


# Plan on Artificial Recharge to Groundwater and Water Conservation in Chengam Firka, Chengam Taluk, Tiruvannamalai District, Tamil Nadu



# By

Central Ground Water Board South Eastern Coastal Region Rajaji Bhawan, Besant Nagar Chennai S.No.

# ΤΟΡΙϹ

# At a Glance

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Objectives
- 3. Study area details
  - 3.1 Location
  - 3.2 Geomorphological Setup
  - 3.3 Landuse and Soil
  - 3.4 Drainage
  - 3.5 Rainfall
  - 3.6 Hydrogeology
  - 3.7 Dynamic Ground water Resources
- 4 Spatial data integration/ conservation
- 5 Planning for recharge
  - 5.1 Justification of the artificial recharge
  - 5.2Availability of surplus surface water for artificial recharge or conservation
  - 5.3 Proposed interventions including tentative location of artificial recharge structures and water conservation
  - 5.3.1 Artificial recharge
  - 5.3.1.1 Check Dam /Nala Bund
  - 5.3.1.2 Recharge shaft
  - 5.3.1.3. Revival, repair of water bodies
  - 5.3.2. Water Conservation Measure
  - 5.3.2.1 Farm Pond
  - 5.3.2.2 Micro irrigation system
- 6. Tentative Cost Estimation
- 7. Implication modalities
  - a) Time schedule
  - b) Operation and Maintenance

| AT GLANCE                                    |   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Name of Firka                                | Chengam   |  |  |  |  |
| Taluk  | Chengam   |  |  |  |  |
| District                                     | Thiruvannamalai                                   |  |  |  |  |
| State  | Tamil Nadu  |  |  |  |  |
| Total area                                   | 107.09 Sq.Km.                                     |  |  |  |  |
| Total Area Suitable for Recharge             | 58.90 Sq.Km.                                      |  |  |  |  |
| Lat. & Lon.                                  | 12°16'39" to 12°23'34" & 78°43'32" to 78°50' 47". |  |  |  |  |
| Rainfall                                     | 1008 mm   |  |  |  |  |
| Monsoon                                      | 882 mm  |  |  |  |  |
| Non- Mon soon                                | 126 mm  |  |  |  |  |
| Geology                                      | Crystalline metamorphic gneiss complex comprising |  |  |  |  |
|  | Hornblende biotite - gneiss and Charnockite       |  |  |  |  |
| WAT  | ER LEVEL  |  |  |  |  |
| Pre – Monsoon (May -2015)                    | 2.13 – 8.89 m bgl                                 |  |  |  |  |
| Post - Monsoon (Jan_2016)                    | 0.02 – 4.02 m bgl                                 |  |  |  |  |
| GROUND WATER R                               | ESOURCES ESTIMATION                               |  |  |  |  |
| Replenish able ground water resources        | 29.02 MCM   |  |  |  |  |
| Net ground water available                   | 26.11 MCM   |  |  |  |  |
| Ground water draft for irrigation            | 27.77 MCM   |  |  |  |  |
| Groundwater draft for domestic &             | 1.03 MCM  |  |  |  |  |
| industrial water supply                      |   |  |  |  |  |
| Total ground water draft                     | 28.80 MCM   |  |  |  |  |
| Stage of ground water development (%)        | 110 %   |  |  |  |  |
| Uncommitted surface runoff available for     | 14.17 MCM   |  |  |  |  |
| the Firka                                    |   |  |  |  |  |
| Total volume of weathered zone               | 11.24 MCM   |  |  |  |  |
| Total volume available for recharge          | 6.42 MCM  |  |  |  |  |
| (considering 3 m depth from 3 m bgl          |   |  |  |  |  |
| ARTIFICIAL RECHARGE /                        | CONSERVATION MEASURES                             |  |  |  |  |
| Structures Proposed (tentative)              |   |  |  |  |  |
| Masonry Check dam                            | 13  |  |  |  |  |
| Nalla Bund                                   | 42  |  |  |  |  |
| Revival, repair of pond, tanks with recharge |   |  |  |  |  |
| shaft  | 25  |  |  |  |  |
| Only shafts in Bigger tanks                  | 24  |  |  |  |  |
| Form ponds                                   | 100   |  |  |  |  |
| Improving Water Efficiency/ Saving           | 0.70 MCM  |  |  |  |  |
| (Micro irrigation system for 100 ha)         |   |  |  |  |  |
| Excepted groundwater recharge                | 2.90 MCM  |  |  |  |  |
| Excepted total groundwater recharge/         | 3.60 MCM  |  |  |  |  |
| saving                                       |   |  |  |  |  |
| Tentative total cost of the project          | Rs.11.94 Cr                                       |  |  |  |  |
| Expected raise in water level by             | 4.02 m  |  |  |  |  |
| recharging/saving.                           |   |  |  |  |  |

