

केंद्रीय भूमि जल बोर्ड

जल संसाधन, नदी विकास और गंगा संरक्षण मंत्रालय

भारत सरकार

Central Ground Water Board

Ministry of Water Resources, River Development and Ganga Rejuvenation Government of India

Report on

AQUIFER MAPPING AND MANAGEMENT PLAN

Domakonda Mandal, Nizamabad District, Telangana


दक्षिणी क्षेत्र, हैदराबाद Southern Region, Hyderabad



भारत सरकार जल संसाधन नदी विकास एवम् गंगा संरक्षण मंत्रालय केंद्रीय भूमिजल बोर्ड

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA MINISTRY OF WATER RESOURCES, RIVER DEVELOPMENT AND GANGA REJUVENATION

REPORT ON AQUIFER MAPS & MANAGEMENT PLANS DOMAKONDA MANDAL, NIZAMABAD DISTRICT, TELANGANA STATE



CENTRAL GROUND WATER BOARD SOUTHERN REGION HYDERABAD AUGUST-2016

REPORT ON AQUIFER MAPS & MANAGEMENT PLANS DOMAKONDA MANDAL, NIZAMABAD DISTRICT, TELANGANA STATE

| | DOMAKONDA MANDAL, NIZAMA. SALIENT FEATURES | | D DISTRICT, TEEM GRANT STATE |
|----|---|---|---|
| 1 | Name of the Mandal/Area | : | DOMAKONDA/159 Km ² |
| 1 | Revenue Division | • | NIZAMABAD |
| | Location | | EL78 ⁰ 22'29.91"- 78 ⁰ 34'4.38" |
| | (Fig-1) | | NL18 ⁰ 9'51.16"-18 ⁰ 17'41.47" |
| 2 | No. of Revenue villages | : | 21 |
| 3 | District/State | : | Nizamabad/Telangana |
| 4 | Population /Density (2011 Census) | : | 56939/358 per Km ² |
| 5 | Normal Rainfall (mm) | : | 941.2 -Monsoon: 729.9 mm (77%) |
| | Ttormar Raman (mm) | | -Non-Monsoon:213.30 mm (23%) |
| | Actual Rainfall (2014-15)(mm) | | 610.6 |
| 6 | Agriculture (Ha) (2014-15): | : | Kharif season: |
| 0 | Agriculture (11a) (2014-13). | | 1. Net area sown: 4796 |
| | | | 2. Paddy: 1800 (38%) |
| | | | 3. Maize: 1770(37%) |
| | | | 4. Total oil seeds: 160(3%) |
| | | | |
| | | | 5. Total pulses: 192(4%)6. Other crops: 785(16%) |
| | | | Rabi season: |
| | | | |
| | | | 1. Net area sown: 2729 |
| | | | 2. Maize: 1025(38%) |
| | | | 3. Paddy: 625(23%) |
| | | | 4. Total oil seeds: 174(6%) |
| | | | 5. Total pulses: 44(2%) |
| | | | 6. Total spices: 21(1%) |
| | T ' ' (2014 15) (II) | | 7. Other crops: 84(31%) |
| 7 | Irrigation (2014-15) (Ha) | : | 1. Gross irrigated area: 5278 |
| | | | 2. Net irrigated area: 2593 |
| | | | 3. Area irrigated more than once: 2685 |
| | | | • Ground water: 5278 |
| 8 | Existing and future water demands | | Domestic & Industrial |
| | (MCM) | | • Existing:0.28 |
| | | | • Future (year 2025):2.01 |
| | | | Irrigation (Existing): 20.84 |
| 9 | Depth to water level (m bgl) | : | 12-29 m (Pre-monsoon) |
| | | | 10-33m (Post-monsoon) |
| | AQUIFER DISPOSITION | : | |
| 10 | No of Aquifers | : | 2 |
| 11 | 3-D aquifer disposition and basic | : | Geology-Granites |
| | characteristics of each aquifer | | Aqufer-1 (Weathered Zone): |
| | (3D: Fig-2a | | Weathering varies from 16-27 m |
| | Section Layout:2b | | Transmissivity(T): 6-181 m ² /day |
| | Sections: 2c & 2d) | | Specific Yield (Sy):0.2 to 2 % |
| | | | Aquifer-2 (Fractured Zone): |
| | | | Depth of fracturing varies from 30-40 m. |
| | | | Transmissivity (T): 10-117 m ² /day |

