoride concentration in Dhar district ranged in between 0.1 to 21.0 mg/l. As per BIS permissible limit, the maximum concentration is recorded at Dhar, Nalchha, Dharmapuri and Tirla Blocks.

In deeper aquifer, concentration of fluoride is 1. In shallow aquifer and in shallow aquifer (dug well) fluoride ranges varies 0.10 to 2.200 mg/.

In Deeper Aquifer, Maximum Concentration of fluoride has been recorded in ground water of Mewasjamniya (21.2 mg/l), Mohanpura (19 mg/l) and Mehgaon (14 mg/l) and In shallow the concentration of fluoride more than 1.50 mg/l has been recorded at Dharampuri (2.20 mg/l), Gujri (2.45 mg/l) and Dhamnod (2.50 mg/l In the district, nitrate concentration in ground water ranged in between 2 to 142 mg/l. The 62% ground water samples recorded nitrate concentration within the BIS acceptable limit of 45 mg/l and 38% water samples recorded more than 45 mg/l as BIS recommendation. The concentration of nitrate more than 100 mg/l has been detected in ground water of Dasai (142 mg/l) and Kukshi (140 mg/l) dug wells. High nitrate in ground water appears may be due to anthropogenic activities or excessive use of fertilizers etc. The fluoride contaminated well's location of shallow as well as deeper aquifer are given in Fig: 3.8 and 3.13.

Total hardness of ground water in the study area ranged in between 104 to 787 mg/l. The concentration of total hardness more than 600 mg/l has been observed in the dug well of Kukshi (644 mg/l), Amjhira (683 mg/l) and Dasai (787 mg/l) villages.

In the district water are CaHCO₃, mixed CaNaHCO₃, mixed CaMgCl and NaCl types, it shows temporary and mixed and saline type of water respectively. The US Salinity Diagram of Dhar district shows the ground water is low to high salinity classes i.e. C_2S_1 , C_3S_1 , C_3S_2 and C_4S_1 classes. C_3 and C_4 classes of water should not be used for irrigation purpose unless proper soil management.



Fig:3.8 Fluoride Concentration in Shallow Aquifer

Fig:3.9 Nitrate Concentration in Shallow Aquifer



Fig 3.10 EC contour map



Fig 3.11 Piper Map



Fig: 3.13 Fluoride Concentration in Deeper Aquifer

| S. No | Agency | No of sample Analysed | Type of well | Average depth in m | Fluoride concentration range in mg/l | | |
|-------|--------|--------------------------|------------------|--------------------|---|-----|--|
| | | | Deeper Aquifer | | | | |
| 1 | PHED | 1200 | HP and Bore well | 180 | 1.76 | 21 | |
| 2 | CGWB | 30 | Bore Well | 200 | 0.1 | 0.3 | |
| | | | Shallow aquifer | | | | |
| 1 | CGWB | 19 | Dugwell | 25 | 0.25 | 2.5 | |

3.4 3-D and 2-D Aquifer Disposition

Central Ground Water Board has been carried out ground water exploration through outsourcing and constructed 72 exploratory wells and 19 observation wells and also carried out Vertical electrical sounding (VES) under NAQUIM data generation through outsourcing.

In the study area, two aquifer systems have been demarcated based on the groundwater water occurrence and movement. The first aquifer (Aquifer-I) is weathered layer of all three lithology. The second aquifer (Aquifer-II) is fractured layers and vesicular Basalt. The bottom of the aquifer-II is demarcated using the lower most fractured depth encountered in the bore well. Aquifer-II is generally extending latterly in uniform thickness and fallowed the general topography of the area. The thickness of Aquifer-II is varying some places whenever secondary aquifer encountered like fractured and also depend on the thickness of particular flow.

have also been conducted slug. PYT and pumping test during Central Ground Water Board ground water exploration to decipher hydrogeological properties of aquifer. The different hydrogeological test and exploration data reveal that the main aquifer system in Dhar district is vesicular and fractured basalt, which is very good yielding during July to December and gradually decrease or dry during lean period. The average thickness aquifer in the area is varies form 5 m to 35 m in 200 m exploratory wells which is create good subsurface storage. The details and outcome of exploratory and observation wells are given in Table: 3.9.

The aquifer disposition of the area is demarcated based on the groundwater exploration data which depicts the lateral and vertical configuration of the aquifers using Rockworks software. such as Basalt, Sandstone, Limestone and Granite formation.

• Fence and 3D Diagram

The Fence and 3D diagram are prepared on the basis of lithological log/ground water exploration data. It is indicating that maximum part of the district is covered by Deccan Basalt, However, Fractured and vesicular basalt is the main potential aquifers in the area. and. The litholog of exploratory wells are studied, reveal that the thickness of aquifer is varied from 5 to 35 m from place to place and sustainability of aquifer is also gradually decrease during lean period. The disposition of Aquifer-I and Aquifer-II and other geological units can be observed in the Fence and 3D diagram. The lateral and vertical delineation of aquifer are given in Fig:3.14 and 3.15.



Fig.3.14: 3-D Aquifer Disposition



Fig.3.15: Fence Diagram showing aquifer disposition in the area

2D Aquifer disposition (Hydrogeological cross section)/ hydrogeological cross sections were prepared to know the vertical and lateral distribution of the deeper aquifer system in the OCS block of the district are given in fig: 3.16,3.17,3.18 and 3.19.



Fig. 3.16 Aquifer Disposition in Badnawar Block



Fig 3.17 Aquifer Disposition in Nalchha Block



Fig 3.18 Aquifer Disposition in Dhar Block



Fig 3.19 Aquifer Disposition in Tirla Block

The Block wise hydrogeological 2D section have been prepared and demarcated aquifer groups, establish the geometry of the underlying aquifer systems in horizontal and vertical domains, characterize them, and prepare an management strategy and plan for the area and estimated aquifer wise ground water resource for the area

In OCS Block, The Aquifers lateral and vertical disposition demarcated, which is shown in Fig.3.11,3.12,3.13 & 3.14. It indicates that main aquifer system is vesicular basalt and contact zone of different flows. The thickness of aquifer is almost uniform throughout the block. The discharge of the wells varies from 1.00 lps to 24.00 lps during lean period and post-monsoon period respectively. Uncontrolled pumping of groundwater from shallow as well as deeper aquifer during irrigation of RABI crops has caused shortage in water supply and decline the water level, therefore, the aquifer sustainability during lean period is one of the most concern issue in district.

In Fig: 3.14 showing aquifer disposition from Oshra EW to Gulwa EW. It indicates that the thickness of aquifer is increase towards North of Tirla Block (Oshra EW).

Aquifer disposition of Shallow aquifer

CGWB has been carried out vertical electrical sounding throughout the district. The phreatic (weathered zone) aquifer disposition of the area is demarcated based on the casing length of exploratory wells and utilised VES data which depicts the lateral and vertical delineation of the shallow aquifers using MAPINFO software. The thickness of phreatic/weathered aquifer is varying from place to place and average thickness in the area is 8-12 m bgl, which is very useful for suitable sites selection of AR structures.



Fig: 3.20: Phreatic/weathered aquifer Disposition in Dhar Block



Fig 3.21 Phreatic/weathered aquifer Disposition - Dhar block

Hydrogeological cross section showing shallow aquifer disposition in E-W direction and it is prepared on the basis of exploratory wells and Vertical Electrical Soundings data i.e., Dedla, Bagditurk, Dhar, Samar, Tiagaon, Pijariya, Piplya, and Kalsada Buzurg has been utilized.

Hydrogeological cross section showing shallow aquifer disposition from Kessor to Dhar (**Fig.3.16**) or N-S direction. The data of exploratory wells and Vertical Electrical Soundings i.e., Kesur, Govindpura, Tiwadi, Samar and Dhar has been utilized.



Fig.3.22: Shallow Aquifer Disposition

3.5 Aquifer Characteristics

Each Basaltic flow comprises two distinct units viz, upper vesicular unit and lower massive unit. The massive basalt is hard, compact and does not have primary porosity and is impermeable. Weathering, jointing and fracturing induces secondary porosity in massive unit, whereas, in vesicular basalt, when vesicles are interconnected constitutes good primary porosity and it is reduced whenever the vesicles are filled/ partly filled by secondary minerals. Generally, Ground water occurs under phreatic / unconfined to semi-confined conditions in basalts.

Based on the ground water exploration and geophysical study in the Dhar district, the two types of aquifers demarcated and the details are given below in **Table 3.8**.

| Major Aquifer | Basalt /Granito | ids/ Sandstone |
|--|--|--|
| Type of Aquifer | Aquifer-I | Aquifer-II |
| Formation | Weathered Basalt/Sandstone/Siltstone/Granit oids | Fractured Basalt/Vesicular Basalt /Granitoids/Sandstone |
| Depth of Occurrence (mbgl) | 1 to 30 | 30 to 200 |
| SWL (mbgl) | 0.44 to 19.7 | 10 to 152 |
| Weathered / Fractured rocks thickness (m) | 2 to 14 | 0.5 to 25 |
| Fractures encountered (mbgl) | Upto 30 | Upto 200 |
| Yield | - | Up to 24 lps |
| Transmissivity (m²/day) | - | 5 to 80 m ² /day |
| Specific Yield/ Storativity (Sy/S) | - | 1.0x10 ⁻⁴ to 5.5x10 ⁻⁵ |
| Suitability for drinking/ irrigation | Suitable for both drinking and agriculture, except high Nitrate at some places | Suitable for both drinking and agriculture, except high Nitrate at some places places and some aquifer zones is Fluoride contaminated. |

Table 3.7 Aquifer characteristics

Table: 3.8 Details of Ground water exploration in Dhar District

| S. No. | Location | Block | Discharge | SWL | Test | Transm | issivity | Specific | Storativity | Transm | nissivity |
|--------|-------------|------------|-----------|--------|--------------|--------|----------|---------------|------------------------|--------|-----------|
| | | | (lps) | (mbmp) | | m²/ | day | Capacity | | m²/ | day |
| | | | | | | Jacob | Theis | (litre/min/m) | | Jacob | Theis |
| 1 | Bugdawada | Badnawar | 8.2 | 154.72 | Slug test | 0.25 | | | | | |
| 2 | Dattigara | Badnawar | 13.77 | 48.79 | Pumping test | 2.67 | 1.34 | 1.42 | | | |
| 3 | Gundikhedi | Badnawar | 0.01 | 120.2 | Slug test | 1.63 | | | | | |
| 4 | Kalyanpura | Badnawar | 4.26 | 27.2 | PYT | 3.96 | 7.91 | 12.45 | | | |
| 5 | Ralayta | Badnawar | 0.43 | 17.25 | Slug test | 13.44 | | | | | |
| 6 | Silonda | Badnawar | 1.74 | 4.32 | PYT | 1.04 | 1.79 | 2.84 | | | |
| 7 | Akhada | Bagh | 0.08 | 8.71 | Slug test | 0.58 | | | | | |
| 8 | Bhamori | Bagh | 1.18 | 43.02 | PYT | 3.27 | 2.45 | 3.77 | | | |
| 9 | Chunpaya | Bagh | 0.21 | 25.1 | Slug test | 0.82 | | | | | |
| 10 | Mukundapura | Bagh | 5.39 | 9.04 | Pumping test | 20.25 | 10.13 | 4.8 | 5.49 x10 ⁻³ | 40.45 | 40.45 |
| 11 | Narwali | Bagh | 0.75 | 17.37 | PYT | 6.72 | 8.41 | 15.96 | | | |
| 12 | Pipawa | Bagh | | 12.19 | Slug test | 0.35 | | | | | |
| 13 | Aarada | Dahi | 2.43 | 48.72 | PYT | 2.44 | 3.05 | 2.55 | | | |
| 14 | Ajgaon | Dahi | 0.08 | 72.66 | Slug test | 0.38 | | | | | |
| 15 | Chichwaniya | Dahi | 0.75 | 45.3 | PYT | 1.11 | 0.51 | 2.01 | | | |
| 16 | Molipura | Dahi | 6.67 | 41.7 | Slug test | 3.52 | | | | | |
| 17 | Kalukhedi | Dhar | 24.08 | 77.4 | Pumping test | 4.03 | 6.05 | 11.25 | | | |
| 18 | Kesur | Dhar | 13.77 | 16.33 | Pumping test | 23.73 | 18.99 | 11.36 | 6.50 x10 ⁻⁴ | 31.64 | 47.46 |
| 19 | Pinjariya | Dhar | 0.21 | 23.65 | Slug test | 1.65 | | | | | |
| 20 | Tiwadi | Dhar | 3.27 | 22.02 | PYT | 0.18 | 0.12 | 0.37 | | | |
| 21 | Turk Bagdi | Dhar | 0.08 | 40.8 | Slug test | 39.55 | | | | | |
| 22 | Dhegda | Dharampuri | 8.19 | 4.23 | Pumping test | 4.43 | 4.43 | 2.5 | 1.41 x10 ⁻³ | 35.44 | 35.44 |
| 23 | Ambajhiri | Gandhwani | 0 | 40 | Slug test | 1.23 | | | | | |
| 24 | Awaldaman | Gandhwani | 8.19 | 51.15 | Pumping test | 35.12 | 35.12 | 61.48 | 5.87 x10 ⁻⁴ | 105.37 | 52.69 |
| 25 | Bilda | Gandhwani | 0.43 | 31.65 | Slug test | 0.24 | | | | | |
| 26 | Koshdana | Gandhwani | 0.01 | 112.45 | Slug test | 39.55 | | | | | |
| 27 | Rodado | Gandhwani | 0 | 88 | Slug test | 1.63 | | | | | |

Table: 3.8 Details of Ground water exploration in Dhar District

| S. No. | Location | Block | Discharge | SWL | Test | Transm | nissivity | Specific | Storativity | Transm | nissivity |
|--------|---------------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------------|--------|-----------|---------------|------------------------|--------|-----------|
| | | | (lps) | (mbmp) | | m²/ | day | Capacity | | m²/ | day |
| | | | | | | Jacob | Theis | (litre/min/m) | | Jacob | Theis |
| 28 | Badgiyar | Kukshi | 6.7 | 30.31 | PYT | 1.98 | 2.97 | 2.8 | | | |
| 29 | Magarda | Kukshi | 0.43 | 72.61 | Slug test | 1.21 | | | | | |
| 30 | Roja | Kukshi | 2.43 | 13.25 | PYT | 3.02 | 3.02 | 4.44 | | | |
| 31 | Banediya | Manawar | 0.08 | 39 | Slug test | 3.27 | | | | | |
| 32 | Ganpur | Manawar | 8.19 | 27.04 | Pumping test | 3.16 | 5.27 | 7.34 | 6.78 x10 ⁻⁵ | 10.55 | 7.91 |
| 33 | Khandlai | Manawar | 0.75 | 32.39 | PYT | 2.32 | 1.4 | 3.88 | | | |
| 34 | Marod | Manawar | 5.39 | 62.15 | PYT | 0.57 | 2.37 | 1.34 | | | |
| 35 | Wayal | Manawar | 0.08 | 37.92 | Slug test | 0.98 | | | | | |
| 36 | Aali | Nalchha | | | Slug test | 2.32 | | | | | |
| 37 | Bagri | Nalchha | | 50.3 | Slug test | 49.44 | | | | | |
| 38 | Baksana | Nalchha | 1.74 | 26.82 | PYT | 1.58 | 1.22 | 2.66 | | | |
| 39 | Gulwa | Nalchha | 0.08 | 15.66 | Slug test | 3.66 | | | | | |
| 40 | Kankalpura | Nalchha | 0.01 | 8.6 | Slug test | 0.98 | | | | | |
| 41 | Mewas Jamniya | Nalchha | 0 | 20.66 | Slug test | 26.36 | | | | | |
| 42 | Rayan | Nalchha | 8.2 | 30.45 | PYT | 0.19 | 0.16 | 0.49 | | | |
| 43 | Sulibadri | Nalchha | 0 | 21.86 | Slug test | 1.23 | | | | | |
| 44 | Badagaon | Nisarpur | 0.08 | 9.8 | Slug test | 52.68 | | | | | |
| 45 | Bhardpur | Nisarpur | 0.01 | 9.8 | Slug test | 0.39 | | | | | |
| 46 | Lohari | Nisarpur | 0.08 | 43.71 | Slug test | 0.06 | | | | | |
| 47 | Nanoda | Nisarpur | 0.08 | 31.17 | Slug test | 0.123 | | | | | |
| 48 | Amba | Sardarpur | 18.48 | 170.2 | Slug test | 3.25 | | | | | |
| 49 | Baramkhedi | Sardarpur | 0.08 | 84.55 | Slug test | 0.98 | | | | | |
| 50 | Bhopawar | Sardarpur | 0.21 | 37.15 | Slug test | 16.7 | | | | | |
| 51 | Chyan | Sardarpur | 18.48 | | Pumping test | 35.12 | 58.54 | 44.06 | 6.86 x10 ⁻³ | 131.71 | 105.37 |
| 52 | Dasai | Sardarpur | 0.43 | 11.25 | Slug test | 43.9 | | | | | |
| 53 | Khareli | Sardarpur | 0.01 | 12.61 | Slug test | 23.42 | | | | | |
| 54 | Kioli | Sardarpur | 5.39 | 15.45 | Pumping test | 26.37 | 29.3 | 35.65 | 1.30 x10 ⁻³ | 75.34 | 52.74 |