# Plan on Artificial Recharge to Groundwater and Water Conservation in Chengam Firka, Chengam Taluk, Thiruvannamalai district, Tamil Nadu

# 1. Introduction

India is the largest user of groundwater in the world. Food grain security of the country is largely dependent on water resources and groundwater resources play major role in irrigation sector. Imprints of Over-Exploitation on groundwater resources are being observed as steep deepening of water levels, drying of shallow groundwater abstraction structures, ingress of salinity in fresh aquifers etc. which signal towards taking necessity of emergent action for artificial recharge and rainwater harvesting by utilizing surplus runoff and maintaining groundwater resources at sustainable stage.

In Tamil Nadu dependency on groundwater has increased many folds during the recent years and the groundwater extraction for irrigation, domestic and industries have resulted in lowering of water levels, long-term water level declining trend and even drying up of wells. In order to regulate the groundwater development, Central Ground Water Board in association with State Ground Water Departments has computed Dynamic Groundwater Resources and categorized blocks as Over Exploited, Critical, Semi Critical and Safe.

Out of 1129 firkas (assessment units) in Tamil Nadu the groundwater situation in 374 firkas overexploited, 48 firkas critical, 235 firkas semi-critical, 437 firkas safe and 35 firkas are saline. Various measures such as rainwater harvesting, artificial recharge and water use efficiency are successfully practiced by some NGOs, Central and State govts., which need replication at larger scale in close coordination with State govt. agencies and stakeholders so that capacity building of state implementing agencies and awareness of stakeholders towards artificial recharge and rainwater harvesting can be made.

# 2. Objectives of the scheme


Objectives of the proposed scheme are

- To upscale recharge activities, supplement additional groundwater resources by harvesting surplus runoff, sustainability of groundwater resources at shallow depths
- Recovery of over-exploited groundwater areas by implementing artificial recharge measures in groundwater stress areas.
- Conservation, development and sustainable management of natural resources including their use.

# 3. Study area details

## 3.1 Location

The total area of Chengam firka is 107.09 sq.km and Chengam firka lies between North latitudes 12°16'39" to 12°23'34" and east longitudes 78°43'32" to 78°50' 47". Location map of Chengam firka is given in Figure 1.



#### LOCATION MAP

Figure 1. Location map of Chengam firka

# 3.2 Geomorphological Set up

Geomorphologically, the area consists of hilland plain landforms. In plain landforms, Pediplainweathered moderate and shallow occupies major part of the firka. These landforms influence the ground water recharge. Hill landform like residual hills, denudation hill and structural hills are act as runoff zone. (*Source: IRS, Anna university, Chennai Tamil Nadu). Geomorphological map prepared using IRS- 1D data on 1: 50,000 scale and units are as per* <u>NNRMS standard</u>s. Bazada zones are prominent along the north and north eastern part of the firka and also along the western fringe. The various geomorphological units with its % of coverage area are given in table 1. and shown in figure 2.

Table 1. Various geomorphological units with its % of coverage area in Chengam firka

| LANDFORMS                  | % of Area |
|----------------------------|-----------|
| PEDIMENT MODERATE          | 41.72     |
| PEDIMENT SHALLOW           | 29.51     |
| DISSECTED/UNDISSECTED      | 14.89     |
| BAJADA                     | 5.28      |
| DEFLECTION SLOPE           | 4.84      |
| PEDIPLAIN                  | 2.53      |
| PEDIMENT-INSELBERG COMPLEX | 0.61      |
| STRUCTURAL HILLS           | 0.59      |
| HIGHLY DISSECTED           | 0.04      |




Figure 2. Geomorphology of Chengam Firka

# 3.3 Land use and soil

The land use pattern of the ChengamFirka is given in figure 3. Predominantly the most of the area is characterised by the wet crop, plantation and dry crop (i.,e agricultural field)and accounts for 75 % of the total area of the firka(<u>Source: IRS, Anna university, Chennai Tamil Nadu</u>). This area is highly suitable for water conservation and recharge. The entire Firkas is occupied with loamy soil. Major soil type in this firka is Haplustalfs, which covers more than 80% of the area. The soil map of the Chengam firka is given in Figure 4.