| | | ı | G 15 (G) 0 00001 0 00 | | |
|-------|--------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| | | | Specific storage (S):0.00001-0.02 | | |
| | | | Cumulative yield (Aq1 and Aq 2) (lps): 0 to 2 | | |
| 12 | Ground water Issues | : | Geogenic contamination by fluoride. | | |
| | | | Anthropogenic contamination by nitrate. | | |
| | | | • Sustainability of wells (3-4 hrs). | | |
| 13 | Ground water resource availability | : | Net GW availability :25.88 | | |
| | and extraction | | • Gross Ground Water draft for | | |
| | (MCM) | | Irrigation:20.92 | | |
| | | | Gross Ground water draft for domestic and | | |
| | | | industrial supply:0.28 | | |
| | | | • Gross GW draft:21.20 | | |
| | | | • Stage of ground water development: 82 % | | |
| | | | Category: Semi Critical | | |
| 14 | Ground water extraction | : | No of ground water extraction Structures :5521 | | |
| | | | No. of Dug wells :256 | | |
| | | | No. of Bore wells:4995 | | |
| 15 | Chemical quality of ground water and | : | Pre-monsoon | | |
| | contamination | | EC (μS/cm) min :200: max:1800 | | |
| | | | NO ₃ (mg/L): Min :2 and max :155 | | |
| | | | F (mg/L): Min :0.1 and Max:1.75 | | |
| | | | Post-monsoon | | |
| | | | EC (μS/cm) min: 375 max: 2700 | | |
| | | | NO ₃ (mg/L): Min :1 and max 195 | | |
| | | | F (mg/L): Min 0.1 and Max 2 | | |
| 1.0 | | | 3 villages are affected with high fluoride(f>1.5mg/l) | | |
| 16 | Ground Water Recharge Scenario | : | MCM | | |
| 16.1 | Recharge from Rainfall (Monsoon) | : | 14.32 | | |
| 16.2 | Recharge from Other sources (Tanks | : | 4.59 | | |
| 1.6.2 | and applied irrigation) (Monsoon) | | 2.60 | | |
| 16.3 | Recharge from rainfall (Non- | : | 3.68 | | |
| 1.6.4 | Monsoon) | | 6.17 | | |
| 16.4 | Recharge from Other sources (Tanks | : | 6.17 | | |
| | and applied irrigation) (Non- | | | | |
| 165 | Monsoon) | | 20.75 | | |
| 16.5 | Total annual GW Recharge | : | 28.75 | | |
| 16.6 | Natural Discharge | : | 2.88 | | |
| 16.7 | Existing Minor Irrigation Tanks(nos) | : | 66 | | |
| 16.8 | Storage from existing tanks | : | 0.13 | | |
| 16.9 | Existing Artificial Recharge | : | 27/42/2950 | | |
| 17 | Structures (PT, CD and Farm ponds) | | A A | | |
| 17 | Storage from existing AR Structures | : | 4.4 | | |




Fig-1: Location Map of Domakonda Mandal.




Fig-2(a-d): 3 D map and Sections.

GW MANAGEMENT STRATAGIES, DOMAKONDA MANDAL, NIZAMABAD DISTRICT

| A | WATER RESOURCE AVAILABILITY | | |
|--------------|--|---|--|
| | • Ground water (as per GEC 2012-13) | : | 25.88 MCM |
| | • Surface Water (as per 2014-15 | : | - |
| | irrigation data) | | 27.00.1571 |
| | Total water availability | : | 25.88 MCM |
| (a) | Ground Water Resource Enhancement | | |
| | (Table-1) | - | |
| 1 | Supply side Interventions Aquifer wise space available for recharge and | | 7-29m |
| 1 | proposed interventions | • | /-2/III |
| 2 | Volume of Un-saturated zone (upto 3mbgl) | : | 3140.4 MCM |
| 3 | Recharge Potential (Sy 2%) | | 62.8 MCM |
| 4 | Utilizable Yield available for ARS | : | 4.75 MCM |
| 5 | No. of Check dams (CD's) / Mini percolation | : | 122 (CDs:55+PTs:67) |
| | tanks (MPT's) recommended | | |
| 6 | Total Cost of ARS | : | 9.45 Cr |
| 7 | Expected Ground Water Recharge through ARS | : | 2.4 MCM |
| 8 | Water Conservation Measures (WCM) (Farm Ponds) | : | 100 |
| 9 | Total Cost of WCM | : | 0.25 Cr |
| 10 | Mission Kakatiya- Repair & Renovation of | : | 0.20 MCM (23 tanks) |
| 1.1 | existing Tanks | | 12 . 1 . (20.01.160.6) |
| 11 | Proposed tanks to be taken up in phased | | 43 tanks (@0.01 MCM) |
| 12 | manner Expected GW Recharge under Mission | | 0.06 MCM(30 % of capacity) |
| 12 | Kakatiya | • | 0.00 MeW(50 % of capacity) |
| 13 | Mission Bhagiratha (Providing drinking | : | 2.08 MCM/year |
| | water needs to the entire population) @ 100 | | , |
| | lpcd/person (rural) and 135 (urban) from | | |
| | surface water source from outside the mandal | | |
| | area (From River Krishna) | | 2.22.162.1 |
| 14 | Net Saving of Ground water from Mission Bhagiratha | : | 0.28 MCM/year |
| (B) | DEMAND SIDE INTERVENTION | | |
| 15 | Existing Micro Irrigation Intervention & Gross area irrigated | : | 167 Micro irrigation units/167.03 ha |
| 16 | Proposed Micro Irrigation | : | 2100 ha in 21 Villages @ 100 ha in each NC village. |
| 17 | Cost for micro-irrigation | : | 12.6 Cr@ 0.60 lakhs per ha. |
| 18 | Expected ground water saving from micro- irrigation | : | 4.2 MCM of water is expected to be conserved. |
| (C) | REGULATION & COMMUNITY INTERVENTIONS | | |
| 19 | Regulation and control | : | WALTA-Act to be implemented in true spirit. Regulation of power supply in 2 |