Table: 3.8 Details of Ground water exploration in Dhar District

| S. No. | Location | Block | Discharge | SWL | Test | Transm | issivity | Specific | Storativity | Transn | nissivity |
|--------|------------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------------|--------|----------|---------------|------------------------|--------|-----------|
| | | | (lps) | (mbmp) | | m²/ | day | Capacity | | m²/ | day |
| | | | | | | Jacob | Theis | (litre/min/m) | | Jacob | Theis |
| 55 | Piperni | Sardarpur | 0.08 | 13.83 | Slug test | 6.59 | | | | | |
| 56 | Sandla | Sardarpur | 24.08 | 10.2 | Pumping test | 21.62 | 54.06 | 26.27 | 2.70 x10 ⁻³ | 54.04 | 54.06 |
| 57 | Songarh | Sardarpur | 3.27 | 88.97 | APT | 1.24 | 3.72 | 3.13 | | | |
| 58 | Suhana | Sardarpur | 0 | 31.49 | Slug test | 33.94 | | | | | |
| 59 | Sunedi | Sardarpur | 0 | 8.89 | Slug test | 17.56 | | | | | |
| 60 | Tandakheda | Sardarpur | 0 | 117.8 | Slug test | 41.66 | | | | | |
| 61 | Bori | Tirla | 1.74 | 25.2 | PYT | 1.14 | 1.58 | 4.6 | | | |
| 62 | Dilwara | Tirla | 1.74 | 8.34 | PYT | 4.82 | 3.69 | 5.01 | | | |
| 63 | Oshra | Tirla | 4.25 | 93.03 | Pumping test | 7.59 | 10.55 | 11.69 | 3.05 x10 ⁻³ | 47.46 | 94.93 |
| 64 | Rehitiya | Tirla | 0.08 | 20.8 | Slug test | 0.54 | | | | | |
| 65 | Tirla | Tirla | 2.43 | 86.77 | Pump test | 0.35 | 1.36 | 1.45 | | | |
| 66 | Birampura | Umarban | 0.01 | 16.82 | Slug test | 6.7 | | | | | |
| 67 | Boharla | Umarban | 0.21 | 44.82 | Slug test | 3.14 | | | | | |
| 68 | Pahamoti | Umarban | 0.43 | 106.58 | Slug test | 5.4 | | | | | |
| 69 | Thangaon | Umarban | 0.01 | 5.99 | Slug test | 7.74 | | | | | |
| 70 | Tibediya | Umarban | 3.27 | 52.25 | PYT | 4.22 | 3.02 | 6.22 | | | |

| Table 3.9 | Details | of EW, | VES | and | NHS |
|-----------|----------------|--------|-----|-----|-----|
|-----------|----------------|--------|-----|-----|-----|

| | Dhar | | | | | | | | |
|-------|--------|---------|------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-------|--|--|--|
| SI.No | Object | Numbers | Total Depth in m | Main Aquifer | Thickness of Aquifer | Yield | | | |
| 1 | EW | 5 | 200 | Fractured, Vesicular Basalt | 0.5-3m | | | | |
| 2 | VES | 15 | 50 | Weathered Basalt | 2.5-9 | | | | |
| 3 | NHS | 1 | 16.5 | Weathered/ Fractured Basalt | | | | | |
| 4 | NHS | 2 | 50-120 | | | | | | |
| | | | | Nalcha | | | | | |
| 1 | EW | 6 | 200 | Fractured Basalt | 0.5-3m | 0.1- | | | |
| 2 | VES | 20 | 50 | Weathered Basalt | 3.4-14.90 | | | | |
| 3 | NHS | 1 | 15.11 | Weathered/ Fractured Basalt | | | | | |
| 4 | NHS | 3 | 50 | Fractured Basalt | 0.9 | Ν | | | |
| | | | | DAHI | | | | | |
| 1 | EW | 4 | 200 | Fractured Basalt | | | | | |
| 2 | VES | 3 | 50 | Weathered Basalt | 6.1-5.7 | | | | |
| 3 | NHS | | | Weathered/ Fractured Basalt | | | | | |
| 4 | NHS | | | Fractured Basalt | | | | | |

| Dharampuri | | | | | | |
|------------|----|---------|---------------------------------------|-----------|--|--|
| EW | 1 | 200 | Weathered/ Fractured/Vesicular Basalt | | | |
| VES | 11 | 50 | Weathered/ Fractured Basalt | 4.5-10.2m | | |
| PZ | 1 | 50 | Weathered Basalt/ Fractured | | | |
| NHS DW | 3 | 14.5-22 | Weathered Basalt/ Fractured | | | |
| | | Manawar | | _ | | |
| EW | 5 | 200 | Weathered/ Fractured/Vesicular Basalt | | | |
| VES | 14 | 50 | Weathered/ Vesicular Basalt | 3.3- | | |
| PZ | 1 | 95 | Weathered/ Fractured/Vesicular Basalt | | | |
| NHS DW | 2 | 20-Oct | Weathered/ Fractured/Vesicular Basalt | | | |

| Sardarpur | | | | | | |
|-----------|----|-------|---------------------------------------|------------|--|--|
| EW | 13 | 200 | Weathered/ Fractured/Vesicular Basalt | 0.35-3.50 | | |
| VES | 33 | 50 | Weathered/Vesicular Basalt | 2.2m - 9.8 | | |
| PZ | 4 | 30-90 | Weathered/ Fractured/Vesicular Basalt | | | |
| NHS DW | 5 | 10.7- | Weathered/ Fractured/Vesicular Basalt | | | |

| | | Bagh | | | |
|--------|----|-----------|-----------------------|----------|--|
| EW | 7 | 200 | Basalt, SST & Granite | 0.80-4.5 | |
| VES | 19 | 50 | BS,SST | 3.20m- | |
| PZ | 1 | 61 | Basalt, SST & Granite | | |
| NHS DW | 2 | 12.5-22.4 | BS,SST | | |

| Badnawar | | | | | | |
|----------|-----|----|---------|---------------------------------------|-----------|--|
| | EW | 7 | 200 | Weathered/ Fractured/Vesicular Basalt | | |
| | VES | 26 | 50 | Weathered/ Fractured/Vesicular Basalt | 2.4m-14.8 | |
| | PZ | 4 | 30-90 | Weathered/ Fractured/Vesicular Basalt | | |
| | NHS | 3 | 11.7-15 | Weathered/ Fractured/Vesicular Basalt | | |

Chapter-4 Ground Water Resources

The ground water resources have been assessed for two types of aquifer existing in the area i.e., Aquifer-I and Aquifer-II. The details of the assessment are discussed below.

4.1 Ground Water Resources – Aquifer-I

The ground water resource assessment has been carried out for Dhar district and the salient features of the resources are given in Table 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3.

As per Table 4.1, out of the total 815300 ha area, recharge worthy areas is812640 ha, command areas is 149070 ha and non-command areas 827202 ha, whereas 2660 ha area is not worthy for recharge on account of its hilly nature.

| Table 4.1: Ground Water Recharge Worthy | Areas for Resource Estimation as on 2020 |
|--|--|
|--|--|

| District | Predominant Formation | Total Geographical | Hilly Area (ha) | Recharge worthy area in | Ground Wat Worthy | er Recharge y Area |
|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|
| | | Area (ha) | | ha | Command area (ha) | Non- command area (ha) |
| Dhar | Deccan Trap, Bagh Formation | 815300 | 2660 | 812640 | 149070 | 663570 |

• Recharge Component as per GWRE-2020: -

During the monsoon season, the rainfall recharge is the main recharge parameter, which is estimated as the sum total of the change in storage and gross draft. The change in storage is computed by multiplying groundwater level fluctuation between pre and post monsoon periods with the area of assessment and specific yield. Monsoon recharge can be expressed as: -

 $R = h \times Sy \times A + DG$ Where,

- h = rise in water level in the monsoon season, Sy = specific yield
- A = area for computation of

recharge, DG = gross ground water draft

The monsoon ground water recharge has two components- rainfall recharge and recharge from other sources. The other sources of groundwater recharge during monsoon season include seepage from canals, surface water irrigation, tanks and ponds, ground water irrigation, and water conservation structures.

During the non-monsoon season, rainfall recharge is computed by using Rainfall Infiltration Factor (RIF) method. Recharge from other sources is then added to get total non-monsoon recharge.

The season wise assessment of recharge from various components such as rainfall and other sources was done and presented in Table 4.2 and Fig.4.1.



Fig.4.1: Recharge from various sources

Table 4.2 Season wise assessment of recharge from various components

| District | Recharge from rainfall during monsoon season (ham) | Recharge from other sources during monsoon season (ham) | Recharge from rainfall during non- monsoon season (ham) | Recharge from other sources during non- monsoon season (ham) | Total Annual Ground Water Recharge (ham) | Environmental flow in non- monsoon period (ham) | Annual Extractab le GW resource (ham) |
|----------|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Dhar | 84490.43 | 7124.47 | 0 | 22699.4 | 114314.30 | 9401.24 | 104913.07 |

The utilization of available ground water resources for various purposes is provided in **Table 4.3** and **Fig.4.2**. The annual gross draft for all uses is estimated at 77988.57 ham with irrigation sector being the major consumer having a draft of 73000.19 ham and ground water available for future irrigation is 50977.25 ham. The stage of ground water development is 68.88 %.

| Assessment unit | Ground water extraction for irrigation use in Ham | Ground water extraction for domestic use in Ham | Total extraction in Ham | Annual GW allocation for domestic use as on 2025 in Ham | Annual Extractable GW resource Ham | Stage of ground water extraction (%) | Categorization |
|--------------------|--|--|-------------------------------|--|---|--|----------------|
| Sardarpur | 9787.97 | 798.92 | 10586.89 | 929.18 | 6235.29 | 62.45 | safe |
| Manawar | 3029.73 | 448.20 | 3477.93 | 505.39 | 3288.79 | 50.97 | safe |
| Tirla | 6936.51 | 258.38 | 7194.89 | 303.22 | 378.65 | 94.44 | critical |
| Gandhwani | 2884.46 | 405.42 | 3289.89 | 457.49 | 4621.75 | 41.31 | safe |
| Bagh | 1389.93 | 353.41 | 1743.34 | 438.19 | 3617.34 | 32.01 | safe |
| Badnawar | 17344.77 | 565.90 | 17910.67 | 619.43 | 249.4 | 121.91 | over_exploited |
| Dhar | 13890.47 | 505.66 | 14396.12 | 560.92 | 0 | 155.40 | over_exploited |
| Umraban | 1950.624 | 347.87 | 2298.49 | 388.63 | 4153.77 | 35.40 | safe |
| Kukshi | 1272.56 | 279.98 | 1552.52 | 309.15 | 2083.97 | 42.35 | safe |
| Dahi | 2803.9 | 257.19 | 3061.08 | 276.03 | 3030.49 | 50.10 | safe |
| Dharampuri | 1964.93 | 471.55 | 2436.48 | 526.97 | 2989.27 | 44.45 | safe |
| Nisarpur | 1553.416 | 224.68 | 1778.1 | 247.56 | 1584.81 | 52.52 | safe |
| Nalchha | 12581.64 | 822.23 | 13403.87 | 1086.24 | 193.38 | 121.66 | over_exploited |
| District total | 77390.91 | 5739.37 | 83130.27 | 6648.4 | 32426.91 | 79.24 | |

Table: 4.3 Dynamic Ground Water Resources as on March- 2020



Fig.4.2: Annual Extractable GW and Total GW Extraction



Fig.4.3: GW extraction in Domestic and Irrigation Sector

| Components | Unit | Badnawar | Bagh | Dahi | Dhar | Dharampuri | Gandhwani | Kukshi | Manawar | Nalcha | Nisarpur | Sardarpur | Tirla | Umraban |
|---|--------------|----------|-------|-------|-------|------------|-----------|--------|---------|--------|----------|-----------|-------|---------|
| Recharge worthy Area | Sq km | 1037.4 | 512 | 482 | 602 | 429 | 736 | 343 | 555 | 784 | 353 | 1280 | 534 | 479 |
| Pre-monsoon (average) WL | m | 11.29 | 8.75 | 10.80 | 11.51 | 8.29 | 7.17 | 7.79 | 8.68 | 9.60 | 9.69 | 8.36 | 10.08 | 6.27 |
| Av. depth of Dug well | m | 14.38 | 10.69 | 13.39 | 16.18 | 17.55 | 11.03 | 13.50 | 13.63 | 12.92 | 13.15 | 14.05 | 13.38 | 13.85 |
| Specific yield(Sy)% | Fracti on | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Saturated thickness of aquifer (ST) | m | 3.09 | 1.94 | 2.59 | 4.67 | 9.26 | 3.86 | 5.71 | 4.95 | 3.32 | 3.46 | 5.69 | 3.30 | 7.58 |
| Resource (A * Sy * ST) | MCM | 64.15 | 19.85 | 24.97 | 56.17 | 79.45 | 56.81 | 39.17 | 54.89 | 52.06 | 24.43 | 145.66 | 35.24 | 72.62 |

Table 4.4: In-storage of shallow Aquifer-I.

| | Units | Total |
|--|----------|-------|
| Recharge worthy Area | Sq. km | 8126 |
| Pre-monsoon (average) depth to water level | m | 9.09 |
| Av. depth of Dug well | m | 13.67 |
| Specific yield (Sy)% | Fraction | 0.02 |
| Saturated thickness of aquifer (ST) | m | 4.57 |

4.2 Ground Water Resources – Aquifer-II

The ground water resource of the Aquifer –II was also assessed to have the correct quantification of resources so that proper management strategy can be framed. To assess these resources, the average thickness of fractures in deeper aquifers from exploratory wells was calculated and the following formula for static ground water resources was utilized i.e.,

GWR =Recharge worthy Area x Thickness of fractures in deep aquifer x Specific yield

By applying above formula, the ground water resource of Aquifer-II was estimated as 827 MCM and is presented below in Table 4.5.

| | Units | Total |
|---|----------|-----------|
| Recharge worthy Area | Sq.km | 8126 |
| Thickness of fracture in deeper aquifer | m | 5.1 |
| Specific yield (Sy)% | Fraction | 0.01/0.02 |
| Resource (A * Sy * ST) | МСМ | 827 |

Table 4.5: Ground Water Resources of Aquifer-II.

Chapter-5

Ground Water Related Issues

In the district there are many Groundwater issues both in quantity and quality wise. All the issues are described as follows.