Figure 3.Landuse map of ChengamFirka




Figure 4. Soil map of ChengamFirka

## 3.4 Drainage

The entire Firka area is within the Ponnaiyar river basin. Ponnaiyar River pass through the firka and only seasonal floods inundate during monsoon period. Basin sub soil water is used to irrigate the lands. Tanks and surface water bodies are spread over the entire firka. The drainage pattern is the dendritic and sub- dendritic. The drainage map of Chengam firka is given in Fig 5.




Figure 5. Drainage map of Chengam Firka


Chengam area falls under tropical climate with temperature in the summer months of March to May. The average temperature varies from 26 to 41° C. The humidity is also high in the order of 80%. The wind speed is high during the months of July and August. The wind speed ranges from 7.4 to 12.6 km/hr, which increases from 100 to 120 km/hr during cyclone period. Chengam Firka receives rainfall from southwest monsoon (June – September), northeast monsoon (October – December) and non-monsoon periods (January – May). The area receives the major rainfall from northeast monsoon. Rainfall is generally heavy during low-pressure depressions and cyclones during the northeast monsoon period. The normal annual rainfall is 1008 mm.

| Taluk   | Name of Firkas | Area in<br>sq.km | Monsoon<br>rainfall<br>(Jun to Dec)<br>In m | Non monsoon<br>rainfall<br>(Jan – May)<br>In m | Total<br>Rainfall<br>In m |
|---------|----------------|------------------|---|--|---------------------------|
| Chengam | Chengam        | 107.09           | 0.882                                       | 0.126  | 1.008                     |

#### 3.6 Hydrogeology

The major area of thefirka is underlain by Charnokite rock and the crystalline metamorphic gneiss complex consisting Ferruginous Hornblede –Biotite gneiss, Epidote-Hornblede gneiss and granitegniess. Ground water is occurring in pheratic conditions in weathered and fractured gneiss rock formation. The weathering is highly erratic and the depth of abstraction structures is controlled by the intensity of weathering and fracturing. Large diameter dug well is more common ground water abstraction structures in the area. The diameter of the dug well is in the range of 7 to 10 m and depth of dug wells range from 15 to 18 m bgl. The dug wells yield up to 1 lps in summer months and few wells remains dry. The yield is adequate for irrigation for one or two crops in monsoon period.

The depth of wells varies from 6.64 to 17 m bgl. The present water levels in the firka is in the range of 2.13 to 8.89 m bgl during pre- monsoon (May 2015) and from 0.02 to 4.02m bgl during post monsoon (January 2016). The hydrogeological map of Chengam firka is given in Figure 6.Decadal mean water level of pre-monsoon and post monsoon are given in fig 7a & b. The decadal maps reveal that, mean water level during pre-monsoon in majority area is < 6 m bgl likewise during post monsoon majority part is under < 4m ground water level.



# Figure 6 Hydrogeological Map of Chengam Firka




Figure 7a.: Pre monsoon water level ( Decadal Average) in Chengam firka




Figure 7b. Post-monsoon water level ( Decadal Average) in Chengam firka

# 3.7 Dynamic Ground water Resources

The ground water resources have been computed jointly by Central Ground Water Board and State Ground Water Resources Data Centre (PWD, WRO, Govt. of Tamil Nadu) as on 31st March 2011. The computation has been done using GEC1997 methodology. The salient features of the computations are furnished in table 2.

| Firka       | GW WORTHY<br>AREA | REPLENISH<br>ABLE GROUND<br>WATER<br>RESOURCES | NET<br>GROUND<br>WATER<br>AVAILABLE | GROUND<br>WATER DRAFT<br>FOR<br>IRRIGATION | GROUNDWAT<br>ER DRAFT<br>FOR<br>DOMESTIC &<br>INDUSTRIAL<br>WATER<br>SUPPLY | TOTAL<br>GROUN<br>D<br>WATER<br>DRAFT | STAGE OF<br>GROUND<br>WATER<br>DEVELOPM<br>ENT (%) | CATEGORY          |
|-------------|-------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------|
|             | ( Sq.Km)          |  | (In MCM)                            |  |   |                                       |  |                   |
| Chenga<br>m | 127.422           | 29.02  | 26.11                               | 27.77                                      | 1.03  | 28.80                                 | 110  | OVER<br>EXPLOITED |

