For Office Use Only



### Government of India Ministry of Water Resources, River Development & Ganga Rejuvenation Central Ground Water Board

#### PLAN ON

# ARTIFICIAL RECHARGE TO GROUND WATER AND WATER CONSERVATION

IN

#### OVEREXPLOITED BLOCKS OF NAWANSHAHAR DISTRICT, PUNJAB

Central Ground Water Board North Western Region Chandigarh



# PLAN OF ARTFICIAL RECHARGE TO GROUND WATER IN OVER EXPLOITED BLOCKS, DISTRICT NAWAN SAHAR PUNJAB

#### INTRODUCTION

Nawanshahr district, located in the eastern part of the Punjab State, forms a part of the Bist-Doab region. Geographically, it lies between North latitudes of 30°48′45″ and 31°16′15″ and East longitudes of 75°46′00″ and 76°26′30″ covering a geographical ambience of 1190 sq.km.

The area is bounded by Nawanshahar district in the north, Siwalik Hills in the northeast, Sutlej River in the south, Kapurthala district in the northwest and Jalandhar in the west.

Administratively, Nawanshahr district is divided into 2 tehsils namely Nawanshahr and Balachaur comprising five-development block Aur, Banga, Shahr, Saroya and Balachaur.

Physiographically, the area is bounded by NNW- SSE trending Siwalik Hills in the northeast and antecedent Sutlej River in the south, which forms the main drainage basin.

#### **RAINFALL AND CLIMATE**

The average annual rainfall in the district is 924 mm. The rainfall in the district in general increases from the south-west towards the north-east. About 70 % of the annual normal rainfall in the district is received during the period July to September, July being the rainiest month. Some rainfall is received mostly as thunder showers in June and in association with passing western disturbances in the cold season. After February, temperature begin to rise rapidly. June is generally the hottest month with the mean daily temperature at about 41<sup>°</sup>C and the mean daily minimum at about 27<sup>°</sup>C.

#### **GEOMORPHOLOGY AND SOIL TYPES**

The district forms a part of Indo-Gangetic alluvial plain. Geomorphologically, the area can be grouped into 2 units-alluvial fan and alluvial plains. Alluvial fans are mainly found in the foothills deposited by hill torrents. These alluvial fans coalesced to form Kandi formation and Sirowal formation, which runs parallel to Siwaliks.

The soils in the district can be grouped under Reddish Chestnut Soils and Tropical Arid Brown Soils (Weakly Solonized). These soils have formed from the alluvium deposited by rivers of Indus system. The soils are generally loamy sand at the surface and calcareous sandy loam in the sub-surface layers.

#### Hydrogeology

The Nawanshahr district is covered by Quaternary alluvial deposit except in the north-eastern part, where the Siwalik hills of Tertiary age are exposed. The aquifer in the alluvial tracts of Banga, Aur and Nawanshahr block comprises sand and silt with intercalation of little clay and kankar. In Kandi formation, covering large parts of Balachaur and Saroya blocks, boulders, gravel, pebbles and coarse sand with several layers of lenticular and fringing clay forms the main water bearing formation.

Groundwater occurs under both unconfined as wells as confined conditions. In Kandi area and top aquifer of alluvial tract that extends to a depth range of 50 to 60m bgl groundwater occurs under unconfined condition. But towards south, groundwater occurs under semi-confined to confined condition particularly below 50 m depth that is in hydraulic continuity with the kandi area. Precipitation is the principal source of replenishment of groundwater in kandi area.

In unconfined aquifer, the depth to water level varies from 8.8 to 29.7m during pre monsoon and 8.3 to 23.7m during post monsoon season. Deeper water levels are observed in the north eastern part of the district where Tertiary Siwalik hills are exposed. Since the depth to water level is more than 5 m bgl, the whole district is not prone to water logging condition.

In major part of the district, the water level ranges between 10 and 30 m while the water level in the western and southern part is within the depth range of 5 to 10 m bgl. Seasonal fluctuation shows that in general there is an overall rise in the water level except in the eastern part and few isolated patches. However, the long term trend of water level of 10 years shows that there is a decline in water level in major part of the area ranging from 0.25 to 0.86 m /year except a few isolated patches where the rise is at the rate of 0.06 m/year which is insignificant.

The subsurface geology shows a marked difference between the north eastern Kandi belt and alluvial plain in the western part of the district. Hence the performance of aquifer and various aquifer parameters will also show variation. The shallow tubewells tapping 40 m of alluvial aquifer in Banga, Aur and Nawanshahr block shows more yield in the range of 1500-5700 lpm for drawdown of 4.6 and 5.6 m respectively while those in Kandi belt the yield is in the range of 708-1500 lpm for drawdown of 4.69-5.9 m respectively. Similarly the aquifer parameter also show vide variation from eastern to western part of the district. In the eastern part the hydraulic conductivity is 7 m/day and transmissivity is 645 m<sup>2</sup>/day. While in the western part the value of K & T are 53 m/day and 2940 m<sup>2</sup>/day respectively. Similarly the storage coefficient of the aquifer in southeastern part is  $1.8*10^{-3}$  while it is of the order of  $1.18*10^{-3}$  in the western part. The values of various aquifer parameters clearly indicates that the aquifer in the

western part of the district bear promising potential aquifer while the aquifer in the eastern part are intercalated by more clay layers.