5.1 Declining Water Level

The decline in the water level observed in major part of the district. The pre and post monsoon declining trend of one hydrograph prepared and presented in the Fig.5.1. The block wise decline in the trend of the hydrographs has been shown in the Part-II.



Fig.5.1: Hydrographs (2010-2020), Dhar District.

5.2 Low Ground Water Potential / Sustainability

The district is covered mostly with hard rock i.e. Deccan trap basalt, Bagh formation in parts of Bagh, Kukshi and Nisarpur Block and SW part of the district covered by Achaean.

Central Ground Water Board have been constructed 72 exploratory wells in the district and conducted hydrological test to decipher aquifer parameters in the study area. It is indicated that the aquifer sustainability or discharge are gradually decrease during lean period and sustainability of both the aquifers (I &II) are limited.

5.3 Deeper Water Levels

In Dhar district, deeper water levels is more than 20 mbgl have been observed during premonsoon season in deep aquifers. It is also revealed that the water level of deeper aquifer is also decline continuously.

5.4 Inferior Ground Water Quality

Central ground water board and WRD Govt. have been collected pre-monsoon water samples for quality analysis. 1252 samples are showing fluoride concentration more than more than permissible limit i.e. 1.50 mg/l.

27 groundwater samples collected from dug wells i.e. from shallow aquifers, in 18 samples the Nitrate concentration recorded more than permissible limit i.e. 45 mg/l as per BIS recommendation.

5.5 Increasing stage of Ground Water Extraction

Out of the 13 blocks Badnwar, Dhar, Nalcha and Tirla blocks falls under OCS category with stage of groundwater extraction are increase with respect to 2013, 121.91%, 155.40%, 121.65% and 94.44 % respectively Badnawar, Dharand Nalcha are categorized as Over-Exploited and Tirla Categorized as Critical, other 9 blocks are come under safe category. But the stage of extraction for each block are increasing in every year. The increasing stage of Ground water extraction for the blocks Dhar, Badnwar, Dhar, Nalcha and Tirla are presented as histogram in the Fig.5.2.



Fig 5.2 Comparison of Stage of GW Extraction

5.6. Improper well design in Basaltic formation: - Collapsible formations encountered during drilling in the area as an inter-trappean clay/red bole layer that situated in between two lava flow, which is collapsible in nature. It has also been observed that large numbers of deep tube wells constructed in the Basaltic formation with sufficient yield during drilling time but later on well collapse due to improper well design or faulty well construction design.

Chapter-6

Proposed Management Strategy

As discussed in previous chapter, there are many groundwater related issues owing to many socioeconomic and hydrogeological reasons. The groundwater management plan for Dhar district has been made keeping in view the area specific details and includes the strategies like enhancing the ground water resources through the construction of artificial recharge structures such as percolation tanks, check dams/nala bunds, recharge shafts, etc. and ensuring water use efficiency through maintenance/renovation of existing water bodies/water conservation structures. Also, adoption of micro irrigation technique such as sprinkler irrigation has been proposed, that would not only conserve ground water resources by reducing the draft, but would also increase the net cropping area thereby augmenting the agricultural economy of the district.

6.1 Supply side Management

Artificial recharge to ground water is one of the most efficient, scientifically proven and cost-effective technology to mitigate the problems of over exploitation of ground water resources. The artificial recharge techniques simultaneously rejuvenate the depleted ground water storage, reduces the ground water quality. The supply side management plan for Dhar district has been formulated using the basic concepts of hydrogeology. Sub-surface storage is calculated by multiplying the total area with the respective specific yield (considering the variable lithology) and the unsaturated zone thickness obtained by subtracting 3 mts from the post-monsoon water level. Thus, the surface water requirement to completely saturate the sub-surface Storage is obtained by multiplying a factor of 1.33 to available storage potential. A runoff coefficient factor of 0.16 has been considered for Dhar district to calculate the total surface water runoff, 30% of which accounts to the non-committed runoff which is available to sustain the proposed artificial recharge structures. Further, the number of structures has been calculated by allotting 35%, 40% 15% and 05% of non-committed runoff to Percolation tanks, Recharge shafts/Tube wells and Nala bunds/Check dams/Cement Plugs respectively. The remaining runoff is considered to restore the pre-existing village tanks, ponds and water conservation structures. A detailed calculation of the proposed artificial recharge structures is presented in the **Table 6.1**.

Based on the water level monitoring in different seasons in the district, as well as after having better understanding of the disposition and extent of the aquifer system through exploratory drilling, pumping tests etc. the volume of unsaturated zone available for recharge (upto 3m bgl). The annual uncommitted runoff is only 396 MCM which is about 50% of required water to fill the available void space of Aquifer-I. Artificial recharge and Water conservation plan is prepared for the over exploited critical, semi-critical and safe block of the district.

The suggested artificial recharge structures are mainly percolation tank, Nala bunds, Check Dams and Recharge Shafts. Selection of the site locations of these structures are based on the critical analysis of the hydrogeological, geophysical and exploration data of the basin. Particularly geomorphological and drainage aspects are being given more weightage in selection of the Artificial Recharge structures. The expected recharge through these artificial recharge structures is in the order of 69 MCM.

Out of 8153 sq.km geographical area of Dhar district, about 8126.40 sq.km., area has been identified for ground water development, where 693 percolation tank, 5944 Nala bund, 5282 Check dam,5282 recharge shaft/tube well, 1807 number of ponds/ village tanks to be renovated are recommended to be constructed in feasible areas. This accounts to a total of Rs. 681 crores to successfully implement the supply side management strategy. Table 6.2 represents the complete financial outlay plan for the district.

In Dhar district already many recharge structures are constructed (as per the data collected from Jilla Panchayat office, Dhar). The impact of existing structures those constructed

before the NAQUIM study are already reflecting in water level therefore, additional AR structures are required to full fill the sub storage. The total numbers of recharge structures constructed in the district are presented in the **Table 6.3**. Block wise supply side management strategy will be discussed in **Part-II.**

| | Units | |
|--------------------------------------|---------|------|
| Total Area | Sa Vm | 8153 |
| Area suitable for recharge | Sq Kili | 8126 |
| Sub-surface storage | | 559 |
| Sub-surface water required | | 773 |
| Surface water (Run-off) available | MCM | 1315 |
| Non-committed Run-off | | 396 |
| Percolation tank | | 693 |
| Recharge shaft | | 5282 |
| Check dam (CD) | No's | 5282 |
| NB/ CP | | 5944 |
| No of Villages | | 1807 |

 Table 6.1 (a): Ground Water Management– Supply Side

| Table 6.1 | (b): Ground | Water Managemen | t– Supply side. |
|------------|--------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| I abic 0.1 | (D). Or ound | i vi ater managemen | t Duppiy Sluce |

| Structures | Number | Cost in Crores |
|------------------------------------|--------|----------------|
| | 693 | 208 |
| Percolation Tanks | | |
| Check dams | 5282 | 317 |
| | | |
| | 5944 | 59 |
| NB/CP | | |
| | 5282 | 52 |
| Recharge shaft/ Tube well | | |
| | 1807 | 45 |
| Renovation of Village Ponds | | |
| Total Cost | | 681 |

| Block | Area (Sq. Km) | Normal Annual Rainfall (mm) | Average Post- monsoon Water Level - 2020 (m bgl) | Suitable Area for AR (sq.km) | Un- Saturated Zone (m) | Specific Yield | Sub- surface storage (mcm) | Sub- Surface water required (mcm) | Runoff /sq.km | Runoff (mcm) | Non Commuted Runoff (mcm) | Available water required/ Non Commuted Runoff (mcm) |
|------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|---|------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|---|
| Badnawar | 1064 | 856.50 | 7.37 | 1037 | 4.37 | 0.02 | 90.63 | 120.54 | 0.16 | 172.37 | 51.71 | 51.71 |
| Bagh | 512 | 856.50 | 6.54 | 512 | 3.54 | 0.03 | 54.37 | 72.32 | 0.16 | 82.94 | 24.88 | 24.88 |
| Dahi | 482 | 856.50 | 6.43 | 482 | 3.43 | 0.02 | 33.07 | 43.98 | 0.16 | 78.08 | 23.43 | 23.43 |
| Dhar | 602 | 856.50 | 8.19 | 602 | 5.19 | 0.02 | 62.49 | 83.11 | 0.16 | 97.52 | 29.26 | 29.26 |
| Dharampuri | 429 | 856.50 | 5.86 | 429 | 2.86 | 0.02 | 24.54 | 32.64 | 0.16 | 69.50 | 20.85 | 20.85 |
| Gandhwani | 736 | 856.50 | 4.21 | 736 | 1.21 | 0.02 | 17.81 | 23.69 | 0.16 | 119.23 | 35.77 | 35.77 |
| Kukshi | 343 | 856.50 | 6.49 | 343 | 3.49 | 0.02 | 23.94 | 31.84 | 0.16 | 55.57 | 16.67 | 16.67 |
| Manawar | 555 | 856.50 | 6.82 | 555 | 3.82 | 0.02 | 42.40 | 56.39 | 0.16 | 89.91 | 26.97 | 26.97 |
| Nalcha | 784 | 856.50 | 5.84 | 784 | 2.84 | 0.02 | 44.53 | 59.23 | 0.16 | 127.01 | 38.10 | 38.10 |
| Nisarpur | 353 | 856.50 | 7.4 | 353 | 4.4 | 0.03 | 46.60 | 61.97 | 0.16 | 57.19 | 17.16 | 17.16 |
| Sardarpur | 1280 | 856.50 | 5.85 | 1280 | 2.85 | 0.02 | 72.96 | 97.04 | 0.16 | 207.36 | 62.21 | 62.21 |
| Tirla | 534 | 856.50 | 6.78 | 534 | 3.78 | 0.02 | 40.37 | 53.69 | 0.16 | 86.51 | 25.95 | 25.95 |
| Umraban | 479 | 856.50 | 3.55 | 479 | 0.55 | 0.02 | 5.27 | 7.01 | 0.16 | 77.60 | 23.28 | 23.28 |
| | 8153 | | | 8126 | | | 558.98 | 743.44 | 988.78 | 1315.08 | 396.24 | |

Table 6.3 Supply side Management-AR structures

| Block | Resour ce water for Percola tion tanks (mcm) | No of percolati on tanks | Cost of percolati on tanks in crores @ 0.20 crores / pt | Resour ce water for Check Dams with RS (mcm) | No of Check Dams | Cost of Check Dams in crores @ 0.06 crores / pt | No of Rechar ge shaft in each CD | Cost of Recharg e shaft in each CD @ 0.001cro re | Resour ce water for Nala bunds/ Cement plugs (mcm) | No of nala bunds/cem ent plugs | Cost of nala bund/ceme nt plugs in crores @0.01 crores /NB,CP | Resour ce water for Village Ponds mcm | No of village ponds / Farm Ponds in each village | Cost of village pond in crores |
|------------|---|--------------------------------|---|--|------------------------|---|--|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|---|--|---|
| Badnawar | 18.10 | 90 | 27 | 20.68 | 689 | 41.34 | 689 | 6.89 | 7.76 | 776 | 7.76 | 2.59 | 139 | 3.48 |
| Bagh | 8.71 | 44 | 13.2 | 9.95 | 332 | 19.92 | 332 | 3.32 | 3.73 | 373 | 3.73 | 1.24 | 139 | 3.48 |
| Dahi | 8.20 | 41 | 12.3 | 9.37 | 312 | 18.72 | 312 | 3.12 | 3.51 | 351 | 3.51 | 1.17 | 139 | 3.48 |
| Dhar | 10.24 | 51 | 15.3 | 11.70 | 390 | 23.4 | 390 | 3.9 | 4.39 | 439 | 4.39 | 1.46 | 139 | 3.48 |
| Dharampuri | 7.30 | 36 | 10.8 | 8.34 | 278 | 16.68 | 278 | 2.78 | 3.13 | 313 | 3.13 | 1.04 | 139 | 3.48 |
| Gandhwani | 12.52 | 63 | 18.9 | 14.31 | 477 | 28.62 | 477 | 4.77 | 5.37 | 537 | 5.37 | 1.79 | 139 | 3.48 |
| Kukshi | 5.83 | 29 | 8.7 | 6.67 | 222 | 13.32 | 222 | 2.22 | 2.50 | 250 | 2.5 | 0.83 | 139 | 3.48 |
| Manawar | 9.44 | 47 | 14.1 | 10.79 | 360 | 21.6 | 360 | 3.6 | 4.05 | 405 | 4.05 | 1.35 | 139 | 3.48 |
| Nalcha | 13.34 | 67 | 20.1 | 15.24 | 508 | 30.48 | 508 | 5.08 | 5.72 | 572 | 5.72 | 1.91 | 139 | 3.48 |
| Nisarpur | 6.00 | 30 | 9 | 6.86 | 229 | 13.74 | 229 | 2.29 | 2.57 | 257 | 2.57 | 0.86 | 139 | 3.48 |
| Sardarpur | 21.77 | 109 | 32.7 | 24.88 | 829 | 49.74 | 829 | 8.29 | 9.33 | 933 | 9.33 | 3.11 | 139 | 3.48 |
| Tirla | 9.08 | 45 | 13.5 | 10.38 | 346 | 20.76 | 346 | 3.46 | 3.89 | 389 | 3.89 | 1.30 | 139 | 3.48 |
| Umraban | 8.15 | 41 | 12.3 | 9.31 | 310 | 18.6 | 310 | 3.1 | 3.49 | 349 | 3.49 | 1.16 | 139 | 3.48 |

Table 6.4 Financial Layout of Supply side Management-AR structures

The four Blocks namely Badnwar, Dhar, Nalcha and Tirla fall under OCS category with sage of 121.91%, 155.40%,121.65% and 94.44% respectively Badnawar, Dhar and Nalchha are categorized as Over-Exploited and Tirla Categorized as Critical. The availability has to be augmented through artificial recharge methods to bridge the gap between draft and availability. The draft can be reduced through application of water efficiency methods in irrigation sector and through changing the irrigation practices from wet to dry cash crops.

The artificial recharge structures may be augmentation of ground water reservoir by modifying the natural movement of surface water utilizing suitable civil construction techniques. Artificial recharge techniques normally address to the following issues:

- To enhance the sustainability in aquifer specially during lean period.
- Conservation and storage of excess surface water for future requirements, since these requirements often change within a season or a period
- Fluoride contamination is one of the majors issued in the district and concentration more than permissible limit is recorded in 1252 hand pumps of PHED. in order to prevent the situation of high fluoride contents in shallow and deeper aquifers of the area, artificial recharge techniques to be used to reduce the fluoride concentration in ground water.

6.2 Demand Side Management

However, considering the low storage potential of hard rock aquifer in the area the above ground water development plan should also be coupled with ground water augmentation plan, so that there is no stress on ground water regime of the area. Micro irrigation technologies such as drip and sprinkler systems are being increasingly promoted as technological solutions for achieving water conservation. Micro irrigation comprises two technologies—drip and sprinkler irrigation. Both saves conveyance losses and improve water application efficiency by applying water near the root-zone of the plant some benefits of the micro-irrigation have been listed below:

- It enables farmers to grow crops which would not be possible under conventional systems since it can irrigate adequately with lower water quantities.
- It saves costs of hired labour and other inputs like fertilizer.
- It reduces the energy needs for pumping, thus reducing energy per ha of irrigation because of its reduced water needs. However, overall energy needs of the agriculture sector may not get reduced because most farmers use the increased water efficiency to bring more area under irrigation.

| Net GW Availability | MCM | 1049.13 |
|---|---------|---------|
| Gross Draft | INICIVI | 831.30 |
| Stage of GW Extraction | % | 79.24 |
| Saving by Sprinkler in MCM | | 79.08 |
| Additional recharge created by AR | | 300.92 |
| After intervention of AR Structure Net GW Avl. | MCM | 1350.05 |
| After intervention of AR Structure & utilization of 60% of additional GW created. | | 498.10 |
| Additional area irrigated in safe blocks by GW after intervention | На | 824 |
| Stage of GW Extraction after implementation | % | 69 |

| Table 6.5: 1 | Proposed | Demand | Side | Interventions |
|---------------------|----------|--------|------|---------------|
|---------------------|----------|--------|------|---------------|

6.4 Post-Intervention Impact

The expected outcome of the proposed interventions from both supply side and demand side has been described in Table no 6.4,6.5 &6.6. It can be envisaged that the Stage of ground water extraction for the entire Dhar district. The stage of ground water extraction will be improved after implying and successful implementation of proposed interventions. The additional area is also to be proposed in safe blocks for increase the ground water development.