# Table 2. Dynamic Ground water resources estimation of Chengamfirka

# 4. Spatial Data Integration

The potential area for groundwater recharge is highly influenced by Geology, Geomorphology, Land use /land cover, Drainage, Surface Water Body, Weathered Thickness and first fractured Depth in the area. In order to ascertain the suitable area for groundwater recharge in firka, spatial data integration of have been attempted using index overlay model in GIS environ. In this model, above seven layers have been integrated by assigning weightage for the theme having scale of 1-100 and sub-classes of the theme between 1 to 10 scales. The resultant map has been reclassified into four classes(High-low integrated values) indicating the suitable area for artificial recharge and given in fig-8 and described below.

| ZONE      | % OF AREA COVERAGE | SIGNIFICANCE <sup>*</sup>        |
|-----------|--------------------|----------------------------------|
| Very high | 5                  | Suitable for all major recharge  |
|           |                    | structures like Percolation pond |
|           |                    | and stop dam, check dam etc.,    |
| High      | 15                 | Suitable for all major recharge  |
|           |                    | structures like stop dam, check  |
|           |                    | dam etc.,                        |
| Moderate  | 35                 | Suitable for all major recharge  |
|           |                    | structures like earthen check    |
|           |                    | dam, Boulder check dam and       |
|           |                    | Nala bund etc.,                  |
| Poor      | 45                 | Hilly/Forest /Catchment area     |

<sup>\*</sup>However, the field verification is required to confirm above potential area for groundwater recharge.




Figure 8: Showing the recharge worthy area Chengam firka

# 5.1 Justification of the artificial recharge & conservation measures

- The Chengam Firkas is with high stage of groundwater development i.e, 110 % and with sufficient amount of uncommitted surface runoff/flow of 14.17 MCM.
- The total weathered zone available beneath the ground in the firka is 857 MCM. Out of these total volume available for recharge considering 3.5 m depth from 3 m) is 535 MCM.
- The Chengam Firka consists of surface water bodies /lakes (cover almost 25 % of the total area of the firka) which are well connected by the drainage. Revival and Recharge of these ponds will enhance the sustainability of the ground water abstraction structures.
- However, most of the ground water developments for agricultural purposes are met through dug-cum bore well and bore wells only. Hence, there is sufficient scope of recharge.
- Model generated in the Chengam areas reveals that more than 50 % of areas are suitable for recharge.
- In Chengam firka, around 50 % area is characterised by the agricultural activities, there is sufficient scope for the water conservation measures for enhance the crop production and better ground water development.

# 5.2 Availability of surplus surface water for artificial recharge or conservation

The uncommitted surface flow for ChengamFirka is estimated as per the norms followed by State Ground & Surface Water Resources data centre, PWD, Taramani, Chennai (Aug 2015). The available of surplus surface water for ChengamFirka is 14.17 MCM.

# 5.3 Proposed interventions including tentative location of artificial recharge /conservation measures

On basis of above description the following three type of approach have been made to propose artificial recharge or conservation structures.

- a. Artificial recharge
- b. Water conservation measure
- c. Water Efficiency

# 5.3.1 Artificial recharge

The details of artificial recharge structure proposed along with justification are given below.

# 5.3.1.1 Check dam/Nala bund

Chengam firka area is covered by the seasonal nallahs/drains which carry heavy discharge during monsoon period. As per the integrated model prediction around 30 % of the firkas areas are suitable for these structures. It is proposed to construct 13 Check dam and 42 Nala bunds. The tentative location of these 55 ARs are given below and shown in Plate 1. The size and location of these structures are tentative and details field survey is essential to ascertain the exact size and location.