#### **Ground Water Resources**

Ground Water Resource potential of the district has been assessed as per Ground Water Resource Estimation Methodology-1997 (GEC-97) by considering administrative block as the assessment unit by excluding hilly terrain. The gross groundwater draft of the district is 722.56 MCM whereas net groundwater resource is 627.46 MCM. The stage of groundwater development in three block viz. Aur, Banga and Nawanshahr exceeded 100%, thus categorised as over-exploited while in the remaining two blocks viz. Saroya and Balachaur are categorised under safe category. The stage of ground water development in the district as a whole is 115%.

#### **GROUND WATER QUALITY**

The ground water in the district is alkaline in nature with low to medium salinity. The chemical quality data from the shallow and deep aquifers indicate that all major cations (Ca, Mg, Na, K) and anions (CO<sub>3</sub>, HCO<sub>3</sub>, Cl, SO<sub>4</sub>) are within the permissible limits set by BIS, 1991. In the western part of the district, electrical conductivity is slightly higher than 700 microsiemens/cm. While, the maximum value of 940 microsiemens/cm is reported at village Rahon..

#### **GROUND WATER IRRIGATION SCENARIO**

As per the data available from minor irrigation census 2006-07 the detailed number of shallow, deep, tubewells, lined, unlined water distribution system, land holdings of wells are given below for reference

Distribution of Shallow Tubewells According to Owner's Holding Size

|       | No. of shallow tube wells by size class of individual owner |          |          |             |          |           |       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------|---|----------|----------|-------------|----------|-----------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Sr.no | district  | Marginal | Small    | Semi-Medium | Medium   | Big       | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |   | (0-1 ha) | (1-2 ha) | (2-4 ha)    | (4-10ha) | (>=10 ha) |       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1     | Nawan   | 1452     | 3596     | 6098        | 4677     | 1212      | 17035 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|       | shahr   |          |          |             |          |           |       |  |  |  |  |  |  |

#### Distribution of Deep Tubewells According to Owner's Holding Size

| No. of deep tube wells by size class of individual owner |          |          |          |             |          |           |       |  |  |  |
|--|----------|----------|----------|-------------|----------|-----------|-------|--|--|--|
| Sr.no  | district | Marginal | Small    | Semi-Medium | Medium   | Big       | Total |  |  |  |
|  |          | (0-1 ha) | (1-2 ha) | (2-4 ha)    | (4-10ha) | (>=10 ha) |       |  |  |  |

| 1 | Nawan | 58 | 637 | 3580 | 4092 | 798 | 9165 |
|---|-------|----|-----|------|------|-----|------|
|   | shahr |    |     |      |      |     |      |

#### Distribution of Shallow Tubewells According to Depth of tube well

|       | No. by the depth of shallow Tube well |            |        |             |        |           |       |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------|---------------------------------------|------------|--------|-------------|--------|-----------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Sr.no | district                              | (0-20 mts) | (20-40 | (40-60 mts) | (60-70 | (>70 mts) | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
|       |                                       |            | mts)   |             | mts)   |           |       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1     | Nawan                                 | 719        | 4406   | 9410        | 2503   | 0         | 17038 |  |  |  |  |  |
|       | shahr                                 |            |        |             |        |           |       |  |  |  |  |  |

#### Number of Ground Water Schemes and Potential Utilized by water distribution device

| Gr    | Ground Water Schemes according to water Distribution System |             |                |                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------|---|-------------|----------------|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|       |   |             |                |                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sr.no | District  | Lined/pucca | Unlined/kutcha | Under<br>ground pipe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1     | Nawan shahr   | 628         | 25663          | 0                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

#### PLAN OF THIS REPORT

In this plan 2 types of the recharge structures are proposed such as Roof Top Rain water harvesting in rural & urban areas and Recharge pits in agriculture lands of 5mt x5mt x3mt size. The pit will be surrounded by angle irons and barbed fencing. The size and depth depend on the availability of the land. The extra water available on the field will be stored in the pit and that will also be recharged to the ground water.

A summery outline of the artificial recharge plan for the entire district of each block is given at the beginning in tabular forms. This is followed by the salient features of each block along with the detailed structure-wise recharge plan and cost estimates.

Details of the block wise type of suitable recharge structures and volume of water assured for annual recharge for each block, schematic design of recharge structures are annexed at annexure I & II.

This plan is focusing on the technical aspects of the ground water recharge through various means so that various implementing agencies may get the appropriate technical guidelines. The existing/ongoing schemes of the central or state govt. like MANERGA, IWSP, PMKVY, NABARD funded schemes, Urban Development schemes, departmentally funded projects etc. may be benefitted from the recharge plan by incorporating the input in the operational guidelines/ design and for locating the specific sites.