6.5 Ground Water Quality

• Remediation of nitrate:

- 1. Less consumption of nitrogen containing fertilizers.
- 2. Proper disposal of domestic and industrial effluents through sewage treatment plants.

• Management of fluoride contaminated wells:

- 1. Dilution: Artificial recharge of wells contaminated with Fluoride and dilution
- 2. Lowering of well assembly: lowering of assembly for sealing the contaminated zone thereby tapping only the available fresh water zone above or below fluoride affected aquifer
- 3. Chemical treatment: Nalgonda technique contaminated water is mixed with alum, lime and bleaching powder.



Fig:6.1 Well assembly for prevention of fluoride

• Well Construction Design (Collapsing Structure): The faulty well design in which part assembly are not placed or lowered properly against the collapsible formation (inter-

trappean clay/ contact zone) encountered at various intervening depth. The thickness of red bole varies from few centimetres to 3m approximately meter generally occurring at variable depth. CGWB generally constructed well in collapsible formation with part well assembly and recommended well design in the Basaltic area is as given below:



Fig:6.2 Recommended well design in the area

Table 6.6: Proposed demand Side Interventions

| Block | Annual Extractable GW resource(mcm) | GW Draft for Irrigation (mcm) | GW Draft for Domestic & Industrial (mcm) | Gross Draft (mcm) | Stage of GW Extraction % | Saving by Sprinkler in MCM | Additional recharge created by AR (mcm) | After intervention of AR Structure Net GW Avl.(mcm) | After intervention of AR Structure & utilisation of 60% of additional GW created. | Draft after sprinkler & additional area created for agriculture (mcm) | Stage of Development W/O GW use for additional Area Irrigation % | Additional area proposed for irrigation in safe Block by GW (ha) |
|------------|--|--|---|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|---|---|---|---|
| BADNAWAR | 146.92 | 173.45 | 5.65 | 179.100 | 121.90 | 26.02 | 43.95 | 190.87 | 26.37 | 179.45 | 94.02 | |
| BAGH | 54.45 | 13.9 | 3.53 | 17.430 | 32.01 | 0.00 | 21.15 | 75.60 | 12.69 | 30.12 | 39.84 | 3173 |
| DAHI | 61.1 | 28.04 | 2.57 | 30.610 | 50.10 | 0.00 | 19.91 | 81.01 | 11.95 | 42.56 | 52.53 | 2987 |
| DHAR | 92.64 | 138.91 | 5.05 | 143.960 | 155.40 | 20.84 | 24.87 | 117.51 | 14.92 | 138.05 | 117.48 | |
| DHARAMPURI | 54.81 | 19.65 | 4.71 | 24.360 | 44.44 | 2.95 | 17.72 | 72.53 | 10.63 | 32.04 | 44.18 | 2658 |
| GANDHWANI | 79.64 | 28.84 | 4.05 | 32.890 | 41.30 | 0.00 | 30.4 | 110.04 | 18.24 | 51.13 | 46.46 | 4560 |
| KUKSHI | 36.66 | 12.73 | 2.79 | 15.520 | 42.33 | 0.00 | 14.17 | 50.83 | 8.50 | 24.02 | 47.26 | 2126 |
| MANAWAR | 68.24 | 30.3 | 4.48 | 34.780 | 50.97 | 0.00 | 22.93 | 91.17 | 13.76 | 48.54 | 53.24 | 3440 |
| NALCHA | 110.18 | 125.82 | 8.22 | 134.040 | 121.66 | 18.87 | 32.39 | 142.57 | 19.43 | 134.60 | 94.41 | |
| NISARPUR | 33.86 | 15.53 | 2.24 | 17.770 | 52.48 | 0.00 | 14.58 | 48.44 | 8.75 | 26.52 | 54.74 | 2187 |
| TIRLA | 76.18 | 69.37 | 2.58 | 71.950 | 94.45 | 10.41 | 22.06 | 98.24 | 13.24 | 74.78 | 76.12 | |
| UMRABAN | 64.93 | 19.51 | 3.47 | 22.980 | 35.39 | 0.00 | 19.79 | 84.72 | 11.87 | 34.85 | 41.14 | 2969 |
| SARDARPUR | 169.52 | 98 | 8 | 106.000 | 62.53 | 0.00 | 17 | 186.52 | 10.20 | 116.20 | 62.30 | 2550 |

| Block | No of percolation tanks | Cost of percolation tanks in crores @ 0.20 crores / pt | No of Check Dams | Cost of Check Dams in crores @0.06 crores / pt | No of Recharge shaft in each CD | Cost of Recharge shaft in each CD | No of nala bunds/cement plugs | Cost of nala bund/cement plugs in crores | No of village ponds/ Farm Ponds in each village | Cost of village pond in crores |
|------------|-------------------------------|---|------------------------|--|--|--|-------------------------------------|---|---|--------------------------------------|
| BADNAWAR | 90 | 27 | 689 | 41.34 | 689 | 6.89 | 776 | 7.76 | 139 | 3.48 |
| BAGH | 44 | 13.2 | 332 | 19.92 | 332 | 3.32 | 373 | 3.73 | 139 | 3.48 |
| DAHI | 41 | 12.3 | 312 | 18.72 | 312 | 3.12 | 351 | 3.51 | 139 | 3.48 |
| DHAR | 51 | 15.3 | 390 | 23.4 | 390 | 3.9 | 439 | 4.39 | 139 | 3.48 |
| DHARAMPURI | 36 | 10.8 | 278 | 16.68 | 278 | 2.78 | 313 | 3.13 | 139 | 3.48 |
| GANDHWANI | 63 | 18.9 | 477 | 28.62 | 477 | 4.77 | 537 | 5.37 | 139 | 3.48 |
| KUKSHI | 29 | 8.7 | 222 | 13.32 | 222 | 2.22 | 250 | 2.5 | 139 | 3.48 |
| MANAWAR | 47 | 14.1 | 360 | 21.6 | 360 | 3.6 | 405 | 4.05 | 139 | 3.48 |
| NALCHA | 67 | 20.1 | 508 | 30.48 | 508 | 5.08 | 572 | 5.72 | 139 | 3.48 |
| NISARPUR | 30 | 9 | 229 | 13.74 | 229 | 2.29 | 257 | 2.57 | 139 | 3.48 |
| SARDARPUR | 109 | 32.7 | 829 | 49.74 | 829 | 8.29 | 933 | 9.33 | 139 | 3.48 |
| TIRLA | 45 | 13.5 | 346 | 20.76 | 346 | 3.46 | 389 | 3.89 | 139 | 3.48 |
| UMRABAN | 41 | 12.3 | 310 | 18.6 | 310 | 3.1 | 349 | 3.49 | 139 | 3.48 |
| Total | 693.00 | 207.90 | 5282.00 | 316.92 | 5282.00 | 52.82 | 5944.00 | 59.44 | 1807.00 | 45.18 |

Table 6.7: Financial outlay of Management Plan

Ground Water Management plan for OCS Blocks

| | 1. AQUIFER MAPS AND MANAGEMENT PLAN BADNAWAR BLOCK |
|---|--|
| Geographical area | 1064.00 Sq. km |
| Basin/Sub Basin | Narmada Basin |
| Principal Aquifer System | Deccan trap |
| Major Aquifer System | Weathered, Fractured and Vesicular basalt |
| Normal Annual Rainfall | 856.5mm |
| | Aquifer Disposition |
| Aquifer Disposition | Two Types of Aquifer System Shallow Aquifers: Weathered, Vesicular and Fractured Basalt. Deep Aquifers: Vesicular and Fractured Basalt. |
| Status of GW Exploration: | Exploratory well: 07 Observation well: 02 Piezometers well: 03 |
| Aquifer Characteristics: | Aquifer I : Depth of Occurrence (m bgl): 3 to 30, Average Thickness (m): 15m. DTWL (m bgl): 0.15 – 9.60 during Post-monsoon and 9-19 during Pre-monsoon Yield: 20-40 (m ² /day), Specific yield: Basalt: 0.02. Aquifer II: Depth of Occurrence (m bgl): 30 m to 200m, Average Thickness of aquifer (m): 10-15 m. DTWL (m bgl): 11.20 – 14.60 during Post-monsoon and 12 -32.00 during Pre- monsoon Yield :150-1123 (m ³ /day) |
| Groundwater Monitoring Status: | NHS – 06 |
| Groundwater Quality: | In general ground water quality is Good and potable. |
| Aquifer Potential: | Potential aquifer are Weathered, fractured and Vesicular Basalt |
| Dynamic Groundwater Resource(As on March 2020): | Annual Extractable Ground Water: 14691 ham, Existing Gross Ground Water Extraction for All Uses: 17910.67 ham, Stage of Ground Water Extraction: 122 % |

| | Aquifer Management plan |
|--------------------|---|
| Groundwater | Over Exploited Block |
| Management Issues: | GW level decline trend |
| | Low potential and sustainability aquifer |
| | Fluoride concentration in pockets |
| Groundwater | 1. Demand Side Management Plan Adoption of micro-irrigation techniques |
| Management Plan: | to increase water use Efficiency viz. sprinkler, drip irrigation etc. |
| | 2. Supply side Management water conservation and Artificial Recharge to |
| | groundwater. |
| | Structures to be proposed: Percolation tank: 90 Check dam and Recharge shaft- |
| | 689, Village pond- 139, Nala bund/cement plugs in-776 |
| AR & Conservation | Arrest Decline in Groundwater levels |
| Possibilities: | Sustainability of well and Rabi crop area may be Increase and stage of ground |
| | water may also be improved. |
| | |

| S. No. | Block Name | Avg. Pre-monsoon WL in m | Avg. Post-monsoon WL in m | Avg. WL Fluctuation in m |
|--------|------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1 | Badnawar | 11.29 | 7.37 | 3.92 |

GENERAL INFORMATION, BADNAWAR BLOCK, DHAR DISTRICT



AQUIFER DISPOSITION, BADNAWAR BLOCK, DHAR DISTRICT



Fig:6.3 Hydrogeological and Aquifer Disposition of Badnawar Block
| In | formation for Dhar Block, Madhya Pradesh |
|--|--|
| State Name | Madhya Pradesh |
| District name | Dhar |
| Block Name | Dhar |
| Geographical area | 602.00 Sq. km |
| Basin/Sub Basin | Narmada Basin |
| Principal Aquifer System | Deccan trap |
| Major Aquifer System | Weathered, Fractured and Vesicular basalt |
| Normal Annual Rainfall | 856.5mm |
| | |
| | Aquifer Disposition |
| Aquifer Disposition | Two Types of Aquifer System Shallow Aquifers: Weathered, Vesicular and Fractured Basalt. Deep Aquifers: Vesicular and Fractured Basalt. |
| Status of GW Exploration: | Exploratory wells :05 Observation Well : 03 Piezometers well: 03 |
| Aquifer Characteristics: | Aquifer I : Depth of Occurrence (m bgl): 3 to 30, Average Thickness (m): 15m. DTWL(m bgl): 0.9 – 11.60 during Post-monsoon and 5-19 during Pre-monsoon Yield : 20-40 (m²/day), Specific yield: Basalt: 0.02. Aquifer II: Depth of Occurrence (m bgl): 30 m to 200m, Average Thickness of aquifer (m): 10-15 m. DTWL(m bgl): 11.42 -28 during Post-monsoon and 15-36 during Pre-monsoon Yield :64-1555 (m³/day) |
| Groundwater Monitoring Status: | NHS – 04 |
| Groundwater Quality: | In general ground water quality is Good and potable. |
| Aquifer Potential: | Potential aquifer are Weathered, fractured and Vesicular Basalt |
| Dynamic Groundwater Resource(As on March 2020): | Annual Extractable Ground Water : 9263.85ham, Existing Gross Ground Water Extraction for All Uses: 14396.12 ham, Stage of Ground Water Extraction: 155% |

| Aquifer Management plan | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Groundwater Management Issues: | Over Exploited Block GW level decline trend Low potential and sustainability aquifer | | |
| Groundwater Management Plan: | Demand Side Management Plan Adoption of micro-irrigation techniques to increase water use Efficiency viz. sprinkler, drip irrigation etc. Supply side Management water conservation and Artificial Recharge to groundwater. Structures to be proposed: Check dam and Recharge shaft-390, Nala bund/cement plugs in- 439, village ponds/ Farm Ponds- 139 and percolation tank:51 | | |
| AR & Conservation Possibilities: | Arrest Decline in Groundwater levels Sustainability of well and Rabi crop area may be Increase and stage of ground water may also be improved. | | |





Fig:6.4 Hydrogeological, Aquifer disposition

| Information for Nalchha Block, Madhya Pradesh | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| State Name | For Aquifer Information and Management System | | |
| District name | Dhar | | |
| Block Name | Nalehha | | |
| Geographical area | 784.00 Sa. km | | |
| Basin/Sub Basin | Normada Basin | | |
| Dasiii/Sub Dasiii Dringing1 Aquifor System | Natiliada Basili | | |
| Maian A suifan Sustan | Weathered Exectured and Vasicular baselt | | |
| Major Aquifer System | weathered, Fractured and Vesicular basalt | | |
| Normal Annual Rainfall | 856.5mm | | |
| | Aquifer Disposition | | |
| Aquifer Disposition | Two Types of Aquifer System | | |
| 1 1 | Shallow Aquifers: Weathered, Vesicular and Fractured Basalt. | | |
| | Deep Aquifers: Vesicular and Fractured Basalt. | | |
| C CON | | | |
| Status of GW | Exploratory wells :0/ | | |
| Exploration: | Piezometers well: 04 | | |
| | | | |
| Aquifer Characteristics: | Aquifer I : Depth of Occurrence (m bgl): 3 to 30, | | |
| | Average Thickness (m): 15m. | | |
| | DTWL(m bgl): $0.60 - 8.68$ in Post-monsoon and $2.80 - 12.20$ in Pre-monsoon | | |
| | Yield: 20-40 (m ² /day), Specific yield: Becelt: 0.02 | | |
| | Aquifer II: Depth of Occurrence (m bol): 30 m to 200m Average Thickness of | | |
| | aquifer (m): 10-15 m. | | |
| | DTWL (m bgl): 4.38 – 14.38 in Post-monsoon and 5.88 -15.43 in Pre-monsoon | | |
| | Yield: 129 to 1641 (m^{3}/day) | | |
| | | | |
| Groundwater Monitoring | NHS – 05 | | |
| Status: | | | |
| Groundwater Ouality: | In general ground water quality is Good and potable. | | |
| | | | |
| Aquifer Potential: | Potential aquifer are Weathered, fractured and Vesicular Basalt | | |
| Dynamic Groundwater | Annual Extractable Ground Water: 11017.75 ham, Existing Gross Ground Water | | |
| Resource(As on March | Extraction for All Uses: 13403 ham, Stage of Ground Water Extraction: 121.66 % | | |
| 2020): | | | |