| S. NO. | LONGITUDE | LATITUDE | TYPE OF ARS |
|--------|-----------|----------|-------------|
| 1      | 78.76     | 12.33    | Check Dam   |
| 2      | 78.76     | 12.35    | Check Dam   |
| 3      | 78.82     | 12.35    | Check Dam   |
| 4      | 78.75     | 12.37    | Check Dam   |
| 5      | 78.76     | 12.38    | Check Dam   |
| 6      | 78.75     | 12.35    | Check Dam   |
| 7      | 78.74     | 12.34    | Check Dam   |
| 8      | 78.79     | 12.35    | Check Dam   |
| 9      | 78.80     | 12.34    | Check Dam   |
| 10     | 78.77     | 12.30    | Check Dam   |
| 11     | 78.81     | 12.35    | Check Dam   |
| 12     | 78.76     | 12.37    | Check Dam   |
| 13     | 78.77     | 12.38    | Check Dam   |

# Tentative location of proposed 13 Check dam in Chengam firka

# Tentative location of proposed 42 Nalla bund in Chengam firka

| SL.NO | LONGITUDE(DD) | LATITUDE (DD) | TYPE OF ARS |
|-------|---------------|---------------|-------------|
|       | 78.83         | 12.30         |             |
| 1     |               |               | Nala Bund   |
| 2     | 78.75         | 12.38         | Nala Bund   |
| 2     | 78.75         | 12.37         |             |
| 3     | 70.75         | 12.57         | Nala Bund   |
|       | 78.75         | 12.38         |             |
| 4     |               |               | Nala Bund   |
| 5     | 78.76         | 12.38         | Nala Bund   |
| 5     | 78.78         | 12.39         |             |
| 6     | 78.78         | 12.55         | Nala Bund   |
|       | 78.78         | 12.39         |             |
| 7     |               |               | Nala Bund   |
| 8     | 78.77         | 12.38         | Nala Bund   |
| 0     | 78.77         | 12.38         |             |
| 9     | /0.//         | 12.50         | Nala Bund   |
|       | 78.77         | 12.38         |             |
| 10    |               |               | Nala Bund   |
| 11    | 78.77         | 12.37         | Nala Bund   |
| 11    | 78.77         | 12.37         |             |
| 12    | , , ,         | 12.57         | Nala Bund   |
|       | 78.77         | 12.37         |             |
| 13    |               |               | Nala Bund   |
| 14    | 78.77         | 12.37         | Nala Bund   |
| 14    | 78.77         | 12.37         |             |
| 15    | , , ,         | 12.07         | Nala Bund   |
|       | 78.77         | 12.36         |             |
| 16    |               | 10.55         | Nala Bund   |
| 17    | 78.77         | 12.36         | Nala Bund   |
| 1/    |               |               |             |

| r  |       |       |           |
|----|-------|-------|-----------|
| 18 | 78.78 | 12.36 | Nala Bund |
| 19 | 78.78 | 12.35 | Nala Bund |
| 20 | 78.79 | 12.36 | Nala Bund |
| 22 | 78.79 | 12.35 | Nala Bund |
| 23 | 78.80 | 12.35 | Nala Bund |
| 24 | 78.81 | 12.35 | Nala Bund |
| 24 | 78.81 | 12.35 | Nala Bund |
|    | 78.75 | 12.37 |           |
| 26 | 78.74 | 12.36 | Nala Bund |
| 27 | 78.74 | 12.36 | Nala Bund |
| 28 | 78.74 | 12.34 | Nala Bund |
| 29 | 78.74 | 12.34 | Nala Bund |
| 30 |       |       | Nala Bund |
| 31 | 78.76 | 12.36 | Nala Bund |
| 32 | 78.75 | 12.34 | Nala Bund |
| 33 | 78.75 | 12.33 | Nala Bund |
| 34 | 78.83 | 12.32 | Nala Bund |
| 35 | 78.78 | 12.33 | Nala Bund |
| 36 | 78.77 | 12.32 | Nala Bund |
| 37 | 78.75 | 12.32 | Nala Bund |
| 38 | 78.75 | 12.31 | Nala Bund |
|    | 78.79 | 12.32 |           |
| 39 | 78.73 | 12.30 | Nala Bund |
| 40 | 78.78 | 12.30 | Nala Bund |
| 41 | 78.80 | 12.30 | Nala Bund |
| 42 |       |       | Nala Bund |