Agriculture university, engineering Collages, Academic and Research Institution, NGO may also take up the pilot or demonstrative projects in the blocks suitable to them to plan at local level as per local conditions.

| S N | Type of Structure                                       | No. of structures | Unit cost in | Total cost of       | Annual   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----|---|-------------------|--------------|---------------------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|     |   |                   | Lakhs        | structure in Crores | Recharge |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |   |                   |              |                     | (MCM)    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | ROOF TOP RAIN WATER HARVESTING IN RURAL AND URBEN AREAS |                   |              |                     |          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1   | Artificial Recharge Plan For                            | 2062              | 0.25         | 5.155               | 0.1402   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | Urban Areas.  |                   |              |                     |          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2   | Roof Top Rain Water                                     | 6898              | 0.25         | 17.245              | 0.607    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | Harvesting in Rural Areas                               |                   |              |                     |          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |   |                   |              |                     |          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | Total   | 8960              | 0.25         | 22.40               | 0.7472   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | ARTIFICIAI  | L RECHARGE IN     | FARMS        |                     | I        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1   | Artificial Recharge Plan                                | 7390              | 0.35         | 25.865              | 8.16     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     | Through Recharge Pits.                                  |                   |              |                     |          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |   |                   |              | 25.075              | 0.170    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |   |                   | Total        | 25.865              | 8.168    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |   | 1 1               |              |                     | 25. 0/   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

By the implementation of the proposed recharge structures there will be a reduction of 1.27 % in stage of ground water development as tabulated below

| Sr. | Total     | Overdraft | Additional | Draft       | Stage of    | Stage of       | Reduction in   |
|-----|-----------|-----------|------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|
| no. | Draft     | (mcm)     | Recharge   | Reduced due | development | development    | stage of       |
|     | (present) |           | through    | to Recharge | (present)   | after recharge | development    |
|     | (mcm)     |           | proposed   | (mcm)       |             |                | after recharge |
|     |           |           | structures |             |             |                |                |
|     |           |           | (mcm)      |             |             |                |                |
| 1   | 722.56    | -98.83    | 8.915      | 713.645     | 115%        | 113.73%        | 1.27%          |

#### ARTIFICIAL RCEHARGE PLAN THROUGH RECHARGE PITS IN OVER EXPLOITED BLOCKS NAWAN SHAHR DISTRICT

| Block Name  | Total area of<br>the village (in<br>hectares) | 10%of village<br>area taken for<br>farm recharge<br>(hectares) | Total number<br>of recharge<br>pits | Annual<br>recharge<br>(MCM)=<br>(Area*Runoff<br>15%*) | Cost of Pit<br>@Rs.0.35lakh<br>(Crores) |
|-------------|---|--|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Banga       | 26230   | 2623   | 2623                                | 2.739   | 13.12                                   |
| Aur         | 22030   | 2203   | 2203                                | 2.290   | 11.02                                   |
| Nawan Shahr | 25640   | 2564   | 2564                                | 3.139   | 12.82                                   |
|             |   |  | 7390                                | 8.168   | 36.95                                   |

Number of Recharge pits are based on following factors:

Availability of Irrigation wells In the farmer land

Area of sandy strata at shallow depth identified

Type of structure will be recharge pit/ Recharge well( where top three meters is clay)

| ROOF TOP RAINWATER HARVESTING IN RURAL AREAS OF NAWAN SHAHR DISTRICT<br>OF PUNJAB |       |                  |   |                                       |   |                           |                       |   |  |  |
|---|-------|------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|---------------------------|-----------------------|---|--|--|
| Name of District  | Sr.no | Name of CD Block | Total area of the village ( in hectares ) | Number of households (2011<br>census) | No of Houses taken for Artificial<br>Recharge | Total No of AR Structures | Total recharge in MCM | Cost @ 0.25<br>Lacs/structure<br>(Crores) |  |  |
|   | 1     | Banga            | 26234                                     | 28201                                 | 2820  | 2820                      | 0.236                 | 7.1                                       |  |  |
| NAWAN   | 2     | Aur              | 22027                                     | 19099                                 | 1910  | 1910                      | 0.159                 | 4.8                                       |  |  |
| SHAHR   | 3     | Nawan Shahr      | 25644                                     | 21682                                 | 2168  | 2168                      | 0.212                 | 5.4                                       |  |  |
|   |       | Total            | 73905                                     | 68982                                 | 6898  | 6898                      | 0.607                 | 17.2                                      |  |  |

| 11111    |       |               |            |            |            |        | 511D      |          |
|----------|-------|---------------|------------|------------|------------|--------|-----------|----------|
| District | Block | Town Name     | Total      | Total      |            | Total  |           | Cost     |
|          |       |               | Households | Population | Households | Roof   | Vol of    | @Rs.0.25 |
|          |       |               |            | of Town    | taken for  | Тор    | water     | lakh     |
|          |       |               |            |            | Artificial | Area   | available | (Crores) |
|          |       |               |            |            | Recharge   | (sqm)  | for       |          |
|          |       |               |            |            | (10%)      |        | recharge  |          |
|          |       |               |            |            |            |        | (MCM)     |          |
|          | BANGA | BANGA (M CL)  | 4401       |            |            |        |           |          |
|          |       |               |            | 20906      | 440        | 88020  | 0.049     | 1.1      |
|          | AUR   | AUR (CT)      | 864        | 4063       | 86         | 17280  | 0.010     | 0.22     |
|          | AUR   | SALOH (CT)    | 971        | 4481       | 97         | 19420  | 0.011     | 0.24     |
| NAWAN    | NAWAN | NAWAN SHAHR   |            |            |            |        |           |          |
| SHAHR    | SHAHR | (M CL)        | 10073      | 46024      | 1007       | 201460 | 0.0132    | 2.52     |
| SHAIR    | NAWAN |               |            |            |            |        |           |          |
|          | SHAHR | KAHON (MCL)   | 3260       | 15676      | 326        | 65200  | 0.043     | 0.82     |
|          | NAWAN |               | 1055       |            |            |        |           |          |
|          | SHAHR | KHUINKAN (CI) |            | 5046       | 106        | 21100  | 0.014     | 0.27     |
|          |       | TOTAL         | 20624      | 96196      | 2062       | 412480 | 0.1402    | 5.16     |