AQUIFER DISPOSITION , NALCHHA BLOCK, DHAR DISTRICT



Fig:6.5 Hydrogeological, Aquifer disposition in Nalchha Block

| Salient Information | | |
|--|--|--|
| State Name | Madhya Pradesh | |
| District name | Dhar | |
| Block Name | Tirla | |
| Geographical area | 534.00 Sq. km | |
| Basin/Sub Basin | Narmada Basin | |
| Principal Aquifer | Deccan trap | |
| Major Aquifer System | Weathered, Fractured and Vesicular basalt | |
| Normal Annual Rainfall | 856.5mm | |
| | | |
| | Aquifer Disposition | |
| Aquifer Disposition | Two Types of Aquifer System Shallow Aquifers : Weathered, Vesicular and Fractured Basalt. Deep Aquifers : Vesicular and Fractured Basalt. | |
| Status of GW Exploration: | Exploratory wells :04 Observation Well : 02 | |
| Aquifer Characteristics: | Aquifer I : Depth of Occurrence (m bgl): 3 to 30, Average Thickness (m): 15m. DTWL(m bgl): 0.95 - 4.40 during Post-monsoon and 7.35 - 16 during Pre- monsoon Yield : 20-40 (m²/day), Specific yield: Basalt: 0.02. Aquifer II: Depth of Occurrence (m bgl): 30 m to 200m, Average Thickness of aquifer (m): 10-15 m. DTWL(m bgl): 11.42 -28 during Post-monsoon and 15-36 during Pre-monsoon Yield :75- 432(m³/day) | |
| Groundwater Monitoring Status: | NHS – 05 | |
| Groundwater Quality: | In general ground water quality is Good and potable. | |
| Aquifer Potential: | Potential aquifer are Weathered, fractured and Vesicular Basalt | |
| Dynamic Groundwater Resource(As on March 2020): | Annual Extractable Ground Water : 7618.38 ham, Existing Gross Ground Water Extraction for All Uses: 7194.89 ham, Stage of Ground Water Extraction: 94.44 % | |

| Aquifer Management plan | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Groundwater Management Issues: | Semi Critical Block GW level decline trend Low potential and sustainability aquifer | | |
| Groundwater Management Plan: | Demand Side Management Plan Adoption of micro-irrigation techniques to increase water use Efficiency viz. sprinkler, drip irrigation etc. Supply side Management water conservation and Artificial Recharge to groundwater. Structures to be constructed: Check dam and Recharge shaft-346, Percolation tank- 45 Nala bund/cement plugs in-389, village ponds/ Farm Ponds- 139. | | |
| AR & Conservation Possibilities: | Arrest Decline in Groundwater levels Sustainability of well and Rabi crop area may be Increase and stage of ground water may also be improved. | | |





HYDROGEOLOGICAL AND DTWL MAPS

AQUIFER DISPOSITION , TIRLA BLOCK, DHAR DISTRICT



Fig:6.6 Hydrogeological, Aquifer disposition of Tirla Block

Ground Water Management Plan of Safe Blocks 2. AQUIFER MAPS AND MANAGEMENT PLAN OF BAGH BLOCK

| SALIENT INFORMATION | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------------------|------------|----------------------------|--|
| Block Bagh | | | | |
| Area | | Sq Km | 512 | |
| Population (2011 CE | NSUS) | | 156046 | |
| Normal Rainfall | | millimeter | 954.7 | |
| | | | | |
| Land use and | Principal crops | | Soyabean, Groundnut, Til, | |
| Agriculture | | | Ramtil, Linseeds, Mustard, | |
| | | | Rice, Wheat, Jowar, Maize, | |
| | | | Kodokutki | |
| | Gross cropped area | Sq Km | 302.82 | |
| | Net sown area | | 245.98 | |
| | Area sown more than once | | 56.75 | |
| | Cropping intensity | % | 123% | |
| | Area under forest | Sq Km | 144.85 | |
| | Area under Waste land | | 3.78 | |
| Data Utilized | Monitoring Wells for | | DW-2 | |
| | Water Level | | | |
| | Monitoring Wells for | | Dw-2 | |
| | Quality | | | |
| Water level | Pre-monsoon WL | meter | 9.46 | |
| behavior | Post-monsoon WL | | 7.28 | |
| | Pre-monsoon WL Trend | (m/yr) | Rising 0.1736 | |
| | Post-monsoon WL Trend | 1 | Rising 0.10088 | |
| | | | _ | |

| | 1.2 AQUIFER DISPOSITION | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Major Aquifer | Basalt /Granitoids | | |
| Type of Aquifer | Aquifer-I Aquifer-II | | |
| Formation | Weathered Basalt | Jointed / Fractured Basalt | |
| Depth of Occurrence | 1 to 37 | 88-161,141-160, 181-200 | |
| (mbgl) | | | |
| SWL (mbgl) | 3.40-17.40 | 10-22 | |
| Weathered / Fractured | 0-14 | 0.50 to 17 | |
| rocks thickness (m) | | | |
| Fractures encountered | Upto 30 | Upto 200 | |
| (mbgl) | | | |
| Yield | - | | |
| Transmissivity (m ² /day) | - | | |

| Ground Water Resource, Extraction, Contamination and Other Issues | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|-------|-------------|--|
| DYNAMIC | Type of Rock formation | | Deccan trap | |
| GROUNDWATE | Recharge worthy area | Sq Km | 512 | |
| R RESOURCES | Command area | _ | 40.07 | |
| 2020 | Non-Command area | | 471.93 | |
| | Recharge From Rain | MCM | 50.43 | |
| | Fall During Monsoon | | | |
| | Season | | | |
| | Recharge From other | | 1.74 | |
| | sources During | | | |
| | Monsoon Season | | | |
| | Recharge From Rain | | 00 | |
| | Fall During Non- | | | |
| | Monsoon Season | | | |
| | Recharge From other | | 5.14 | |
| | sources During non- | | | |
| | Monsoon Season | | | |
| | Total Recharge | | 57.32 | |
| | Annual Extractable | | 54.45 | |
| | Groundwater Recharge | | | |
| | Existing Gross Ground | | 13.89 | |
| | Water Draft for | | | |
| | Irrigation | | | |
| | Existing Gross Ground | | 0 | |
| | Water Draft for | | | |
| | Industrial Water Supply | | | |
| | Existing Gross Ground | | 3.53 | |
| | Water Draft for | | | |
| | Domestic Water Supply | | | |
| | Existing Gross Ground | | 17.43 | |
| | Water Draft for All Uses | | | |
| | Annual GW Allocation | | 4.38 | |
| | for for Domestic Use | | | |
| | as on 2025 | | | |
| | Net Ground Water | | 36.17 | |
| | Availability for Future | | | |
| | Irrigation Development | | | |
| | Stage of Ground Water | % | 32.01 | |
| | Extraction | | | |
| | Category | | Safe | |

| Ground Water Related Issue | es |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Declining water level | Declining water level observed both in pre and post-monsoon in |
| | major part of the block and low stage of ground water extraction |
| Low Ground Water | The block is covered by Deccan trap basalt, Bagh Formation and |
| Potential / Limited Aquifer | Achaean Granite. |
| Thickness / | |
| Low Sustainability and High | |
| runoff | |

| Block | Bagh |
|---|--------|
| Area (Sq.KM) | 512 |
| Normal Annual Rainfall (mm) | 856.50 |
| Suitable Area for AR (sq.km) | 512 |
| Specific Yield | 0.03 |
| Sub-surface storage (mcm) | 54.37 |
| Sub-Surface water required (mcm) | 72.32 |
| Non Commuted Runoff (mcm) | 24.88 |
| Avaliable water required/ Non Commuted Runoff (mcm) | 24.88 |
| Resource water for Percolation tanks (mcm) | 8.71 |
| No of percolation tanks | 44 |
| Resource water for Check Dams with RS (mcm) | 9.95 |
| No of Check Dams | 332 |
| No of Recharge shaft in each CD | 332 |
| Resource water for Nala bunds/ Cement plugs (mcm) | 3.73 |
| No of nala bunds/cement plugs | 373 |
| Resource water for Village Ponds mcm | 1.24 |
| No of village ponds/ Farm Ponds in each village | 139 |

| Block | BAGH |
|--|-------|
| Annual Extractable Ground Water Resource | 54.45 |
| GW Draft for Irrigation | 13.9 |
| GW Draft for Domestic & Industrial | 3.53 |
| Gross Draft | 17.43 |
| Stage of Development | 32.01 |
| Saving by Sprinkler in MCM | 2.78 |
| Additional recharge created by AR | 21.15 |
| After intervention of AR Structure Net GW Avl. | 75.61 |
| After intervention of AR Structure & utilization of 60% of additional GW | 12.69 |
| Draft after sprinkler & additional area created for agriculture | 44.96 |
| Stage of Development W/O GW use for additional Area Irrigation | 59.47 |

4. Aquifer Maps and Management Plan of Dahi Block

| 1.1 SALIENT INFORMATION | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------|----------------------------|--|
| Block | DAHI | | | |
| Area | | Sq Km | 482 | |
| Population (2011 CE | NSUS) | | 114242 | |
| Normal Rainfall | | millimeter | 954.7 | |
| | | | | |
| Land use and | Principal crops | | Sovabean Groundnut Til | |
| Agriculture | | | Ramtil, Linseeds, Mustard, | |
| 8 | | | Rice. Wheat. Jowar. | |
| | | | Maize, Kodokutki | |
| | Gross cropped area | Sq Km | 265 | |
| | Net sown area | | 209 | |
| | Area sown more than once | | 55.84 | |
| | Cropping intensity | % | 126.79 | |
| | Area under forest | Sq Km | 162.20 | |
| | Area under Waste land | | 0.75 | |
| Data Utilized | Monitoring Wells for | | | |
| | Water Level | | | |
| | Monitoring Wells for | | | |
| | Quality | | | |
| Water level | Pre-monsoon WL | meter | | |
| behavior | Post-monsoon WL | | | |
| | Pre-monsoon WL Trend | (m/yr) | | |
| | Post-monsoon WL Trend | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| 1.2 AQUIFER DISPOSITION | | | |
|--|--------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Major Aquifer | Basalt /Granitoids | | |
| Type of Aquifer | Aquifer-I | Aquifer-II | |
| Formation | Weathered Basalt | Jointed / Fractured Basalt | |
| Depth of Occurrence (m bgl) | 1 to 37 | 88-161,141-160, 181-200 | |
| SWL (m bgl) | | | |
| Weathered / Fractured rocks thickness (m) | 0-9 | 0.50 to 20 | |
| Fractures encountered (mbgl) | Upto 37 | Upto 200 | |
| Yield | - | | |
| Transmissivity (m ² /day) | - | | |

| 1.3 Ground Water Resource, Extraction, Contamination and Other | | | |
|---|----------------------------|-------|-------------|
| Issues | | | |
| DYNAMIC | Type of Rock formation | | Deccan trap |
| GROUNDWATE | Recharge worthy area | Sq Km | 482.00 |
| R RESOURCES | Command area | _ | 29.32 |
| 2020 | Non-Command area | | 452.68 |
| | Recharge From Rain Fall | MCM | 52.34 |
| | During Monsoon Season | | |
| | Recharge From other | | 5.14 |
| | sources During Monsoon | | |
| | Season | | |
| | Recharge From Rain Fall | | 00 |
| | During Non-Monsoon | | |
| | Season | | |
| | Recharge From other | | 10.40 |
| | sources During non- | | |
| | Monsoon Season | | |
| | Total Recharge | | 67.89 |
| | Annual Extractable | | 61.10 |
| | Groundwater Recharge | | |
| | Existing Gross Ground | | 28.03 |
| | Water Draft for Irrigation | | |
| | Existing Gross Ground | | 0 |
| | Water Draft for Industrial | | |
| | Water Supply | | |
| | Existing Gross Ground | | 2.57 |
| | Water Draft for Domestic | | |
| | Water Supply | | |
| | Existing Gross Ground | | 30.61 |
| | Water Draft for All Uses | | |
| | Annual GW Allocation for | | 2.76 |
| | for Domestic Use as on | | |
| | 2025 | | |
| | Net Ground Water | | 30.30 |
| | Availability for Future | | |
| | Irrigation Development | | |
| | Stage of Ground Water | % | 50.10 |
| | Extraction | | |
| | Category | | Safe |

| 1.3.1 Ground Water Related Issues | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|
| Declining water level | Declining water level observed both in pre and post-monsoon in major | |
| | part of the block and low ground water development. | |
| Low Ground Water | As the block is covered with hard Deccan trap basalt in the southern part | |
| Potential / Limited | and Achaean Granitoids in the northern part there is restricted depth of | |
| Aquifer Thickness / | weathering (< 20 m) in Aquifer-I and limited aquifer thickness in | |
| Low Sustainability and | Aquifer-II. Sustainability of both the aquifers is limited. | |
| High runoff | | |

| Block | DAHI |
|---|--------|
| Area (Sq.KM) | 482 |
| Normal Annual Rainfall (mm) | 856.50 |
| Average Post-monsoon Water Level -2020 (m bgl) | 6.43 |
| Suitable Area for AR (sq.km) | 482 |
| Un- Saturated Zone (m) | 3.43 |
| Specific Yield | 0.02 |
| Sub-surface storage (mcm) | 33.07 |
| Sub-Surface water required (mcm) | 43.98 |
| Non Commuted Runoff (mcm) | 23.43 |
| Available water required/ Non Commuted Runoff (mcm) | 23.43 |
| Resource water for Percolation tanks (mcm) | 8.20 |

| Block | DAHI |
|--|-------|
| Annual Extractable Ground Water Resource | 61.1 |
| | |
| GW Draft for Irrigation | 28.04 |
| GW Draft for Domestic & Industrial | 2.57 |
| Gross Draft | 30.61 |
| Stage of Development | 50.1 |
| Saving by Sprinkler in MCM | 5.61 |
| Additional recharge created by AR | 19.91 |
| After intervention of AR Structure Net GW AvL. | 81.02 |
| After intervention of AR Structure & utilization of 60% of additional GW | 11.95 |
| Draft after sprinkler & additional area created for agriculture | 54.29 |
| Stage of Development W/O GW use for additional Area Irrigation | 67.01 |