#### 5.3.1.2. Revival, repair of water bodies

The existing ponds and tanks in loose their storage capacity as well as the natural ground water recharge through these water bodies has also become negligible due to siltation and encroachment by farmers for agriculture purposes. There are several such villages where ponds/ tanks are in dilapidated condition. These existing village tanks which are normally silted and damaged can be modified to serve as recharge structure in case these are suitably located to

serve as percolation tanks. Through desilting, coupled with providing proper waste weir, the village tanks can be converted into recharge structure. Several such tanks are available in the area which can be modified for enhancing ground water recharge. Studies, however, are needed to ascertain whether the village tanks are suitably located to serve as recharge structures. The locations of about 25 existing ponds/tanks have been identified with latitude and longitude , given below and marked on Plate 1. The above 25 tanks/ponds could be taken up for the renovation.

| SI.NO | LONGITUDE | LATITUDE | STRUCTURE         | ACTION                         |
|-------|-----------|----------|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1     | 78.75     | 12.33    | TANK / RESERVOIR* | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 2     | 78.74     | 12.33    | TANK / RESERVOIR  | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 3     | 78.75     | 12.32    | TANK / RESERVOIR* | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 4     | 78.78     | 12.34    | TANK / RESERVOIR  | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 5     | 78.74     | 12.31    | TANK / RESERVOIR  | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 6     | 78.74     | 12.31    | TANK / RESERVOIR  | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 7     | 78.76     | 12.31    | TANK / RESERVOIR  | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 8     | 78.75     | 12.30    | TANK / RESERVOIR  | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 9     | 78.76     | 12.30    | TANK / RESERVOIR* | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 10    | 78.75     | 12.30    | TANK / RESERVOIR* | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 11    | 78.76     | 12.30    | TANK / RESERVOIR* | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 12    | 78.77     | 12.30    | TANK / RESERVOIR* | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 13    | 78.77     | 12.31    | TANK / RESERVOIR* | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 14    | 78.78     | 12.30    | TANK / RESERVOIR* | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 15    | 78.78     | 12.31    | TANK / RESERVOIR* | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 16    | 78.79     | 12.33    | TANK / RESERVOIR  | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 17    | 78.79     | 12.34    | TANK / RESERVOIR  | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 18    | 78.80     | 12.33    | TANK / RESERVOIR  | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 19    | 78.80     | 12.33    | TANK / RESERVOIR  | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 20    | 78.80     | 12.33    | TANK / RESERVOIR  | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 21    | 78.82     | 12.34    | TANK / RESERVOIR  | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 22    | 78.82     | 12.34    | TANK / RESERVOIR  | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 23    | 78.80     | 12.32    | TANK / RESERVOIR  | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |

#### Tentative location of proposed de-siltation of pond/tanks with recharge shaft in Chengam firka.

| 24 | 78.80         | 12.32      |                        |                                |
|----|---------------|------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 25 | 78.80         | 12.31      | TANK / RESERVOIR       | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 25 | 78.80         | 12.31      | TANK / RESERVOIR       | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 26 | 78.80         | 12.32      | TANK / RESERVOIR       | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 27 | 78.80         | 12.31      |                        |                                |
| 28 | 78.81         | 12.32      | TANK / RESERVOIR*      | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
|    |               |            | TANK / RESERVOIR*      | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 29 | 78.81         | 12.31      | TANK / RESERVOIR*      | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 30 | 78.82         | 12.32      | TANK / RESERVOIR       | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 31 | 78.82         | 12.31      |                        |                                |
|    | 70.02         | 12.22      | TANK / RESERVOIR*      | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 32 | 78.83         | 12.33      | TANK / RESERVOIR*      | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 33 | 78.83         | 12.33      | TANK / RESERVOIR*      | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 34 | 78.83         | 12.32      |                        |                                |
| 35 | 70.02         | 12.32      | TANK / RESERVOIR*      | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 35 | 78.83         | 12.32      | TANK / RESERVOIR*      | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 36 | 78.83         | 12.32      | TANK / RESERVOIR*      | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 37 | 78.82         | 12.31      |                        |                                |
| 38 | 78.82         | 12.31      | TANK / RESERVOIR       | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
|    |               |            | TANK / RESERVOIR*      | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 39 | 78.84         | 12.30      | TANK / RESERVOIR       | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 40 | 78.82         | 12.30      |                        |                                |
| 41 | 78.79         | 12.30      | TANK / RESERVOIR       | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
|    |               |            | TANK / RESERVOIR*      | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 42 | 78.80         | 12.29      | TANK / RESERVOIR       | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 43 | 78.80         | 12.30      | TANK / RESERVOIR       | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 44 | 78.81         | 12.29      |                        |                                |
| 45 | 70.04         | 12.30      | TANK / RESERVOIR       | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 45 | 78.84         | 12.30      | TANK / RESERVOIR       | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 46 | 78.79         | 12.29      | TANK / RESERVOIR*      | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 47 | 78.80         | 12.29      |                        |                                |
| 48 | 78.80         | 12.29      | TANK / RESERVOIR*      | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
|    |               |            | TANK / RESERVOIR*      | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| 49 | 78.81         | 12.29      | TANK / RESERVOIR*      | DESILTTAION AND RECHARGE SHAFT |
| L; | *Larger tanks | where only | recharge shafts have b |                                |