#### ARTIFICIAL RECHARGE PLAN FOR URBAN AREAS OF DISTRICT NAWAN SHAHR PUNJAB

#### **B. POTENTIAL FOR REDUCTION IN OVERDRAFT BY ENHANCING THE GROUND** WATER USE EFFICIENCY OF IRRIGATION TUBE WELLS

The micro level transformation in the ground water management have vast impact potential to counter extensive ground water depletion faced by the state of Punjab, particularly in overexploited blocks. There are around 26203tubewells operated by farmers for irrigation through unlined/Katcha (97.61%) open channel system in Nawanshahar district where water from the tube-well is discharge to the agricultural field. In this process huge quantity of ground water is wasted in soil moisture and evaporation losses.

Dynamic ground water resources (2011) indicate that Gross ground water draft for irrigation in Nawanshahar district is estimated at 699.90 MCM. It is expected that around 30.58% of over draft can be brought down by switching over to underground/surface pipeline based distribution from the prevailing unlined open channels. Thereby gross draft will be reduced to the tune of 509.53 MCM assuming there is no crop diversification by the farmers.

The benefit will lead to saving of precious ground water resources in overexploited blocks of Nawanshahar Districts. The measure if implemented will bring down the ground water overdraft from 107% to 76 %. The category of the blocks will also improve drastically resulting in boosting of agriculture and industrial development otherwise not sustainable in majority of the blocks in the state.

The tubewells also consume enormous electricity which is subsidized and government incurs significant revenue on this account. The measures therefore will result in saving of energy and money. Pollution impact will be reduced whenever diesel engines are used by the farmers. The environmental and ecological condition in the irrigated land will improve. Unwanted weed growth will also be controlled inside the farm land. This will also be useful in the waterlogged/ shallow water table areas as the seepage losses in these areas also aggravate the water logging. Government should make/launch a mission mode program for installing the underground pipe lines instead of having *katcha* channel in the entire Punjab. Heavy ground water overdraft can be reduced by these efforts. This will ensure more crop per drop.

#### POTENTIAL FOR REDUCTION IN OVERDRAFT BY ENHANCING THE GROUND WATER USE EFFICIENCY IN **IRRIGATION TUBEWELLS, NAWANSHAHAR DISTRICT**

| Net        | Total     | Gross      | Gross        | Pecent | Wastage                    | Potential of | Gross draft     | Present  | Stage of     | Reduction in | n |
|------------|-----------|------------|--------------|--------|----------------------------|--------------|-----------------|----------|--------------|--------------|---|
| Annual     | Draft     | Irrigation | Ground       | age of | through                    | Reduced      | after saving of | Stage of | development  | stage o      | f |
| Ground     | (present) | Draft      | Water Draft  | unline | unlined                    | irrigation   | water (mcm)     | developm | afterwards(( | development  |   |
| Water      | (mcm)     | (present)  | for Domestic | d      | channel,                   | overdraft    | (Col 7+Col4)    | ent (%)  | Col          | after        |   |
| Availabili |           | (mcm)      | and          | chann  | (mcm)                      | (Col3-col6)  |                 |          | 8/Col1)X100) | constructing |   |
| ty (mcm)   |           |            | industrial   | el     | (Col 3 X                   | (mcm)        |                 |          | (%)          | pucca canal  |   |
|            |           |            | supply (mcm) |        | Col5 X                     |              |                 |          |              | (Col9-Col10) |   |
|            |           |            |              |        | <b>0.30</b> <sup>#</sup> ) |              |                 |          |              | (%)          |   |
| 1          | 2         | 3          | 4            | 5      | 6                          | 7            | 8               | 9        | 10           | 11           |   |
|            |           |            |              |        |                            |              |                 |          |              |              |   |
| 670.30     | 714.15    | 699.90     | 14.60        | 97.61  | 204.95                     | 494.95       | 509.53          | 107      | 76           | 30.58        |   |

# Losses from open kuchha channel are around 30%. COST ESTIMATE OF UNDERGROUND PIPE LINE

| District    | Block      | Irrigated    | Percentage | Area under | Total cost @Rs.0.50 lack per | <b>Total Cost</b> |
|-------------|------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------------------------|-------------------|
|             |            | area by      | of Unlined | unlined    | hector(in cr ) =Total        | in Rs.            |
|             |            | ground water | Channel    | Channels   | irrigated area (by ground    | Crores.           |
|             |            | scheme (ha)  | (%)        |            | water scheme) of the block   | District          |
|             |            |              |            |            | *0.5 *Col4                   | wise              |
| 1           | 2          | 3            | 4          | 5          | 6                            | 7                 |
|             | Banga      | 19762        | 97.61      | 19290      | 96.45                        |                   |
|             | Aur        | 18348        | 97.61      | 17909      | 89.55                        | 405 27            |
| NAWANSHAHAR | Nawanshahr | 19964        | 97.61      | 19487      | 97.43                        | 405.27            |
|             | Saroya     | 10604        | 97.61      | 10351      | 51.75                        |                   |
|             | Balachour  | 14361        | 97.61      | 14018      | 70.09                        |                   |