| (d) | OTHER INTERVENTIONS SUGGESTED | | spells @ 4 hours/spell to increase bore well/GW sustainability. As mandatory measures power connection may be given to only those farmers who are adopting micro irrigation for all new bore well to be constructed. Participatory Ground Water Management with community and women participation. Paddy cultivation during rabi season should be reduced and to be shifted to ID Crops and drought resistant crops. If necessary some regulatory rules may be framed and implemented. In the existing ground water areas sharing of ground water amongst the users to be encouraged to increase the sustainability of wells by reducing well interference. The bore well owner should be suitably compensated for the cost of well by funding to farmers for adopting micro irrigation practices by the Govt. |
|-----|---|---|---|
| (e) | EXPECTED RESULTS AND OUTCOME | | |
| 20 | Total Cost of Interventions (Excluding Mission Kakatiya and Bhagiratha) | : | 22.3 Cr |
| 21 | Likely benefit of Interventions | : | ~7.91 MCM ground water can be saved from the above interventions. The stage of Ground water development may likely to be come down by 19 % (from 82 % to 63%). |

Table-1: Village wise list of Artificial Recharge Structures Recommended.

| S.No | Village | Unsaturated thickness upto 3 m. bgl (m.) | Village Recharge potential MCM (upto 3 m.bgl) | 20% of Runoff for AR MCM | Proposed CD's | Proposed PT's | Total cost | Expected GW Recharge in MCM |
|------|-----------------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|
| | Priority-1 | m | MCM | MCM | NO. | NO. | Lakhs | MCM |
| 1 | Ambarpet | 11 | 3.5 | 0.5 | 9 | 9 | 135 | 0.25 |
| 2 | Bibipet | 23 | 7.1 | 0.4 | 6 | 6 | 90 | 0.22 |
| 3 | Domakonda | 25 | 6.7 | 0.4 | 6 | 6 | 90 | 0.20 |
| 4 | Issanagar | 21 | 2.5 | 0.2 | 1 | 2 | 25 | 0.09 |
| 5 | Malkapur | 20 | 2.2 | 0.2 | 0 | 2 | 20 | 0.08 |
| 6 | Muthyampet | 15 | 2.8 | 0.3 | 4 | 4 | 60 | 0.14 |
| 7 | Sitarampalle | 22 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.02 |
| 8 | Sitarampur | 27 | 2.7 | 0.1 | 3 | 3 | 45 | 0.07 |
| 9 | Tujalpur | 21 | 3.1 | 0.2 | 0 | 2 | 20 | 0.11 |
| | Priority-1(Total) | | | | 29 | 34 | 485 | 1.19 |
| | Priority-2 | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Anchanur | 25 | 4.3 | 0.2 | 3 | 4 | 55 | 0.12 |
| 2 | Chintamanpalle | 9 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 4 | 4 | 60 | 0.12 |
| 3 | Janagaon | 21 | 3.7 | 0.3 | 1 | 3 | 35 | 0.14 |
| 4 | Konapur | 7 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 1 | 2 | 25 | 0.07 |
| 5 | Kundaram | 29 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.02 |
| 6 | Lingupalle | 27 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.04 |
| 7 | Mohammadapur | 27 | 4.3 | 0.2 | 3 | 4 | 55 | 0.12 |
| 8 | Ramchandrapur | 20 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.03 |
| 9 | Ramreddipalle | 19 | 7.0 | 0.5 | 8 | 9 | 130 | 0.27 |
| 10 | Sangameshwar | 27 | 3.9 | 0.2 | 2 | 3 | 40 | 0.10 |
| 11 | Siribibipet | 22 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.02 |
| 12 | Yadaram | 16 | 2.6 | 0.3 | 4 | 4 | 60 | 0.13 |
| | Priority-2 (Total) | | | | 26 | 33 | 460 | 1.18 |
| | Total (P-1&P-2) | | | | 55 | 67 | 945 | 2.37 |