\*Larger tanks where only recharge shafts have been recommended


#### 5.3.2 Water conservation measure

#### 5.3.2.1 Farm Pond

A farm pond is a large dug out in the earth, usually square or rectangular in shape, which harvests rainwater and stores it for future use. It has an inlet to regulate inflow and an outlet to discharge excess water. The pond is surrounded by a small bund, which prevents erosion on the banks of the pond. The size and depth depend on the amount of land available, the type of soil, the farmer's water requirements, the cost of excavation, and the possible uses of the excavated earth. Water from the farm pond is conveyed to the fields manually, by pumping, or by both methods.

#### **Advantages of Farm Ponds**

- They provide water to start growing crops, without waiting for rain to fall.
- They provide irrigation water during dry spells between rainfalls. This increases the yield, the number of crops in one year, and the diversity of crops that can be grown.
- Bunds can be used to raise vegetables and fruit trees, thus supplying the farm household with an additional source of income and of nutritious food.
- Farmers are able to apply adequate farm inputs and perform farming operations at the appropriate time, thus increasing their productivity and their confidence in farming.
- They check soil erosion and minimize siltation of waterways and reservoirs.
- They supplies water for domestic purposes and livestock
- They promote fish rearing.
- They recharge the ground water.
- They improve drainage.
- The excavated earth has a very high value and can be used to enrich soil in the fields, levelling land, and constructing farm roads



As per the

Landuse classification of the firka, majority of the area is covered by the agricultural field. Hence it is proposed to construct 100 farm ponds as per the specification of AED, Govt. of Tamil Nadu (30 x 30 x 1.5 m).

# 5.3.2.2. Micro Irrigation System (Sprinkler/ drip/ HDPE pipes)

Micro irrigation is defined as the frequent application of small quantities of water directly above and below the soil surface; usually as discrete drops, continuous drops or tiny streams through emitters placed along a water delivery line

In flood/furrow irrigation method more than 50% of applied water is wasted through seepage to deeper level, localized inundation causes loss through evaporation and it leaches out the nutrients from the plant. While through drip & sprinkler irrigation wastage of irrigational water could be minimized. The studies on different crops, has revealed that irrigation water is saved drastically. The conveyance losses (mainly seepage & evaporation) can be saved up to 25 to 40% through utilization of HDPE pipes. Initially the scheme is proposed to be implemented in worst affected areas showing deepest water levels and significant declining trends.

It is proposed to take up micro irrigation system in 100 ha. The cost estimation for this component has been taken from SOR of Agricultural Engineering Department (AED), Govt. of Tamil Nadu. Tentative locations of proposed micro irrigation are shown in Plate 1.




Plate 1. Location map showing the proposed AR Structures in Chengam firka

## 6. Tentative Cost Estimation

The tentative number of feasible structures, its cost and expected annual groundwater recharge/water saving is given in the table 7. The unit rates are as followed by the PWD, Govt. of Tamilnadu (Sources: Scheduled rates, Govt. of Tamilnadu 2015).