Aquifer Maps and Management Plan of Dharampuri Block

| 1.1 SALIENT INFORMATION | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|--|
| Block DHARAMPURI | | | |
| Area | | Sq Km | 429 |
| Population (2011 CEN | SUS) | | 183659 |
| Normal Rainfall(2005-14) | | millimeter | 954.7 |
| Kamian(2005-14) | | | |
| Land use and Agriculture | Principal crops | | Soyabean, Groundnut, Til, Ramtil, Linseeds, Mustard, Rice, Wheat, Jowar, Maize, Kodokutki |
| | Gross cropped area | Sq Km | 432.75 |
| | Net sown area | | 254.88 |
| | Area sown more than once | | 175.05 |
| | Cropping intensity | % | 170.45 |
| | Area under forest | Sq Km | 58.34 |
| | Area under Waste land | | 5.25 |
| Data Utilised | Monitoring Wells for Water Level | | Dw-3 , Pz-1 |
| | Monitoring Wells for Quality | | Dw-3 |
| Water level behavior | Pre-monsoon WL | meter | 8.88 |
| | Post-monsoon WL | | 6.85 |
| | Pre-monsoon WL Trend | (m /yr) | Rising 0.018 |
| | Post-monsoon WL Trend | | Falling 0.17 |

| 1.2 AQUIFER DISPOSITION | | | |
|--|--------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Major Aquifer | Basalt /Granitoids | | |
| Type of Aquifer | Aquifer-I | Aquifer-II | |
| Formation | Weathered Basalt | Jointed / Fractured Basalt | |
| Depth of Occurrence (mbgl) | 1 to 37 | 88-161,141-160, 181-200 | |
| SWL (mbgl) | 3.40-17.40 | 10-22 | |
| Weathered / Fractured rocks thickness (m) | 0-14 | 0.50 to 17 | |
| Fractures encountered (mbgl) | Upto 30 | Upto 200 | |
| Yield | - | | |
| Transmissivity (m ² /day) | - | | |

| 1.3 Ground Water Resource, Extraction, Contamination and Other Issues | | | |
|--|----------------------------|-------|-------------|
| DYNAMIC | Type of Rock formation | | Deccan trap |
| GROUNDWATE | Recharge worthy area | Sq Km | 429 |
| R RESOURCES | Command area | _ | 287.31 |
| 2020 | Non-Command area | | 141.69 |
| | Recharge From Rain Fall | MCM | 41.05 |
| | During Monsoon Season | | |
| | Recharge From other | | 3.35 |
| | sources During Monsoon | | |
| | Season | | |
| | Recharge From Rain Fall | | 00 |
| | During Non-Monsoon | | |
| | Season | | |
| | Recharge From other | | 13.28 |
| | sources During non- | | |
| | Monsoon Season | | |
| | Total Recharge | | 57.69 |
| | Annual Extractable | | 54.81 |
| | Groundwater Recharge | | |
| | Existing Gross Ground | | 19.64 |
| | Water Draft for Irrigation | | |
| | Existing Gross Ground | | 0 |
| | Water Draft for Industrial | | |
| | Water Supply | | |
| | Existing Gross Ground | | 4.71 |
| | Water Draft for Domestic | | |
| | Water Supply | | |
| | Existing Gross Ground | | 24.36 |
| | Water Draft for All Uses | | |
| | Annual GW Allocation for | | 4.03 |
| | for Domestic Use as on | | |
| | 2025 | | |
| | Net Ground Water | | 29.89 |
| | Availability for Future | | |
| | Irrigation Development | | |
| | Stage of Ground Water | % | 44.45 |
| | Extraction | | |
| | Category | | Safe |

| Block | DHARAMPURI |
|---|------------|
| Area (Sq.KM) | 429 |
| Normal Annual Rainfall (mm) | 856.50 |
| Average Post-monsoon Water Level -2020 (m bgl) | 5.86 |
| Suitable Area for AR (sq.km) | 429 |
| Un-Saturated Zone (m) | 2.86 |
| Specific Yield | 0.02 |
| Sub-surface storage (mcm) | 24.54 |
| Sub-Surface water required (mcm) | |
| | 32.64 |
| Non Commuted Runoff (mcm) | 20.85 |
| Avaliable water required/ Non Commuted Runoff (mcm) | |
| | 20.85 |

| Block | DHARAMPURI |
|--|------------|
| Annual Extractable Ground Water Resource | 54.81 |
| GW Draft for Irrigation | 19.65 |
| GW Draft for Domestic & Industrial | 4.71 |
| Gross Draft | 24.36 |
| Stage of Development | 44.45 |
| Saving by Sprinkler in MCM | 3.93 |
| Additional recharge created by AR | 17.72 |
| After intervention of AR Structure Net GW AvL. | 72.53 |
| After intervention of AR Structure & utilization of 60% of additional GW | 10.63 |
| Draft after sprinkler & additional area created for agriculture | 44.07 |
| Stage of Development W/O GW use for additional Area Irrigation | 60.76 |

6. Aquifer Maps and Management Plan of Gandhwani Block

| 1.1 SALIENT INFORMATION | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|---|
| Block | Gandhwani | | - |
| Area | | Sq Km | 736 |
| Population (2011 CEN | SUS) | | 156046 |
| Normal | | millimeter | 954.7 |
| Rainfall(2005-17) | | | |
| T 1 1 | D: : 1 | | |
| Land use and | Principal crops | | Soyabean, Groundnut, 111, Domtil Linggoods Mustand |
| Agriculture | | | Rice Wheat Iowar Maize |
| | | | Kodokutki |
| | Gross cropped area | Sq Km | 491.54 |
| | Net sown area | | 354.52 |
| | Area sown more than once | | 135.42 |
| | Cropping intensity | % | 138.35 |
| | Area under forest | Sq Km | 219.77 |
| | Area under Waste land | | 1.28 |
| Data Utilised | Monitoring Wells for Water Level | | DW-2 |
| | Monitoring Wells for Quality | | Dw-2 |
| Water level behavior | Pre-monsoon WL | meter | 8.33 |
| | Post-monsoon WL | | 2.32 |
| | Pre-monsoon WL Trend | (m /yr) | Rising 0.0378 |
| | Post-monsoon WL Trend | | Rising 0.08845 |

| 1.2 AQUIFER DISPOSITION | | | |
|---|--------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Major Aquifer | Basalt /Granitoids | | |
| Type of Aquifer | Aquifer-I | Aquifer-II | |
| Formation | Weathered Basalt | Jointed / Fractured Basalt | |
| Depth of Occurrence (mbgl) | 1 to 37 | 88-161,141-160, 181-200 | |
| SWL (mbgl) | 3.40-17.40 | 10-22 | |
| Weathered / Fractured rocks thickness (m) | 0-14 | 0.50 to 17 | |
| Fractures encountered (mbgl) | Upto 30 | Upto 200 | |
| Yield | - | | |
| Transmissivity (m ² /day) | - | | |

| 1.3 Ground Water Resource, Extraction, Contamination And Other | | | |
|---|----------------------------|-------|-------------|
| Issues | | | |
| DYNAMIC | Type of Rock formation | | Deccan trap |
| GROUNDWATE | Recharge worthy area | Sq Km | 736 |
| R RESOURCES | Command area | | 107.33 |
| 2020 | Non-Command area | | 628.67 |
| | Recharge From Rain Fall | МСМ | 70.73 |
| | During Monsoon Season | | |
| | Recharge From other | | 3.59 |
| | sources During Monsoon | | |
| | Season | | |
| | Recharge From Rain Fall | | 00 |
| | During Non-Monsoon | | |
| | Season | | |
| | Recharge From other | | 9.49 |
| | sources During non- | | |
| | Monsoon Season | | |
| | Total Recharge | | 83.82 |
| | Annual Extractable | | 79.63 |
| | Groundwater Recharge | | |
| | Existing Gross Ground | | 28.84 |
| | Water Draft for Irrigation | | |
| | Existing Gross Ground | | 0 |
| | Water Draft for Industrial | | |
| | Water Supply | | |
| | Existing Gross Ground | | 4.05 |
| | Water Draft for Domestic | | |
| | Water Supply | | |
| | Existing Gross Ground | | 32.89 |
| | Water Draft for All Uses | | |
| | Annual GW Allocation for | | 4.57 |
| | for Domestic Use as on | | |
| | 2025 | | |
| | Net Ground Water | | 46.21 |
| | Availability for Future | | |
| | Irrigation Development | | |
| | Stage of Ground Water | % | 41.31 |
| | Extraction | | |
| | Category | | Safe |

| 1.3.1 Ground Water Related Issues | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| Declining water level | Declining water level observed both in pre and post-monsoon in major part | |
| | of the block and low ground water development | |
| Low Ground Water | As the block is covered with hard Deccan trap basalt in the southern part | |
| Potential / Limited | and Achaean Granitoids in the northern part there is restricted depth of | |
| Aquifer Thickness / | weathering (< 20 m) in Aquifer-I and limited aquifer thickness in Aquifer- | |
| Low Sustainability and | II. Sustainability of both the aquifers is limited. | |
| High runoff | | |

| Block | GANDHWANI |
|---|-----------|
| Area (Sq.KM) | 736 |
| Normal Annual Rainfall (mm) | 856.50 |
| Average Post-monsoon Water Level -2020 (m bgl) | 4.21 |
| Suitable Area for AR (sq.km) | 736 |
| Un- Saturated Zone (m) | 1.21 |
| Specific Yield | 0.02 |
| Sub-surface storage (mcm) | 17.81 |
| Sub-Surface water required (mcm) | |
| | 23.69 |
| Non Commuted Runoff (mcm) | 35.77 |
| Avaliable water required/ Non Commuted Runoff (mcm) | |
| | 35.77 |

| Block | GANDHWANI |
|--|-----------|
| Annual Extractable Ground Water Resource | 79.64 |
| GW Draft for Irrigation | 28.84 |
| GW Draft for Domestic & Industrial | 4.05 |
| Gross Draft | 32.89 |
| Stage of Development | 41.31 |
| Saving by Sprinkler in MCM | 5.77 |
| Additional recharge created by AR | 30.4 |
| After intervention of AR Structure Net GW AvL. | 110.04 |
| After intervention of AR Structure & utilization of 60% of additional GW | 18.24 |
| Draft after sprinkler & additional area created for agriculture | 71.72 |
| Stage of Development W/O GW use for additional Area Irrigation | 65.18 |

7. Aquifer Maps and Management Plan of Kukshi Block

| 1.1 SALIENT INFORMATION | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|--|
| Block | KUKSHI | | |
| Area | | Sq Km | 343 |
| Population (2011 CENSUS) | | | 322282 |
| Normal Rainfall in | | millimeter | 954.7 |
| mm | | | |
| | | | |
| Land use and | Principal crops | | Soyabean, Groundnut, Til, |
| Agriculture | | | Ramtil, Linseeds, Mustard, Dice Wheet Jower Maize |
| | | | Kiće, wlieat, Jowal, Maize, Kodokutki |
| | Gross cropped area | Sa Km | 318.05 |
| | Net sown area | Sq IIII | 248.80 |
| | Area sown more then once | - | 60.11 |
| | Area sown more than once | <u> </u> | 09.11 |
| | Cropping intensity | % | 127.83 |
| | Area under forest | Sq Km | 13.49 |
| | Area under Waste land | | 0.89 |
| Data Utilized | Monitoring Wells for Water Level | | DW-4 |
| | Monitoring Wells for Quality | | Dw-4 |
| Water level behavior | Pre-monsoon WL | meter | 9.92 |
| | Post-monsoon WL | | 3.63 |
| | Pre-monsoon WL Trend | (m/yr) | Rising 0.04575 |
| | Post-monsoon WL Trend | | Rising 0.05845 |

| 1.2 AQUIFER DISPOSITION | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Major Aquifer | Basalt /Granitoids | | |
| Type of Aquifer | Aquifer-I Aquifer-II | | |
| Formation | Weathered Basalt | Jointed / Fractured Basalt | |
| Depth of Occurrence (mbgl) | 1 to 30 | 30-200 | |
| SWL (mbgl) | | | |
| Weathered / Fractured rocks thickness (m) | 0-15 | 10- 17 | |
| Fractures encountered (mbgl) | Upto 30 | Upto 200 | |
| Yield | - | | |
| Transmissivity (m²/day) | - | | |

| 1.3 Ground Water Resource, Extraction, Contamination and Other | | | |
|---|----------------------------|-------|-------------|
| | Is | sues | |
| DYNAMIC | Type of Rock formation | | Deccan trap |
| GROUNDWATE | Recharge worthy area | Sq Km | 343 |
| R RESOURCES | Command area | | 33.77 |
| 2020 | Non-Command area | | 309.23 |
| | Recharge From Rain Fall | MCM | 31.52 |
| | During Monsoon Season | | |
| | Recharge From other | | 1.66 |
| | sources During Monsoon | | |
| | Season | | |
| | Recharge From Rain Fall | | 0 |
| | During Non-Monsoon | | |
| | Season | | |
| | Recharge From other | | 5.70 |
| | sources During non- | | |
| | Monsoon Season | | |
| | Total Recharge | | 38.89 |
| | Annual Extractable | | 36.65 |
| | Groundwater Recharge | | |
| | Existing Gross Ground | | 12.72 |
| | Water Draft for Irrigation | | |
| | Existing Gross Ground | | 0 |
| | Water Draft for Industrial | | |
| | Water Supply | | 2.50 |
| | Existing Gross Ground | | 2.79 |
| | Water Draft for Domestic | | |
| | Water Supply | | 15.50 |
| | Existing Gross Ground | | 15.52 |
| | Water Draft for All Uses | | 2.00 |
| | Annual GW Allocation for | | 3.09 |
| | 101 Domestic Use as on | | |
| | 2023 Not Crownd Wator | | 20.82 |
| | Availability for Enture | | 20.83 |
| | Availability for Future | | |
| | Stage of Ground Water | 0/ | 42.35 |
| | Extraction | 70 | 42.55 |
| | | | <u> </u> |
| | Category | | Sate |

| 1.3.1 Ground Water Related Issues | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Declining water level | Declining water level observed both in pre and post-monsoon in major | | |
| part of the block and low ground water development | | | |
| Low Ground Water | The block is covered with Deccan trap basalt and Bagh formation, there | | |
| Potential / Limited Aquifer | is restricted depth of weathering (< 20 m) in Aquifer-I and limited | | |
| Thickness / | aquifer thickness in Aquifer-II. Sustainability of both the aquifers is | | |
| Low Sustainability and | limited. | | |
| High runoff | | | |

| Block | KUKSHI |
|---|--------|
| Area (Sq.KM) | 343 |
| Normal Annual Rainfall (mm) | 856.50 |
| Average Post-monsoon Water Level -2020 (m bgl) | 6.49 |
| Suitable Area for AR (sq.km) | 343 |
| Un- Saturated Zone (m) | 3.49 |
| Specific Yield | 0.02 |
| Sub-surface storage (mcm) | 23.94 |
| Sub-Surface water required (mcm) | |
| | 31.84 |
| Non Commuted Runoff (mcm) | 16.67 |
| Available water required/ Non Commuted Runoff (mcm) | 16.67 |

| Block | KUKSHI |
|--|--------|
| Annual Extractable Ground Water Resource | 36.66 |
| | |
| GW Draft for Irrigation | 12.73 |
| GW Draft for Domestic & Industrial | 2.79 |
| Gross Draft | 15.52 |
| Stage of Development | 42.35 |
| Saving by Sprinkler in MCM | 2.55 |
| Additional recharge created by AR | 14.17 |
| After intervention of AR Structure Net GW AvL. | 50.83 |
| After intervention of AR Structure & utilization of 60% of additional GW | 8.5 |
| Draft after sprinkler & additional area created for agriculture | 32.85 |
| Stage of Development W/O GW use for additional Area Irrigation | 64.63 |

8. Aquifer Maps and Management Plan of Manawar Block

| 1.1 SALIENT INFORMATION | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|--|
| Block | MANAWAR | | |
| Area | | Sq Km | 555 |
| Population (2011 CEN | SUS) | | 308188 |
| Normal Rainfall | | millimeter | 954.7 |
| Land use and Agriculture | Principal crops | | Soyabean, Groundnut, Til, Ramtil, Linseeds, Mustard, Rice, Wheat, Jowar, Maize, Kodokutki |
| | Gross cropped area | Sq Km | 610.53 |
| | Net sown area | | 402.37 |
| | Area sown more than once | | 20391 |
| | Cropping intensity | % | 151.73 |
| | Area under forest | Sq Km | 3.01 |
| | Area under Waste land | | 5.40 |
| Data Utilised | Monitoring Wells for Water Level | | DW-2 , Pz-1 |
| | Monitoring Wells for Quality | | Dw-2 |
| Water level behavior | Pre-monsoon WL | meter | 11.80 |
| | Post-monsoon WL | | 3.75 |
| | Pre-monsoon WL Trend | (m/yr) | Rising 0.10 |
| | Post-monsoon WL Trend | | Rising 0.18 |

| 1.2 AQUIFER DISPOSITION | | | |
|--|--------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Major Aquifer | Basalt /Granitoids | | |
| Type of Aquifer | Aquifer-I | Aquifer-II | |
| Formation | Weathered Basalt | Jointed / Fractured Basalt | |
| Depth of Occurrence (mbgl) | 1 to 37 | 88-161,141-160, 181-200 | |
| SWL (mbgl) | 3.40-17.40 | 10-22 | |
| Weathered / Fractured rocks thickness (m) | 0-14 | 0.50 to 17 | |
| Fractures encountered (mbgl) | Upto 30 | Upto 200 | |
| Yield | - | | |
| Transmissivity (m ² /day) | - | | |