| Wells<br>Feasible | Rigs<br>Suitable             | Depth of<br>Well (m) | Discharge<br>(lpm) | Suitable Artificial<br>Recharge Structures |  |
|-------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| Tube Wells        | Direct and<br>Reverse Rotary | 40 - 145 1300 - 3000 |                    | Recharge Shaft<br>And<br>Recharge Trench   |  |
| Tube Wells        | Direct and<br>Reverse Rotary | 45 - 80              | 1000 - 1300        | Recharge Shaft<br>And<br>Recharge Trench   |  |
| Tube Wells        | Direct and<br>Reverse Rotary | 40 - 50 600 - 1000   |                    | Recharge Shaft<br>And<br>Recharge Trench   |  |
| DEPTH TO<br>NOVE  | WATER LEVEL<br>MBER 2014     |                      | d):                | • International                            |  |
|                   | 2.00 - 5.00 mbgl             | <u> </u>             | ational Highway    | Boundary                                   |  |
|                   | 5.00 - 10.00 mbgl            | ×                    | Canals             | State<br>Boundary                          |  |
|                   | 10.00 - 20.00 mbgl           |                      | Water Bodies       | Soundary                                   |  |
|                   | 20.00 - 40.00 mbgl           | ×                    | Major Drainage     | Block<br>Headquarter                       |  |

#### SALIENT FEATURES OF HYDROGEOLOGY OF DISTRICT NAWAN SHAHR

#### OTHER INFORMATION

| Name of State                             | Punjab                      |  |  |  |
|---|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Name of District                          | Nawan Shahr                 |  |  |  |
| Geographical Area                         | 1190 sq.km.                 |  |  |  |
| Major Geological Formation                | Alluviam and Shiwaliks      |  |  |  |
| Major Drainage System                     | Satluj                      |  |  |  |
| Population (as on 2011)                   | 6,14,362                    |  |  |  |
| Total Number of Blocks                    | 5                           |  |  |  |
| Existing Major/Medium Irrigation Projects | Bist Doab Canal             |  |  |  |
| Utillizable Ground Water Resources 2011   | 627.46 (mcm)                |  |  |  |
| Net Ground Water Draft                    | 722.56 (mcm)                |  |  |  |
| Stage of Ground Water Development         | 115 %                       |  |  |  |
| Average Annual Rainfall                   | 924 mm                      |  |  |  |
| Range of Mean Daily Temperature           | 6- 41°C                     |  |  |  |
| Over Exploted Blocks                      | AUR<br>BANGA<br>NAWAN SHAHR |  |  |  |



# BLOCK WISE PLAN OF DISTRICT NAWANSHAR PUNJAB

(30E BLOCKS)



| Block<br>Distri | X Name:- Aur<br>Aict:- Nawanshahr                 | State:- PUNJAB  |
|-----------------|---|-----------------|
|                 |   |                 |
| 1.              | GENERAL INFORMATION                               |                 |
|                 | i) Geographical area (sq km)                      | 218.50          |
|                 | Number of Villages inhabited                      | 75              |
|                 | • Un-inhabited                                    | 3               |
|                 | ii) Average Annual Rainfall (mm)                  | 698             |
|                 | iii) Area feasible for Artificial Recharge        | 218.50          |
|                 | iv) Village identified under scarcity of<br>Water | 59              |
|                 | v) Village covered under water supply             | 80              |
|                 | vi) Water Tank exists in the village              | 38              |
| 2.              | GEOMORPHOLOGY                                     |                 |
|                 | Major Physiographic                               | Alluvium Plain  |
|                 | Major drainages                                   |                 |
|                 | Basin<br>Sub-Basin                                | Satluj 100%     |
| 3               | LAND USE  |                 |
| 5.              | Area According to Village Papers     (Sq.Km)      | 229.33          |
|                 | • Net Area Sown (Sq.Km)                           | 199.95          |
|                 | • Area Sown More than Once (Sq.Km)                | 199.95          |
|                 | Total Cropped Area (Sq.Km)                        | 399.90          |
|                 | Cropping Intensity                                | 200             |
|                 | • Area under Thur and Sem (Sq.Km)                 | <br>D (11)      |
| 4.              | FORMATIONS  | Kecent alluvium |
|                 | HYDROGEOLOGY                                      |                 |