| Feasible Artificial<br>Recharge & Water<br>Conservation<br>structures/<br>activities | Tentative Design  | quantity<br>(in nos.<br>or area<br>in sq.<br>m) | Total volume<br>(cu.m ) | Tentative<br>unit cost (in<br>Rs lakh) | Total<br>tentative<br>cost (in Rs<br>lakh) | Expected<br>Annual<br>GW<br>recharge/<br>Saving<br>(cu.m) |
|--|---|---|-------------------------|--|--|---|
|  | Recharge  | e Structures                                    | s/ Activities           |  |  |   |
| Masonry Check<br>dams<br>( 5 Fillings )  | Crest- 10 -15 m; Height- 1.0 m<br>to 1 .5 m   | 13  | 3400 (80%)              | 9.0                                    | 117  | 176800  |
| Nala bunds / Gabion<br>( 4 Fillings)   | Width: 5 to 15 m  | 42  | 750 (80%)               | 2.0                                    | 84   | 100800  |
| Revival, repair of<br>water bodies<br>(3 fillings)                                   | (~150 mx150 mx1.5m)   | 25  | 33750 (80%)             | 25.0                                   | 625  | 2025000   |
| Recharge shaft with<br>the pond<br>/tanks/canals                                     | Shaft = 1.5 m dia x 2m depth<br>with filter media in lower 1 m .<br>Bore dia =10",<br>Casing = 6"<br>Depth = 30 m | 49  |                         | 2.0                                    | 98   |   |
| Farm Pond (in ha)(5<br>filling)  | ( 30 m x 30m x 1.5 m)   | 100 unit  | 1200(85%)               | 1                                      | 100  | 600000  |
|  |   |   |                         | Sub Total                              | 1024                                       | 2902600   |
|  | Water C   | onservatio                                      | n Activities            |  |  |   |
| Sprinkler/ drip/<br>HDPE pipes   | For 1 ha with 5 m interval<br>HDPE pipe   | 100 ha  |                         | 0.6 /ha                                | 60   | 700000  |
|  |   |   | Tota                    | al                                     | 1084                                       | 3602600   |
|  | Impact assessment a   | nd O & M  |                         |  |  |   |
|  | m bgl – 2 nos. @ 0.6 lakh<br>to be carried out by the implemen  | iting agenci                                    | es )                    |  | 1.2  |   |
|  | 1085.20   |   |                         |  |  |   |
| O & M - 5 % of total c   | ost of the scheme   |   |                         |  | 54.26                                      |   |
| Impact assessment to   | be carried out by the implement   | ing agencies                                    | s @ 5% of Total co      | ost                                    | 54.26                                      |   |
|  |   |   |                         | TOTAL COST                             | 1193.72                                    |   |

 Table 7. Showing the Cost Estimation of proposed Artificial Recharge Structures

Note:

 $\succ$  The type, number and cost of structure may vary according to site, after the ground truth verification.

CD, PC- the storage of Check-dams and percolation ponds is also proposed for irrigating the surrounding areas for enhancing the groundwater recharge as well as effective utilization of the artificial recharge structures.

#### 7. Implication modalities

The implementation of the scheme will be done by the line department of the state selected by the respective State authority. Further, it is to add that more than 50 % MGNREGA works related to water conservation/sustainable management, accordingly a convergence guideline has been made between National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (NREGA) (Ministry of Rural Development) & Programmes of Water Resources (MoWR, RD & GR). The district Thiruvannamalai is one among the list of districts identified for Convergence between NREGS and schemes of MoWR. The details of permissible works under convergence are envisaged in the Joint Convergence Guideline.

# a.) Time schedule

| Steps   | 1 <sup>st</sup> Quarter | 2th Quarter | 3 <sup>rd</sup> Quarter | 4 <sup>th</sup> Quarter | 5 <sup>th</sup> Quarter | 6 <sup>th</sup> Quarter | 7 <sup>th</sup> Quarter | 8 <sup>th</sup> Quarter |
|---|-------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| <ul> <li>Identification of line department /implementing</li> </ul>     |                         |             |                         |                         |                         |                         |                         |                         |
| agency and preparation of DPR   |                         |             |                         |                         |                         |                         |                         |                         |
| <ul> <li>Approval of scheme and release of sanction of funds</li> </ul> |                         |             |                         |                         |                         |                         |                         |                         |
| <ul> <li>Implementation of ARS</li> </ul>                               |                         |             |                         |                         |                         |                         |                         |                         |

Phase = one quarter or 3 months or equivalent to financial quarter

#### **b.)** Operation and maintenance

In all projects Impact assessment has to be carried out to ensure that projects is economically viable, socially equitable and environmentally sustainable by inter-related socioeconomic, cultural and human-health impacts, both beneficial and adverse. Accordingly it is proposed to have impact assessment at rate of 5 % of the total cost of the project for 5 years from the completion of artificial recharge structures.