| 1.3 Ground Water Resource, Extraction, Contamination And Other | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|-------|-------------|--|--|
| Issues | | | | | |
| DYNAMIC | Type of Rock formation | | Deccan trap | | |
| GROUNDWATE | Recharge worthy area | Sq Km | 555 | | |
| R RESOURCES | Command area | | 315.55 | | |
| 2020 | Non-Command area | | 239.45 | | |
| | Recharge From Rain Fall | MCM | 54.87 | | |
| | During Monsoon Season | | | | |
| | Recharge From other | | 4.35 | | |
| | sources During Monsoon | | | | |
| | Season | | | | |
| | Recharge From Rain Fall | | 00 | | |
| | During Non-Monsoon | | | | |
| | Season | | | | |
| | Recharge From other | | 12.59 | | |
| | sources During non- | | | | |
| | Monsoon Season | | | | |
| | Total Recharge | | 71.83 | | |
| | Annual Extractable | | 68.23 | | |
| | Groundwater Recharge | | | | |
| | Existing Gross Ground | | 30.29 | | |
| | Water Draft for Irrigation | | | | |
| | Existing Gross Ground | | 0 | | |
| | Water Draft for Industrial | | | | |
| | Water Supply | | | | |
| | Existing Gross Ground | | 4.48 | | |
| | Water Draft for Domestic | | | | |
| | Water Supply | | | | |
| | Existing Gross Ground | | 34.77 | | |
| | Water Draft for All Uses | | | | |
| | Annual GW Allocation for | | 5.05 | | |
| | for Domestic Use as on | | | | |
| | 2025 | | | | |
| | Net Ground Water | | 32.88 | | |
| | Availability for Future | | | | |
| | Irrigation Development | | | | |
| | Stage of Ground Water | % | 50.97 | | |
| | Extraction | | | | |
| | Category | | Safe | | |

| 1.3.1 Ground Water Related Issues | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Declining water level | Declining water level observed both in pre and post-monsoon in | | |
| | major part of the block and low ground water development. | | |
| Low Ground Water Potential / | As the block is covered with hard Deccan trap basalt in the | | |
| Limited Aquifer Thickness / | southern part and Achaean Granitoids in the northern part there is | | |
| Low Sustainability and High runoff | restricted depth of weathering (< 20 m) in Aquifer-I and limited | | |
| | aquifer thickness in Aquifer-II. Sustainability of both the aquifers | | |
| | is limited. | | |

| Block | MANAWAR |
|---|---------|
| Area (Sq.KM) | 555 |
| Normal Annual Rainfall (mm) | 856.50 |
| Average Post-monsoon Water Level -2020 (m bgl) | 6.82 |
| Suitable Area for AR (sq.km) | 555 |
| Un- Saturated Zone (m) | 3.82 |
| Specific Yield | 0.02 |
| Sub-surface storage (mcm) | 42.40 |
| Sub-Surface water required (mcm) | |
| | 56.39 |
| Non Commuted Runoff (mcm) | 26.97 |
| Available water required/ Non Commuted Runoff (mcm) | |
| | 26.97 |

| Block | MANAWAR |
|--|---------|
| Annual Extractable Ground Water Resource | 68.24 |
| GW Draft for Irrigation | 30.3 |
| GW Draft for Domestic & Industrial | 4.48 |
| Gross Draft | 34.77 |
| Stage of Development | 50.97 |
| Saving by Sprinkler in MCM | 6.06 |
| Additional recharge created by AR | 22.93 |
| After intervention of AR Structure Net GW AvL. | 91.17 |
| After intervention of AR Structure & utilization of 60% of additional GW | 13.76 |
| Draft after sprinkler & additional area created for agriculture | 60.92 |
| Stage of Development W/O GW use for additional Area Irrigation | 66.82 |

| IV. Aquiter maps and management rian of misarpur bloc | 10. <i>A</i> | Aquifer | Maps | and M | lanagement | Plan | of Nisarr | our Bloc |
|---|---------------------|---------|------|-------|------------|------|-----------|----------|
|---|---------------------|---------|------|-------|------------|------|-----------|----------|

| 1.1 SALIENT INFORMATION | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|--|--|--|
| Block | NISARPUR | | | | |
| Area | | Sq Km | 353 | | |
| Population (2011 CEN | SUS) | | 89118 | | |
| Normal Rainfall | | millimeter | 954.7 | | |
| | | | | | |
| Land use and Agriculture | Principal crops | | Soyabean, Groundnut, Til, Ramtil, Linseeds, Mustard, Rice, Wheat, Jowar, Maize, Kodokutki | | |
| | Gross cropped area | Sq Km | 379.62 | | |
| | Net sown area | | 242.35 | | |
| | Area sown more than once | | 132.78 | | |
| | Cropping intensity | % | 156.64 | | |
| | Area under forest | Sq Km | 0.71 | | |
| | Area under Waste land | | 2.15 | | |
| Data Utilised | Monitoring Wells for Water Level | | DW-1 | | |
| | Monitoring Wells for Quality | | Dw-1 | | |
| Water level behavior | Pre-monsoon WL | meter | 10.44 | | |
| | Post-monsoon WL | | 4.62 | | |
| | Pre-monsoon WL Trend | (m/yr) | Rising 0.28 | | |
| | Post-monsoon WL Trend | | Rising 0.12 | | |

| 1.2 AQUIFER DISPOSITION | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Major Aquifer | Basalt /Granitoids | | | | |
| Type of Aquifer | Aquifer-I | Aquifer-II | | | |
| Formation | Weathered Basalt | Jointed / Fractured Basalt | | | |
| Depth of Occurrence (mbgl) | 1 to 37 | 88-161,141-160, 181-200 | | | |
| SWL (mbgl) | 3.40-17.40 | 10-22 | | | |
| Weathered / Fractured rocks thickness (m) | 0-15 | 0.50 to 15 | | | |
| Fractures encountered (mbgl) | Upto 30 | Upto 200 | | | |
| Yield | - | | | | |
| Transmissivity (m²/day) | - | | | | |

•

| 1.3 Ground Water Resource, Extraction, Contamination And Other | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|-------|-------------|--|--|
| Issues | | | | | |
| DYNAMIC | Type of Rock formation | | Deccan trap | | |
| GROUNDWATE | Recharge worthy area | Sq Km | 353 | | |
| R RESOURCES | Command area | - | 208.50 | | |
| 2020 | Non-Command area | | 144.50 | | |
| | Recharge From Rain Fall | MCM | 27.49 | | |
| | During Monsoon Season | | | | |
| | Recharge From other | | 2.23 | | |
| | sources During Monsoon | | | | |
| | Season | | | | |
| | Recharge From Rain Fall | | 00 | | |
| | During Non-Monsoon | | | | |
| | Season | | | | |
| | Recharge From other | | 7.10 | | |
| | sources During non- | | | | |
| | Monsoon Season | | | | |
| | Total Recharge | | 36.82 | | |
| | Annual Extractable | | 33.85 | | |
| | Groundwater Recharge | | | | |
| | Existing Gross Ground | | 15.53 | | |
| | Water Draft for Irrigation | | | | |
| | Existing Gross Ground | | 0 | | |
| | Water Draft for Industrial | | | | |
| | Water Supply | | | | |
| | Existing Gross Ground | | 2.24 | | |
| | Water Draft for Domestic | | | | |
| | Water Supply | | | | |
| | Existing Gross Ground | | 17.78 | | |
| | Water Draft for All Uses | | | | |
| | Annual GW Allocation for | | 2.47 | | |
| | for Domestic Use as on | | | | |
| | 2025 | | | | |
| | Net Ground Water | | 15.84 | | |
| | Availability for Future | | | | |
| | Irrigation Development | | | | |
| | Stage of Ground Water | % | 52.52 | | |
| | Extraction | | | | |
| | Category | | Safe | | |

| 1.3.1 Ground Water Related Issues | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Declining water level | Declining water level observed both in pre and post-monsoon in major part | | |
| | of the block and GW development | | |
| Low Ground Water | As the block is covered with hard Deccan trap basalt in the southern part | | |
| Potential / Limited | and Achaean Granitoids in the northern part there is restricted depth of | | |
| Aquifer Thickness / | weathering (< 20 m) in Aquifer-I and limited aquifer thickness in Aquifer- | | |
| Low Sustainability and | II. Sustainability of both the aquifers is limited. | | |
| High runoff | | | |

| Block | NISARPUR |
|---|----------|
| Area (Sq.KM) | 353 |
| Normal Annual Rainfall (mm) | 856.50 |
| Average Post-monsoon Water Level -2020 (m bgl) | 7.4 |
| Suitable Area for AR (sq.km) | 353 |
| Un-Saturated Zone (m) | 4.4 |
| Specific Yield | 0.03 |
| Sub-surface storage (mcm) | 46.60 |
| Sub-Surface water required (mcm) | 61.97 |
| Non Commuted Runoff (mcm) | 17.16 |
| Avaliable water required/ Non Commuted Runoff (mcm) | 17.16 |

| Block | NISARPUR |
|--|----------|
| Annual Extractable Ground Water Resource | 33.86 |
| GW Draft for Irrigation | 15.53 |
| GW Draft for Domestic & Industrial | 2.24 |
| Gross Draft | 17.78 |
| Stage of Development | 52.52 |
| Saving by Sprinkler in MCM | 3.11 |
| Additional recharge created by AR | 14.58 |
| After intervention of AR Structure Net GW AvL. | 48.44 |
| After intervention of AR Structure & utilization of 60% of additional GW | 8.75 |
| Draft after sprinkler & additional area created for agriculture | 35.76 |
| Stage of Development W/O GW use for additional Area Irrigation | 73.81 |

| 1.1 SALIENT INFO | ORMATION | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|----------------------------|--|
| Block | SARDARPUR | | | |
| Area | | Sq Km | 128 | |
| Population (2011 CEN | (SUS) | | 2,96,513 | |
| Normal Rainfall | | millimeter | 954.7 | |
| | | | | |
| Land use and | Principal crops | | Soyabean, Groundnut, Til, | |
| Agriculture | | | Ramtil, Linseeds, Mustard, | |
| | | | Rice, Wheat, Jowar, Maize, | |
| | | | Kodokutki | |
| | Gross cropped area | Sq Km | 1304.35 | |
| | Net sown area | | 776.18 | |
| | Area sown more than once | | 527.34 | |
| | Cropping intensity | % | 168.5 | |
| | Area under forest | Sq Km | 102.63 | |
| | Area under Waste land | | 4.13 | |
| Data Utilized | Monitoring Wells for Water Level | | DW-5 , Pz-4 | |
| | Monitoring Wells for Quality | | Dw-5 | |
| Water level behavior | Pre-monsoon WL | meter | 9.20 | |
| | Post-monsoon WL | | 3.61 | |
| | Pre-monsoon WL Trend | (m /yr) | Rising 0.03 | |
| | Post-monsoon WL Trend | - | | |
| | | | Rising 0.13 | |

11. Aquifer Maps and Management Plan of Sardarpur Block

| 1.2 AQUIFER DISPOSITION | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Major Aquifer | Basalt /Granitoids | | |
| Type of Aquifer | Aquifer-I | Aquifer-II | |
| Formation | Weathered Basalt | Jointed / Fractured Basalt | |
| | | | |
| Depth of Occurrence | 1 to 37 | 88-161,141-160, 181-200 | |
| (mbgl) | | | |
| SWL (mbgl) | 3.40-17.40 | 10-22 | |
| Weathered / Fractured | 0-14 | 0.50 to 17 | |
| rocks thickness (m) | | | |
| Fractures encountered | Upto 30 | Upto 200 | |
| (mbgl) | - | - | |
| Yield | - | | |
| Transmissivity (m ² /day) | - | | |

| 1.3 Ground Water Resource, Extraction, Contamination And Other | | | |
|---|----------------------------|-------|-------------|
| Issues | | | |
| DYNAMIC | Type of Rock formation | | Deccan trap |
| GROUNDWATE | Recharge worthy area | Sq Km | 128 |
| R RESOURCES | Command area | | 177.30 |
| 2020 | Non-Command area | | 1102.70 |
| | Recharge From Rain Fall | MCM | 139.99 |
| | During Monsoon Season | | |
| | Recharge From other | | 12.74 |
| | sources During Monsoon | | |
| | Season | | |
| | Recharge From Rain Fall | | 00 |
| | During Non-Monsoon | | |
| | Season | | |
| | Recharge From other | | 33.41 |
| | sources During non- | | |
| | Monsoon Season | | |
| | Total Recharge | | 186.16 |
| | Annual Extractable | | 169.52 |
| | Groundwater Recharge | | |
| | Existing Gross Ground | | 97.87 |
| | Water Draft for Irrigation | | |
| | Existing Gross Ground | | 0 |
| | Water Draft for Industrial | | |
| | Water Supply | | |
| | Existing Gross Ground | | 7.98 |
| | Water Draft for Domestic | | |
| | Water Supply | | |
| | Existing Gross Ground | | 105.86 |
| | Water Draft for All Uses | | |
| | Annual GW Allocation for | | 9.29 |
| | for Domestic Use as on | | |
| | 2025 | | |
| | Net Ground Water | | 62.35 |
| | Availability for Future | | |
| | Irrigation Development | | |
| | Stage of Ground Water | % | 62.45 |
| | Extraction | | |
| | Category | | Safe |

| 1.3.1 Ground Water Related Issues | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Declining water level | Declining water level observed both in pre and post-monsoon in | | |
| | major part of the block(Fig.11.3) | | |
| Low Ground Water Potential / | As the block is covered with hard Deccan trap basalt in the southern | | |
| Limited Aquifer Thickness / | part and Achaean Granitoids in the northern part there is restricted | | |
| Low Sustainability and High | depth of weathering (< 20 m) in Aquifer-I and limited aquifer | | |
| runoff | thickness in Aquifer-II. Sustainability of both the aquifers is limited. | | |

| Block | SARDARPUR |
|---|-----------|
| Area (Sq.KM) | 1280 |
| Normal Annual Rainfall (mm) | 856.50 |
| Average Post-monsoon Water Level (m bgl) | 5.85 |
| Suitable Area for AR (sq.km) | 1280 |
| Un-Saturated Zone (m) | 2.85 |
| Specific Yield | 0.02 |
| Sub-surface storage (mcm) | 72.96 |
| Sub-Surface water required (mcm) | 97.04 |
| Non Commuted Runoff (mcm) | 62.21 |
| Available water required/ Non Commuted Runoff (mcm) | 62.21 |