# Ground Water Scenario of Block

ſ

| 5. | Major Water bearing Formation (Aquifer)   | Fine to coarse Sand                     |                    |  |  |
|----|---|---|--------------------|--|--|
|    | Avg. Depth to water level (decadal)   | Depth to water level<br>May 2015(mbgll) |                    |  |  |
|    | <ul> <li>Pre- monsoon: (May 2015)</li> <li>14.23-14.23 (mbgl)</li> </ul>                          |   | 10.00-40.00 (mbgl) |  |  |
|    | <ul> <li>Post –monsoon: (Nov2014)</li> <li>14.35-14.35(mbgl)</li> </ul>                           |   |                    |  |  |
| 6. | 6. EXPLORATION BY CGWB<br>(As on 31.03.2015)  |   |                    |  |  |
|    | No of wells drilled   | 1                                       |                    |  |  |
|    | • Depth Range (m)   | 101.0-451.8                             |                    |  |  |
|    | • Discharge (Ipm)   | 5670                                    |                    |  |  |
|    | Aquifer Parameters  |   |                    |  |  |
|    | • Transmissivity (m2/day)   | 5750                                    |                    |  |  |
|    | Storativity   | 6.0*10-3                                |                    |  |  |
|    | Specified yield   | 0.072                                   |                    |  |  |
| 7. | GROUND WATER QUALITY  | Min                                     | Max                |  |  |
|    | • EC in $\mu$ S/cm at 25 <sup>o</sup> c   | 449                                     | 449                |  |  |
|    | • NO3 (mg/l)  | 9.5                                     | 9.5                |  |  |
|    | • F (mg/l)  | 0.54                                    | 0.54               |  |  |
|    | • As (mg/l)   |   |                    |  |  |
| 8. | RESOURCES in MCM  | 2011                                    |                    |  |  |
|    | Net Ground Water Availability     (Mcm)   | 134.72                                  |                    |  |  |
|    | • Existing Gross Ground Water Draft for Irrigation (Mcm)  |   | 240.42             |  |  |
|    | • Existing Gross Ground Water Draft<br>for Domestic and Industrial Water<br>Supply (Mcm)          | 2.30                                    |                    |  |  |
|    | • Existing Gross Ground Water Draft for all Uses (Mcm)  | 242.72                                  |                    |  |  |
|    | Allocation for Domestic and<br>Industrial Requirement Supply up to<br>next 25 years (Mcm)         |   | 2.91               |  |  |
|    | <ul> <li>Net Ground Water Availability for<br/>Future Irrigation Development<br/>(Mcm)</li> </ul> |   | -108.61            |  |  |

|       | • Stage of Ground Water Development<br>/ Over Draft (%)  |  |                             | 180   |                    |                               |  |
|-------|--|--|-----------------------------|---|--------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| -     | • Category of E  | Block  |                             |   |                    | OE                            |  |
|       | Any specific reasons for high stress on<br>ground water leading to Overexploitation<br>and decline in ground water level |  |                             | E<br>Irri                                     | xtensive<br>gation | Extensive<br>irrigation       |  |
| 9.    | Percentage of sand<br>depth (Average)  | thickness up to 50 m                         |                             |   | hickness(m)<br>28  | Percentage<br>% 56            |  |
| 10    | Volume of unsaturated zone available for recharge (MCM)  |  |                             |   | 331.46             |                               |  |
| 11.   | Volume of water<br>(MCM)   | Volume of water required for recharge (MCM)  |                             |   | 440.7              |                               |  |
| 12.   | Volume of surplu<br>recharge(MCM)  | us water available for                       |                             |   |                    | 1.80                          |  |
|       | RECHARGE/<br>CONSERVATION<br>STRUCTURES  | Total<br>Number of<br>Recharge<br>Structures | Total C<br>(Rs. i<br>crores | Cost Total Recharge/<br>in Water saving in MC |                    | al Recharge/<br>saving in MCM |  |
| 13    | Farm Recharge<br>@Rs. 35000/-  | 2203   | <b>7.7</b> 1                | L   |                    | 2.290                         |  |
| 14    | RWH Rural @<br>Rs. 25000/-   | 1910   | 4.78                        |   |                    | 0.159                         |  |
| 15    | RWH Urban@<br>Rs. 25000/-  | 183  | 0.46                        | 6   |                    | 0.021                         |  |
| 16    | Underground<br>pipe line (area in<br>hectares)<br>@ Rs. 50000/-  | 17909  | 89.5                        | 5   |                    | 27.08                         |  |
| TOTAL |  |  | 102.49                      |   |                    | 29.55                         |  |



| Block  | x Name:- Banga  |                 |
|--------|---|-----------------|
| Distri | ict:- Nawanshahr  | State:- PUNJAB  |
| 1.     | GENERAL INFORMATION   |                 |
|        | i) Geographical area (sq km)  | 232.4           |
|        | <ul><li>Number of Villages inhabited</li><li>Un-inhabited</li></ul> | 92<br>2         |
|        | ii) Average Annual Rainfall (mm)                                    | 701             |
|        | iii) Area feasible for Artificial Recharge                          | 232.4           |
|        | iv) Village identified under scarcity of<br>Water                   | 71              |
|        | v) Village covered under water supply                               | 95              |
|        | vi) Water Tank exists in the village                                | 66              |
| 2.     | GEOMORPHOLOGY   |                 |
|        | Major Physiographic   | Alluvium Plain  |
|        | Major drainages   |                 |
|        | Basin<br>Sub-Basin  | Satluj 100%     |
| 2      | LAND USE  |                 |
| 5.     | Area According to Village Papers     (Sq.Km)                        | 265.96          |
|        | • Net Area Sown (Sq.Km)   | 230.10          |
|        | Area Sown More than Once (Sq.Km)                                    | 230.10          |
|        | Total Cropped Area (Sq.Km)  | 460.20          |
|        | Cropping Intensity  | 200             |
|        | • Area under Thur and Sem (Sq.Km)                                   |                 |
| 4.     | PREDOMINAT GEOLOGICAL<br>FORMATIONS                                 | Recent alluvium |
|        | HYDROGEOLOGY  |                 |