13. Aquifer Maps and Management Plan of Umarban Block

| 1.1 SALIENT INFORMATION | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|--|
| Block | UMARBAN | | |
| Area | | Sq Km | 479 |
| Population (2011 CEN | SUS) | | 135543 |
| Normal Rainfall | | millimeter | 954.7 |
| Land use and Agriculture | Principal crops | | Soyabean, Groundnut, Til, Ramtil, Linseeds, Mustard, Rice, Wheat, Jowar, Maize, Kodokutki |
| | Gross cropped area | Sq Km | 466.17 |
| | Net sown area | | 312.60 |
| | Area sown more than once | | 150.41 |
| | Cropping intensity | % | 138.35 |
| | Area under forest | Sq Km | 219.77 |
| | Area under Waste land | | 1.28 |
| Data Utilized | Monitoring Wells for Water Level | | |
| | Monitoring Wells for Quality | | |
| Water level behavior | Pre-monsoon WL | meter | 11.87 |
| | Post-monsoon WL | | 3.96 |
| | Pre-monsoon WL Trend | (m/yr) | Rising 0.489 |
| | Post-monsoon WL Trend | | Rising 1.0018 |

| 1.2 AQUIFER DISPOSITION | | | |
|--|--------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Major Aquifer | Basalt /Granitoids | | |
| Type of Aquifer | Aquifer-I | Aquifer-II | |
| Formation | Weathered Basalt | Jointed / Fractured Basalt | |
| Depth of Occurrence (mbgl) | 1 to 37 | 88-161,141-160, 181-200 | |
| SWL (mbgl) | 3.40-17.40 | 10-22 | |
| Weathered / Fractured rocks thickness (m) | 0-14 | 0.50 to 17 | |
| Fractures encountered (mbgl) | Upto 30 | Upto 200 | |
| Yield | - | | |
| Transmissivity (m ² /day) | - | | |

| 1.3 Ground Water Resource, Extraction, Contamination And Other | | | |
|---|----------------------------|-------|-------------|
| Issues | | | |
| DYNAMIC | Type of Rock formation | | Deccan trap |
| GROUNDWATE | Recharge worthy area | Sq Km | 479 |
| R RESOURCES | Command area | | 247.60 |
| 2020 | Non-Command area | | 231.40 |
| | Recharge From Rain Fall | MCM | 49.21 |
| | During Monsoon Season | | |
| | Recharge From other | | 4.00 |
| | sources During Monsoon | | |
| | Season | | |
| | Recharge From Rain Fall | | 00 |
| | During Non-Monsoon | | |
| | Season | | |
| | Recharge From other | | 16.78 |
| | sources During non- | | |
| | Monsoon Season | | |
| | Total Recharge | | 70.01 |
| | Annual Extractable | | 64.93 |
| | Groundwater Recharge | | |
| | Existing Gross Ground | | 19.50 |
| | Water Draft for Irrigation | | |
| | Existing Gross Ground | | |
| | Water Draft for Industrial | | |
| | Water Supply | | |
| | Existing Gross Ground | | 3.47 |
| | Water Draft for Domestic | | |
| | Water Supply | | |
| | Existing Gross Ground | | 22.98 |
| | Water Draft for All Uses | | |
| | Annual GW Allocation for | | 3.88 |
| | for Domestic Use as on | | |
| | 2025 | | |
| | Net Ground Water | | 41.53 |
| | Availability for Future | | |
| | Irrigation Development | | |
| | Stage of Ground Water | % | 35.40 |
| | Extraction | | |
| | Category | | Safe |

| 1.3.1 Ground Water Related Issues | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Declining water level | Declining water level observed both in pre and post-monsoon in | | |
| C | major part of the block. | | |
| Low Ground Water Potential / | As the block is covered with hard Deccan trap basalt in the | | |
| Limited Aquifer Thickness / | southern part and Achaean Granitoids in the northern part there is | | |
| Low Sustainability and High | restricted depth of weathering (< 20 m) in Aquifer-I and limited | | |
| runoff | aquifer thickness in Aquifer-II. Sustainability of both the aquifers | | |
| | is limited. | | |

| Block | UMRABAN |
|--|---------|
| Annual Extractable Ground Water Resource | 64.93 |
| GW Draft for Irrigation | 19.51 |
| GW Draft for Domestic & Industrial | 3.47 |
| Gross Draft | 22.98 |
| Stage of Extraction | 35.4 |
| Saving by Sprinkler in MCM | 3.9 |
| Additional recharge created by AR | 19.79 |
| After intervention of AR Structure Net GW AvL. | 84.72 |
| After intervention of AR Structure & utilization of 60% of additional GW | 11.87 |
| Draft after sprinkler & additional area created for agriculture | 47.27 |
| Stage of Development W/O GW use for additional Area Irrigation | 55.79 |

| Block | UMRABAN |
|---|---------|
| Area (Sq.KM) | 479 |
| Normal Annual Rainfall (mm) | 856.50 |
| Average Post-monsoon Water Level -2020 (m bgl) | 3.55 |
| Suitable Area for AR (sq.km) | 479 |
| Un- Saturated Zone (m) | 0.55 |
| Specific Yield | 0.02 |
| Sub-surface storage (mcm) | 5.27 |
| Sub-Surface water required (mcm) | 7.01 |
| Non Commuted Runoff (mcm) | 23.28 |
| Avaliable water required/ Non Commuted Runoff (mcm) | 23.28 |
| Resource water for Percolation tanks (mcm) | 8.15 |

Chapter-7

Conclusion and Recommendations

- 1. Dhar District occupies an area of 8153 sq.km out of which the ground water recharge worthy area is 8126 sq. km. and the rest is covered by hilly and forest area. The major Rivers flowing through the area includes the Mahi and Man and major River basins in area are Narmada, Mahi and Ganga (Chambal sub Basin).
- 2. The Maximum part of the district is covered by Deccan trap, western part covered by Bagh formation in Bagh , Nisarpur and Kukshi Bloks with small patches of Archean Rocks.
- 3. 92 Exploratory borewells drilled by CGWB, NCR under its Exploratory/NAQUIM program, it has been observed that the yield varies from meagre to 24 lps in Deccan trap.
- 4. Dependency of Irrigation is on Ground Water and 55 % of irrigation is met from Ground Water and 45 % of irrigation by surface water (Omkareshwar project Phase III & IV,Man and Mahi project are the major surface water project in the district.)
- 5. The Phreatic aquifer is recharged during monsoon and sustains for 2-6 hrs /day for 3-4 months. The yield ranges between 0.50 to 3.0 lps.
- 6. The major part of the district is covered by the Deccan trap lava flows and Western part by Bagh formation and granitic rock.
- 7. As per the Dynamic Ground Water Resource Assessment Report (2020), annual extractable ground water resource in the district is 1049.13 mcm and gross GW extraction is 831.30 mcm, resulting the stage of ground water extraction to be 79.24 % as a whole for district.
- 8. There are 4 OCS Blocks out of 13 blocks namely Badnawar (121.91) Dhar (155.40) Nalchha (121.66) and Tirla (94.44).
- Decline in ground water level is observed Pre-Monsoon 0.68—1.55 m/yr post-Monsoon 0.01-0.18 m/yr in the district. Maximum decline is observed in Nalchha, Dhar and Badnawar Blocks.
- 10. Supply side Management plan prepared under NAQUIM for all the blocks of Dhar District, a total number 5282 Recharge Shafts/Tube wells and Check dam, 5944 Nala Bunds/Cement Plugs and 1807 village ponds under RRR have been suggested after implementation of supply and demand side management plan, stage of ground water extraction may be improved.
- 11. Some aquifer in Dhar district had predominance of fluoride concentration ranging from 0.2 to 21 mg/l.
- 12. The number of artificial recharge structure and financial estimation has been proposed based on the CGWB Master plan 2013. It may be differ from the field condition as well as changes in dynamic Ground water resources.
- 13. The ground water in the area is highly contaminated with Fluoride. Its concentration is much higher than the permissible limit as per Bureau of Indian Standards. Maximum concertation is recorded at Nalchha, Dharampuri and Tirl Block.
- 14. Artificial recharge for dilution, lowering of assembly for sealing the contaminated zone and Nalgonda technique contaminated water is mixed with alum, lime and bleaching powder can be adopted.
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is grateful to Sh. Rana Chatterjee, Regional Director, Central Ground Water Board, North Central Region, Bhopal for NAQUIM Study, findings of which were incorporated in this report, and providing full back up support. Thanks are due to Ms. Rose Anita Kujur, Scientist-E, Dr. Arul Prakasam, Scientist-D (GP) for scrutiny of this report. The author expresses his thanks to all the Scientist of NCR Bhopal providing full technical support guidance.

The author also thanks to Sh. Tej Singh, ACH, CGWB, NCR, Bhopal for their support.

Thanks also extended to all the Young Professionals in completion of this report.

ANNEXURES

Annexure-01

| SI.No | Depth Range in m | | Thickness | Lithology |
|-------|------------------|--------|-----------|------------------------------------|
| | From | То | in m | |
| 1 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | Top soil, black |
| 2 | 1.00 | 12.00 | 11.00 | Basalt ,black, highly weathered |
| 3 | 12.00 | 29.00 | 17.00 | Basalt , black , weathered |
| 4 | 29.00 | 43.00 | 14.00 | Basalt, vesicular, grey |
| 5 | 43.00 | 68.00 | 25.00 | Basalt, black, massive |
| 6 | 68.00 | 74.00 | 6.00 | Basalt, vesicular, grey |
| 7 | 74.00 | 100.00 | 26.00 | Basalt, black, massive |
| 8 | 100.00 | 101.00 | 1.00 | Basalt, black, massive, fractured |
| 9 | 101.00 | 114.00 | 13.00 | Basalt, black, massive |
| 10 | 114.00 | 120.00 | 6.00 | Basalt, vesicular, grey |
| 11 | 120.00 | 136.00 | 16.00 | Basalt, black, massive |
| 12 | 136.00 | 148.00 | 12.00 | Basalt, vesicular, grey |
| 13 | 148.00 | 192.00 | 44.00 | Basalt, black, massive |
| 14 | 192.00 | 193.00 | 1.00 | Basalt, black, massive, fractured, |
| 15 | 193.00 | 200.00 | 7.00 | Basalt, black, massive |

Litholog of Baksana Exploratory well

Litholog of Pinjariya Exploratory well

| SI.No | Depth Range in m | | Thickness | Lithology |
|-------|------------------|--------|-----------|--|
| | From | То | | |
| 1 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | Top soil, black |
| 2 | 1.00 | 11.00 | 10.00 | Basalt, black, highly weathered |
| 3 | 11.00 | 23.00 | 12.00 | Basalt, black, weathered |
| 4 | 23.00 | 41.00 | 18.00 | Basalt, vesicular, grey |
| 5 | 41.00 | 71.00 | 30.00 | Massive Basalt |
| 6 | 71.00 | 72.00 | 1.00 | Contact zone Basalt, vesicular, grey, fractured, |
| 7 | 72.00 | 92.00 | 20.00 | Basalt, vesicular, grey |
| 8 | 92.00 | 122.00 | 30.00 | Black Massive Basalt |
| 9 | 122.00 | 135.00 | 13.00 | Vesicular Basalt with secondary filling |
| 10 | 135.00 | 160.00 | 25.00 | Massive Basalt |
| 11 | 160.00 | 178.00 | 18.00 | Vesicular Basalt |
| 12 | 178.00 | 200.00 | 22.00 | Basalt, black, massive |

| SI.No | Depth Range in m | | Thickness | Lithology |
|-------|------------------|--------|-----------|--|
| | From | То | | |
| 1 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.50 | Top soil, black |
| 2 | 0.50 | 11.00 | 10.50 | Basalt, black, highly weathered |
| 3 | 11.00 | 23.00 | 12.00 | Basalt, black ,weathered |
| 4 | 23.00 | 42.00 | 19.00 | Basalt, vesicular, grey |
| 5 | 42.00 | 73.00 | 31.00 | Basalt, black, massive |
| 6 | 73.00 | 74.00 | 1.00 | Basalt, black, massive fractured (Q=0.21 lps) |
| 7 | 74.00 | 92.00 | 18.00 | Basalt, vesicular, grey |
| 8 | 92.00 | 93.00 | 1.00 | Basalt, vesicular, grey fractured (Q=2.43 lps) |
| 9 | 93.00 | 122.00 | 29.00 | Basalt, black, massive |
| 10 | 122.00 | 161.00 | 39.00 | Basalt, vesicular, grey |
| 11 | 161.00 | 173.00 | 12.00 | Basalt, black, massive |
| 12 | 173.00 | 174.00 | 1.00 | Basalt, black, massive, fractured (Q=6.71 lps) |
| 13 | 174.00 | 200.00 | 26.00 | Basalt, black, massive |

Litholog of Exploratory Well, Rayan Village, Nalchha Block

Litholog of Exploratory Well, Kesur Village, Dhar Block

| SI.No | Depth Range in m | | Thickness | Lithology |
|-------|------------------|--------|-----------|--|
| | From | То | • | |
| 1 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.50 | Top soil, black |
| 2 | 0.50 | 11.00 | 4.50 | Basalt, black, highly weathered |
| 4 | 11.00 | 15.30 | 4.30 | Basalt, vesicular, grey |
| 5 | 15.30 | 16.00 | 0.70 | Basalt, black, massive |
| 6 | 16.00 | 36.00 | 20.00 | Basalt, black, massive fractured (Q=0.21 lps) |
| 7 | 36.00 | 54.00 | 18.00 | Basalt, vesicular, grey fractured (Q=2.43 lps) |
| 9 | 54.00 | 82.00 | 28.00 | Basalt, black, massive |
| 10 | 82.00 | 102.00 | 20.00 | Basalt, vesicular, grey |
| 11 | 102.00 | 140.00 | 38.00 | Basalt, black, massive |
| 12 | 140.00 | 152.00 | 12.00 | Basalt, Vesicular , fractured (Q=6.71 lps) |
| 13 | 152.00 | 158.00 | 6.00 | Basalt, black, massive |

Litholog of Chhayan EW, Sardarpur Block

| SI.NO | Depth | | Thichness | Lithology | |
|-------|-------|-----|-----------|-------------------------------|--|
| | From | То | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | Weathered Basalt | |
| 2 | 3 | 64 | 61 | Basalt(Massive and Vesicular) | |
| 3 | 64 | 67 | 3 | Aquifer | |
| 4 | 67 | 98 | 31 | Basalt(Massive and Vesicular) | |
| 5 | 98 | 101 | 3 | Aquifer | |

| 6 | 101 | 137 | 36 | Basalt(Massive and Vesicular) |
|---|-----|-----|----|-------------------------------|
| 7 | 137 | 140 | 3 | Aquifer |
| 8 | 140 | 146 | 6 | Basalt(Massive and Vesicular) |

| Litholog of Gunpur Exploratory well, Manawar Block | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|----------|------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| SI.No. | Depth in m | | Thickness in m | Lithology | | | |
| | From | То | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 6 | 6 | Weathered Basalt | | | |
| 2 | 6 | 34 | 28 | Massive Basalt | | | |
| 3 | 34 | 40 | 6 | Vesicular Basalt | | | |
| 4 | 40 | 68 | 28 | Massive Basalt | | | |
| 5 | 68 | 82 | 14 | Red bole | | | |
| 6 | 82 | 114 | 32 | Vesicular Basalt | | | |
| 7 | 114 | 117 | 3 | AQUIFER | | | |
| 8 | 117 | 142 | 25 | Massive Basalt | | | |
| 9 | 142 | 168 | 26 | Vesicular Basalt | | | |
| 10 | 168 | 184 | 16 | Massive Basalt | | | |
| 11 | 184 | 200 | 16 | Vesicular Basalt | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Litl | holog of | Mewasjamaniya Exploratory we | ell, Nalchha Block | | | |
| | Depth | in m | Thickness in m | Lithology | | | |
| SI.No | From | То | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | Weathered Basalt | | | |
| 2 | 3 | 9 | 6 | Vesicular Basalt | | | |
| 3 | 9 | 26 | 17 | Massive Basalt | | | |
| 4 | 26 | 30 | 4 | Red bole | | | |
| 5 | 30 | 35 | 5 | Vesicular Basalt | | | |
| 6 | 35 | 50 | 15 | Massive Basalt | | | |
| 7 | 50 | 55 | 5 | Vesicular Basalt | | | |
| 8 | 55 | 65 | 10 | Massive Basalt | | | |
| 9 | 65 | 68 | 3 | Vesicular Basalt | | | |
| 10 | 68 | 120 | 52 | Massive Basalt | | | |
| 11 | 120 | 135 | 15 | Vesicular Basalt | | | |
| 12 | 135 | 139 | 4 | Red bole | | | |
| 13 | 139 | 154 | 15 | Vesicular Basalt | | | |
| 14 | 154 | 162 | 8 | Fractured V Basalt | | | |
| 15 | 162 | 196 | 34 | Massive Basalt | | | |
| 16 | 196 | 200 | 4 | Vesicular Basalt | | | |
| | ikshi Block | | | | | | |
| | Depth | in m | Thickness in m | Lithology | | | |
| SI,NO | From | То | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | Weathered Basalt | | | |
| 2 | 3 | 16 | 13 | Massive Basalt | | | |
| 3 | 16 | 18 | 2 | Red bole | | | |
| 4 | 18 | 22 | 4 | Vesicular Basalt | | | |
| 5 | 22 | 34 | 12 | Massive Basalt | | | |
| 6 | 34 | 43 | 9 | Vesicular Basalt | | | |

| 7 | 43 | 80 | 37 | Massive Basalt |
|----|-----|-----|----|------------------|
| 8 | 80 | 88 | 8 | Vesicular Basalt |
| 9 | 88 | 125 | 37 | Massive Basalt |
| 10 | 125 | 128 | 3 | Vesicular Basalt |
| 11 | 128 | 200 | 72 | Massive Basalt |