# Ground Water Scenario of Block

| 5. | Major Water bearing Formation<br>(Aquifer)  | Fine to coarse Sand<br>Depth to water level<br>May 2015 (mbgl)<br>10.00 - 40.00 (mbgl) |  |  |
|----|---|--|--|--|
|    | Avg. Depth to water level (decadal)   |  |  |  |
|    | <ul> <li>Pre- monsoon: (May 2015)</li> <li>15.60-20.20 (mbgl)</li> </ul>                    |  |  |  |
|    | <ul> <li>Post -monsoon: (Nov2014)</li> <li>16.00-21.40(mbgl)</li> </ul>                     |  |  |  |
| 6. | GROUND WATER EXPLORATION BY<br>CGWB (As on 31.03.2015)                                      |  |  |  |
|    | No of wells drilled   | 2  |  |  |
|    | • Depth Range (m)   | 101.0-451.8  |  |  |
|    | Discharge (Ipm)   | 5670   |  |  |
|    | Aquifer Parameters  |  |  |  |
|    | Transmissivity (m2/day)   | 5750   |  |  |
|    | Storativity   | 6.0*10 <sup>-3</sup>   |  |  |
|    | Specified yield   | 0.072  |  |  |
| 7. | GROUND WATER QUALITY  | Min Max  |  |  |
|    | • EC in $\mu$ S/cm at 25 <sup>o</sup> c   |  |  |  |
|    | • NO3 (mg/l)  |  |  |  |
|    | • $F(mg/l)$   |  |  |  |
|    | • As (mg/1)   | 0.0018   |  |  |
| 8. | DYANMIC GROUND WATER<br>RESOURCES in MCM  | 2011   |  |  |
|    | • Net Ground Water Availability<br>(Mcm)  | 97.65  |  |  |
|    | • Existing Gross Ground Water Draft for Irrigation (Mcm)                                    | 139.23   |  |  |
|    | • Existing Gross Ground Water Draft<br>for Domestic and Industrial Water<br>Supply (Mcm)    | 3.79   |  |  |
|    | • Existing Gross Ground Water Draft for all Uses (Mcm)                                      | 143.02   |  |  |
|    | • Allocation for Domestic and<br>Industrial Requirement Supply up to<br>next 25 years (Mcm) | 4.79   |  |  |
|    | Net Ground Water Availability for<br>Future Irrigation Development                          | -46.37   |  |  |

|       | (Mcm)  |   |                                  |  |                         |                             |
|-------|--|---|----------------------------------|--|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
|       | • Stage of Grou<br>/Over Draft (                                 | und Water Deve<br>%)  | elopment                         |  |                         | 146                         |
|       | • Category of H  | Block   |                                  |  |                         | OE                          |
|       | Any specific reaso<br>ground water leadi<br>and decline in grour | ny specific reasons for high stress on<br>round water leading to Overexploitation<br>nd decline in ground water level |                                  |  | Extensive<br>Irrigation | Extensive<br>Irrigation     |
| 9.    | Percentage of sand<br>depth (Average)                            | thickness up  | o to 50 m Thickness(m) Perc<br>% |  |                         | Percentage<br>%             |
| 10    | Volume of unsatura<br>recharge (MCM)                             | ated zone available for 352.55  |                                  |  | 352.55                  |                             |
| 11.   | Volume of water<br>(MCM)   | water required for recharge   |                                  |  | 46                      | 58.83                       |
| 12.   | Volume of surplu<br>recharge(MCM)                                | ıs water available for  |                                  |  | 1                       | .92                         |
|       | RECHARGE/<br>CONSERVATION<br>STRUCTURES                          | Total<br>Number of<br>Recharge<br>Structures  | Total C<br>(Rs. i<br>crore       | Cost<br>Total Recharge/<br>(c. in<br>(res)<br>Total Recharge/<br>Water saving in MCM |                         | l Recharge/<br>aving in MCM |
| 13    | Farm Recharge<br>@Rs. 35000/-                                    | 2623  | 9.18                             |  | 2                       | 2.739                       |
| 14    | RWH Rural @<br>Rs. 25000/-                                       | 2820  | 7.05                             | 7.05 0.236   |                         | 0.236                       |
| 15    | RWH Urban@<br>Rs. 25000/-  | 440   | 1.10 0.049                       |  | 0.049                   |                             |
| 16    | Underground<br>pipe line (area in<br>hectares)<br>@ Rs. 50000/-  | 19290   | 96.45                            | 5  | 2                       | 40.33                       |
| TOTAL |  |   | 113.78                           | /8 43.354  |                         | 3.354                       |



| Block  | Name:- Nawan shahr  | Stata, DUNIAD   |
|--------|---|-----------------|
| DIStri | ict:- Inawali shafir  | State:- PUNJAD  |
| 1.     | GENERAL INFORMATION   |                 |
|        | i) Geographical area (sq km)  | 330.2           |
|        | <ul><li>Number of Villages inhabited</li><li>Un-inhabited</li></ul> | 98<br>4         |
|        | ii) Average Annual Rainfall (mm)                                    | 828             |
|        | iii) Area feasible for Artificial Recharge                          | 330.2           |
|        | iv) Village identified under scarcity of<br>Water                   | 94              |
|        | v) Village covered under water supply                               | 100             |
|        | vi) Water Tank exists in the village                                | 56              |
| 2.     | GEOMORPHOLOGY   |                 |
|        | Major Physiographic   | Alluvium Plain  |
|        | Major drainages   |                 |
|        | Basin<br>Sub-Basin  | Satluj 100%     |
| 3.     | LAND USE  |                 |
|        | <ul> <li>Area According to Village Papers<br/>(Sq.Km)</li> </ul>    | 264.82          |
|        | Net Area Sown (Sq.Km)   | 232.06          |
|        | • Area Sown More than Once (Sq.Km)                                  | 232.06          |
|        | Total Cropped Area (Sq.Km)  | 464.12          |
|        | Cropping Intensity  | 200             |
|        | • Area under Thur and Sem (Sq.Km)                                   |                 |
| 4.     | PREDOMINAT GEOLOGICAL<br>FORMATIONS                                 | Recent alluvium |
|        | HYDROGEOLOGY  |                 |

# Ground Water Scenario of Block

| 5. | Major Water bearing Formation (Aquifer)   | Fine to coarse Sand           |                  |  |
|----|---|-------------------------------|------------------|--|
|    | Avg. Depth to water level (decadal)   | Depth to wate<br>May 2015 (ml | r level<br>bgll) |  |
|    | <ul> <li>Pre- monsoon: (May 2015)</li> <li>5.01-16.30 (mbgl)</li> </ul>   | 10.00 -                       | 20.00 (mbgl)     |  |
|    | <ul> <li>Post –monsoon: (Nov2014)</li> <li>4.99-15.70(mbgl)</li> </ul>  |                               |                  |  |
| 6. | GROUND WATER<br>EXPLORATION BY CGWB<br>(As on 31.03.2015)   |                               |                  |  |
|    | No of wells drilled   | 2                             |                  |  |
|    | • Depth Range (m)   | 101.0-451.8                   |                  |  |
|    | Discharge (Ipm)   | 5670                          |                  |  |
|    | Aquifer Parameters  |                               |                  |  |
|    | • Transmissivity (m2/day)   | 5750                          |                  |  |
|    | Storativity   | 6.0*10 <sup>-3</sup>          |                  |  |
|    | Specified yield   | 0.072                         |                  |  |
| 7. | GROUND WATER QUALITY  | Min                           | Max              |  |
|    | • EC in $\mu$ S/cm at 25 <sup>o</sup> c   | 923                           | 1280             |  |
|    | • NO3 (mg/l)  | 2                             | 180              |  |
|    | • F (mg/l)  | 0.02                          | 0.35             |  |
| 0  | • As (mg/l)   | 0.004                         | 0.004            |  |
| 0. | RESOURCES in MCM  |                               | 2011             |  |
|    | • Net Ground Water Availability (Mcm)   | 220.72                        |                  |  |
|    | • Existing Gross Ground Water Draft for Irrigation (Mcm)  | 218.22                        |                  |  |
|    | • Existing Gross Ground Water Draft<br>for Domestic and Industrial Water<br>Supply (Mcm)                        | 3.74                          |                  |  |
|    | • Existing Gross Ground Water Draft for all Uses (Mcm)  | 221.96                        |                  |  |
|    | <ul> <li>Allocation for Domestic and<br/>Industrial Requirement Supply up to<br/>next 25 years (Mcm)</li> </ul> |                               | 4.73             |  |
|    | <ul> <li>Net Ground Water Availability for<br/>Future Irrigation Development<br/>(Mcm)</li> </ul>               |                               | -2.23            |  |

|       | • Stage of Ground Water Development  |  |                             | 101   |                      |                                    |
|-------|--|--|-----------------------------|---|----------------------|------------------------------------|
|       | Category of H  | Block  |                             |   |                      | OE                                 |
|       | Any specific reasons for high stress on<br>ground water leading to Overexploitation<br>and decline in ground water level |  | stress on<br>ploitation     | E<br>Irri   | Extensive<br>igation | Extensive<br>Irrigation            |
| 9.    | Percentage of sand<br>depth (Average)  | 1 thickness up to 50 m                       |                             |   | hickness(m)<br>30    | Percentage<br>% 60                 |
| 10    | Volume of unsatura<br>recharge (MCM)   | ted zone avail                               | lable for                   | 500.91  |                      |                                    |
| 11.   | Volume of water<br>(MCM)   | ater required for recharge                   |                             |   |                      | 666.13                             |
| 12.   | Volume of surplu<br>recharge(MCM)  | s water avail                                | able for                    | or 2.72   |                      | 2.72                               |
|       | RECHARGE/<br>CONSERVATION<br>STRUCTURES  | Total<br>Number of<br>Recharge<br>Structures | Total C<br>(Rs. i<br>crores | `otal Cost<br>(Rs. in<br>crores)Total Recha<br>Water saving i |                      | otal Recharge/<br>er saving in MCM |
| 13    | Farm Recharge<br>@Rs. 35000/-  | 2564   | 8.97                        |   |                      | 3.139                              |
| 14    | RWH Rural @<br>Rs. 25000/-   | 2168   | 5.42                        |   |                      | 0.212                              |
| 15    | RWH Urban@<br>Rs. 25000/-  | 1439   | 3.60                        |   |                      | 0.070                              |
| 16    | Underground<br>pipe line (area in<br>hectares)<br>@ Rs. 50000/-  | 19487  | 97.44                       |   |                      | 63.23                              |
| TOTAL |  |  | 115.43                      |   |                      | 34.01                              |

Annexure-I





